IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

PETRO INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, LLC,) Plaintiff.

Case No. 1:21-CV-00312

ISLAND PROJECT AND OPERATING SERVICES, LLC; VITOL US HOLDING II CO.; VITOL VIRGIN ISLANDS CORP.; ANDREW CANNING; and OPTIS EUROPE, LTD.,

VS.

Defendants.

THE VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF PETRO INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, LLC

as a 30(b)(6) witness through its representative, ADRIAN MELENDEZ, JR., also taken personally, on the 28th day of April, 2023, at the Law Offices of Beckstedt & Kuczynski, LLP, 2162 Church Street, Christiansted, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, and via Zoom teleconference, between the hours of 9:45 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., pursuant to Notice and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Reported by:

Susan C. Nissman RPR-RMR Registered Merit Reporter Caribbean Scribes, Inc. 1244 Queen Cross Street, Suite 1A Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 (340) 773-8161

A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S

For the Plaintiff:

Law Offices of Lee J. Rohn & Associates, LL 1108 King Street, Third Floor Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

Bv: Lee J. Rohn

For the Defendant Island Project and Operating Services, LLC:

Law Offices of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, LLC The Tunick Building, Suite 201 1336 Beltjen Road Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00802

By: Simone R.D. Francis (Via Zoom)

For the Defendant Vitol US Holding II Co. and Vitol Virgin Islands Corp.:

Law Offices of Beckstedt & Kuczynski, LLP 2162 church Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

By: Carl A. Beckstedt, III

and

Law Offices of Susman Godfrey 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77002

By: Alex Kaplan

APPEARANCES For the Defendant Andrew Canning:

Law Offices of Andrew C. Simpson 2191 Church Street, Suite 5 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

By: Matthew Ceradini (Via Zoom)

Also Present: Sam Halvorson, Videographer

INDEX

E-X- A-M- I-N-A-T-I-O-N					
Description	Counsel	Page			
Direct	by Mr. Kaplan	7			
Cross	by Ms. Francis	215			
Cross	by Mr. Ceradini	249			
	E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S				
Exhibit	Description	Page			
1 -	Notice of Combined Deposition of Adrian Melendez, Jr. and Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Plaintiff	8			
2 -	First Amended Complaint	27			
3 -	Maintenance Contract	31			
4 -	E-mail dated March 7, 2018 from Andrew Canning to Joao Rodriguez	49			
5 -	Petro's Response to Vitol's Second Set of Interrogatories	55			
6 -	E-mail dated February 4, 2018. Subject: XL Spreadsheet Invoices	65			
7 -	E-mail Chain 12-23-20 through 1-21-21. Subject: Updated AR	78			
8 -	E-mail chain dated January 21, 2021. Subject: RIO Shades	87			
9 -	E-mail chain dated February 11-12, 2021. Subject: St. Thomas	106			
10 -	E-mail dated July 15, 2021. Subject: LPG Vent Line Replacement: CCT1717466:Labeling and Markings	123			

Document #: 305-11c/AFilenbiz04/08/24cr Page 2 of 64 Case: 1:21-cv-00312-WAL-EAH

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUR.	1.21-0V-00312-VVAL-LAIT	Documen	π .
11 -	E-mail dated February 24, 2021. Subject: STX-Vent Piping Project WAPA Deliverables	131	1 2
12 -	E-mail dated April 15, 2021. Subject: 3" SS Vent Line Project	134	3 4
13 -	E-mail Chain July 2021. Subject: Testing Requirements	150	5
14 -	Letter dated July 29, 2021 from Guillenno Castro to Petro Industrial	153	6 7
15 -	Letter dated July 28, 2021 from David Smith to Petro Industrial Solutions, LLC. Re: Maintenance	167	8 9
	Contract dated September 1, 2019		10
16 -	Plaintiff Petro's Second Supplemental Response to Defendant	170	11
	Vitol's Third Set of Interrogatories		12
	interrogatories		13
			14
			15
			16
			17
			18
			19
			20
			21
			22
			23
			24
			24

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: In the matter of Petro Industrial Solutions, LLC, the plaintiff, versus Island Project and Operating Services, LLC; Vitol U.S. Holding II Company; Vitol Virgin Islands Corporation; Andrew Canning and Optis Europe, Ltd., the defendants.

In the District Court of the Virgin Islands, Division of St. Croix. Civil Action Number 1:21-CV-00312.

My name is Sam Halvorson. I am the videographer for today's proceedings. Our court reporter is Susan C. Nissman-Coursey, RMR.

Today's date is April 28, 2023. The deponent is Adrian Melendez, Jr., and Rule 30(b) and Rule 36 (sic) deposition of plaintiff. The time is 9:45.

For the purposes of voice identification, I'm asking -- requesting that the attorneys present identify themselves at this time.

MR. KAPLAN: Alex Kaplan, of Susman Godfrey, on behalf of Vitol Virgin Islands Corp. and Vitol U.S. Holding II Co.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Attorney Carl Beckstedt, of Beckstedt and Kuczynski, also on behalf of the Vitol defendants.

MS. ROHN: Lee Rohn, on behalf of the plaintiff.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please swear the witness.

8

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1	I'm sorry.			
2	MR. BECKSTEDT: Go ahead, Matthew.			
3	MR. CERADINI: Matthew Ceradini, on behalf of			
4	Andrew Canning and Optis Europe, Ltd.			
5	MS. FRANCIS: Good morning. Simone Francis,			
6	Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, on behalf of			
7	Island Project and Operating Services, also known as IPOS.			
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please swear the witness.			
9	PETRO INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, LLC,			
10	through its representative, ADRIAN MELENDEZ, JR.,			
11	and personally called as a witness,			
12	having been first duly sworn,			
13	testified on his oath as follows:			
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
15	BY MR. KAPLAN:			
16	Q. Good morning, sir.			
17	A. Good morning.			
18	Q. Mr. Melendez, you are the president of Petro			
19	Industrial Services, LLC?			
20	A. Solutions, LLC.			
21	Q. Petro Industrial Solutions. Pardon me.			
22	A. That's okay.			
23	Q. You are are you the owner of			
24	A. Yes.			
25	Q Petro?			

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Single member. Single-member LLC?

That's right.

Have you always been the sole member of -- of 0. Petro?

Yes. A.

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ $\;$ Do you understand that you're here today both individually, and as well as the corporate representative of Petro?

Yes. A.

MS. ROHN: Did I get an individual notice of deposition?

MR. KAPLAN: It's a joint notice.

MS. ROHN: Okay. That's fine.

(Mr. Kaplan) Let's go ahead and mark the notice.

All right, sir. I've handed you what I've marked as Exhibit 1.

> (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

Have you seen that document before, sir?

Give me one second.

Yes.

Q. And are you prepared to testify as Petro's corporate representative on the topics listed in the notice in Exhibit 1, sir?

> Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 Mr. Melendez, in this lawsuit, Petro has made 0. various allegations about the conduct of Andrew Canning, 3 4 correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And we're going to go through today the 0. 7 allegations about Mr. Canning, but I'd like to start and talk specifically about the Vitol defendants, all right? 8 9 okay. Α. 10 You've met before Charlotte Horowitz, correct? Q. 11 A. 12 Q. You understand Ms. Horowitz works for Vitol? 13 Correct. Α. 14 And you met once with Ms. Horowitz in person, Q. 15 true? 16 True. A. 17 In Houston? Q. 18 A. True. 19 At Vitol's office? Q. 20 Correct. 21 Q. And do you recall when that meeting was? 22 2001. I'll say February 2001, I believe. A. If I told you the meeting occurred on March 25th, 23 24 2021, does that sound right to you?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Other than the one meeting in Houston with Mr. Horowitz, have you ever met with Ms. Horowitz in person?

Just that one meeting.

All right. At the meeting that you had in Houston, a gentleman named Sebastian Moretti was present, right?

Α. Correct.

And also an individual named Tim Kologinczak? Q.

Α.

Was there anyone else at that meeting that you Q. attended in Houston at Vitol's office?

Not for Vitol.

who was present with you? Q.

Chad. Chetram Persuad. A.

And who's Mr. Persuad? ο.

Chetram is basically my general manager.

was there anyone else in the meeting besides you, Mr. Persuad, and the three Vitol employees we just mentioned, Ms. Horowitz, Mr. Moretti, and Mr. Kologinczak?

That's all I recall.

All right. You enjoyed your March 25th, 2021 meeting with Vitol?

Correct.

You told Vitol it was a pleasure meeting with -with them, with Sebastian, Charlotte, and Tim, correct?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

12

11

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Correct.

That sounds about right.

Okay. Have you talked to Ms. Horowitz on the Q. phone?

Α. Correct, yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you exchanged e-mails with her? Q.

Absolutely.

You do not allege that Ms. Horowitz has ever made any kind of racist comment to you, or to anyone, to your knowledge, at Petro, correct?

Not to my knowledge.

Q. You're not alleging, Petro's not alleging, to your knowledge, that Ms. Horowitz ever did anything that Petro claims was discrimination, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Same question about Mr. Moretti: You're not alleging that Mr. Moretti ever did or said anything that was racist or discriminatory to Petro, correct?

Correct.

Q. Same question for Mr. Kologinczak: You're not alleging, Petro's not alleging, that Mr. Kologinczak ever did or said anything that was racist or discriminatory as to Petro, correct?

Α. Correct.

Other than the time you've met with Mr. Moretti at the meeting in Houston, have you ever met or talked to

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Mr. Moretti, personally?

First time.

First and only time? Q.

Α. Yes.

All right. Mr. Kologinczak, is that, the March 25th, '21 meeting, was that the only time you ever met with him?

No. Met him on site a few times. Α.

Q. And all your dealings with Mr. Kologinczak, has he ever done anything or said anything that you believed was racist or discriminatory?

Α.

Other than the three individuals we've talked about at Vitol, Ms. Horowitz, Mr. Morreti, Mr. Kologinczak, have you ever spoken or exchanged correspondence with any other Vitol employee related to your work for Petro?

A. Yes.

Who?

Oh, my gosh, he used to work for -- he was actually the general manager for Vitol. Eduardo Garcia.

Q. Eduardo Garcia?

A.

Q. Mr. Garcia had departed before the maintenance contract at issue in this case was signed, correct?

Do not recall that.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

(340) 773-8161

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1	Q. You're not alleging Mr. Garcia ever did or said
2	anything
3	A. No.
4	Q that was racist or discriminatory?
5	MS. ROHN: Sir, let him finish his
6	question
7	A. Okay.
8	MS. ROHN: then answer, okay?
9	A. Thank you.
10	Q. (Mr. Kaplan) That's all right.
11	Have you ever been deposed, sir?
12	A. No. First time. Sorry.
13	Q. No worries.
14	I do have a tendency to talk fast, so if you
15	don't hear my question, want me to repeat it, just tell me,
16	okay?
17	A. will do.
18	Q. Let me ask the question again.
19	You're not alleging that Eduardo Garcia, when
20	he worked for Vitol, ever did or said anything that was
21	racist or discriminatory to you or to Petro, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Okay. Now, let me ask about another defendant in
24	this case, Island Project and Operating Services.
25	You're familiar with the company referred to
•	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

often as IPOS or IPOS?

A. IPOS, yes.

Q. IPOS, is that how you refer to them?

A.

Q. All right. Petro is not accusing any employee of IPOS of any racist or discriminatory conduct, true?

Α.

Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that Petro's claims of racist or discriminatory or defamatory behavior are based entirely on the alleged conduct of Andrew Canning?

A. Could you rephrase that? It's kind of a little vague.

Sure. Q.

Petro's claims in this case of racist, discriminatory, defamatory conduct, are based on your allegations about what Mr. Andrew Canning did, correct?

MS. ROHN: Well, I think that calls -objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

(Mr. Kaplan) You may answer, sir.

A. The way I see it, it was that they harbored Mr. Canning. They -- we told them what was going on, and they kept on. They kept him on.

Q. Who's "they", sir?

A. IPOS.

Did IPOS do anything -- let me back up. Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

16

15

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand you have your allegations about what Canning did or said, and we're going to go through those, but I want to be specific.

Is Petro alleging that IPOS said or did anything that was discriminatory, racist, or defamatory to Petro?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Asked and answered.

(Mr. Kaplan) Please answer, sir. 0.

Α. I -- that's -- I guess that's my answer.

You have to answer the question.

MS. ROHN: He did.

A. Yes, I did. I believe they harbored him. I believe that that's -- that was -- them not doing anything was -- speaks for itself.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Other than, to use your term, "harboring Mr. Canning," do you believe that IPOS did anything racist, discriminatory, or defamatory as to Petro?

A. By not doing anything, yes.

Q. Please listen to my question carefully.

Other than harboring him, or not doing anything, do you believe IPOS did anything that was racist, discriminatory, or defamatory as to Petro?

Α. Yes.

Q. what?

Again, by not doing anything, they were part of

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

the racist atmosphere.

Yes. I understand you're saying IPOS didn't do anything.

I'm asking you, other than your claim that IPOS didn't do anything, is there anything else that you contend that IPOS did or didn't do that is racist, defamatory, or discretionary as to Petro?

That is my claim. Α.

Q. There's nothing else?

10 That's right.

11 Okay. Before Petro, you worked for a company 12 called Vivot Construction Corp?

> Α. Correct.

For how long did you work for Vivot?

Less than a year. I would say -- yeah, less than a vear.

Q. Could you place the time frame when you started working for Vivot?

A. October to March of '18. No. Sorry. '17-'18. So '17 into '18, yes.

Were you an employee of Vivot? Q.

22 Correct.

> Did you -- were you a shareholder or owner of Q. Vivot in any respect?

Α. No.

I					
1	Q.	What was your position at Vivot?	1	while you	were working at Vivot?
2	A.	I was general manager for Vivot.	2	Α.	Both St. Thomas and St. Croix.
3	Q.	You believe you stopped working for Vivot sometime	3	Q.	The WAPA facilities?
4	in the fa	11 of 2018?	4	Α.	Correct.
5	A.	No. Spring of 2018.	5	Q.	When did you form Petro?
6	Q.	Where were you based when you worked for Vivot?	6	Α.	End of Feb I'm sorry. End of April, 2018.
7	Α.	On St. Croix.	7	Q.	And did you form Petro, or did you acquire it?
8	Q.	Vivot did work for IPOS at the time you were	8	Α.	I formed it.
9	there?		9	Q.	Incorporated in the Virgin Islands?
10	Α.	Rephrase that. Sorry.	10	Α.	Correct.
11	Q.	At the time you worked for Vivot, did Vivot do	11	Q.	And you said you're the sole member of Petro,
12	work for	IPOS?	12	correct?	
13	Α.	I believe they had. I can't recall. I couldn't	13	Α.	Correct.
14	tell you.		14	Q.	And you've always been the sole member?
15	Q.	Before you went to work for Petro, you had worked	15	Α.	Correct.
16	with Andr	ew Canning, right?	16	Q.	Are there any other officers of Petro?
17	Α.	Correct.	17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	In what context had you worked with Mr. Canning	18	Q.	How many employees does Petro have?
19	before yo	u worked at Petro?	19	Α.	It varies per project, 20 to 80.
20	Α.	Through Vivot.	20	Q.	How many employees do you have today?
21	Q.	And on what projects did you work with Mr. Canning	21	Α.	I'd say about 35.
22	while you	were working at Vivot?	22		MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. I need the witness
23	Α.	Maintenance, welding. After the hurricane, we	23	to speak	up, please.
24	helped hi	m kind of get a lot of things back in order.	24	Α.	Okay. No problem.
25	Q.	At what facilities did you work with Mr. Canning	25	Q.	(Mr. Kaplan) Mr. Melendez, where were you born,
		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161			Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1	sir?	
2	Α.	St. Charles, Illinois.
3	Q.	And how old did you live in Illinois?
4	Α.	Parents left there when I was an infant. Probably
5	not even	two years.
6	Q.	Where did where did you move after that?
7	Α.	Texas.
8	Q.	Where, in Texas?
9	Α.	Houston, Texas.
10	Q.	Where, in Houston?
11	Α.	Actually, there in kind of Channelview area, I
12	guess.	
13	Q.	And did you go to elementary and primary school in
14	Houston?	
15	Α.	I went to elementary only, and then we moved again
16	down to s	outh Texas, McAllen, Texas. I went to third grade
17	there.	
18	Q.	Okay. And how long did you live in Texas?
19	Α.	Graduated from high school, Texas.
20	Q.	And what did you do after high school?
21	Α.	I'm sorry. Graduated college in Texas. I'm
22	sorry.	
23	Q.	Where did you go to college?
24	Α.	University of Houston.
25	Q.	What did you study?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. Accounting.

2 Q. And what did you do after graduating from the 3 University of Houston?

A. Various jobs. Latest one before I came here was El Paso Energy.

20

Doing what kind of work?

Pipeline work. Inspecting. Reviewing. Preparing.

8 9

1

4 5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

22

23

24

Q. Based in Houston?

Based in Houston.

Q. How long did you live in Houston after graduating college?

A. 2000. Off and on, probably about a good eight years.

Q. When did you graduate college?

Twenty-one. I'm sorry. 2021. I'm sorry. 2001. Α.

2001?

Sorry. So many different --

19 Q. Oh, I understand.

You graduated college, 2001. You lived in

Houston roughly eight years after that?

A. Correct.

Q. Where did you go after you left Houston?

A. Back to south Texas, McAllen. And then in 2015,

25 '14, actually came to St. Thomas.

	CETZIGITC	AZMELENDEZ/UR: 1-2 DIRECTL-EATI DUCUITIET	IL #.	OUIS, LLC/A	A. FMELTENDEZ JAR DO DERECT Paye 0 01 04
1	Q.	In 2014 or '15?	1	Α.	Yeah. Beginning of 2015.
2	A.	Fifteen. I'm sorry.	2	Q.	You started working for Limetree Bay?
3	Q.	You moved to St. Thomas in 2015?	3	Α.	That's right.
4	A.	That's right. November.	4	Q.	Was Limetree Bay your employer?
5	Q.	What job did you have on St. Thomas when you first	5	Α.	No. Company called NIS.
6	moved th	ere?	6	Q.	What does NIS stand for?
7	A.	Actually worked for Vitol-IPOS.	7	Α.	National Industrial Services, I believe.
8	Q.	Who was your employer?	8	Q.	All right. So moved to St. Thomas in 2015.
9	A.	RG Construction.	9	Worked for	three months for RG Construction. Then you went
10	Q.	RG Construction?	10	to work fo	or Nash for NIS, which you think is National
11	A.	RG Construction. RG Construction, yes.	11	Industria	l Services?
12	Q.	Is that the letters R-G	12	Α.	I believe.
13	A.	Yeah, RG.	13	Q.	How long did you work for NIS?
14	Q.	or is that a name?	14	Α.	I worked there for approximately two years.
15		RG?	15	Q.	What was your job?
16	A.	Yeah.	16	Α.	Coordinator. Project coordinator.
17	Q.	What was your job at RG Construction?	17	Q.	And that was all your work at NIS was at the
18	A.	I was their quality control guy.	18	Limetree E	Bay facility?
19	Q.	And how long did you work for RG Construction?	19	Α.	Correct.
20	A.	Three months.	20	Q.	So that's 2015 through, roughly, 2017ish?
21	Q.	Why did you leave RG Construction?	21	Α.	2017. Right after the hurricane.
22	A.	Finishing out the start-up for IPOS-Vitol in	22	Q.	Okay. And did you go right from NIS to Vivot?
23	St. Thoma	s. Limetree Bay was opening up, so I moved over to	23	Α.	Correct.
24	work wit	n Limetree Bay.	24	Q.	So you've never been employed by Vitol, correct?
25	Q.	So sometime in 2015, or so?	25	A.	Correct. No.
		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR			Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

(340) 773-8161

23

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMF (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1 You've never been employed by IPOS, correct? 2 Correct. 3 Sir, how do you describe your racial identity? Q. 4 Mexican American Hispanic. Α. 5 Is Petro a -- a pass-through entity, or does it 6 file tax returns at the corporate level? 7 A. Files tax returns. 8 At a LLC level? 9 Α. Correct. 10 Q. Does Petro have an accountant or a bookkeeper? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Who? Q. 13 The name of the person? A. 14 Q. 15 A. I apologize. Kathleen. I'll get her information,

16 if you wish.
17 Q. Is she an employee of Petro, or is she an outside accountant?

A. Outside accountant.

Q. Is she based here in the Virgin Islands --

A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- or in the States?

A. In the Virgin Islands.

MS. ROHN: Sir, you have to let him finish his question. You can't know what he's asking till he

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

finishes.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 A. Yes, ma'am. I know.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) How do you keep your financial books at Petro? Do you use QuickBooks, or some other accounting software?

A. QuickBooks.

Q. Have you used QuickBooks since you started Petro? Since you formed it?

A. Yes.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ You use QuickBooks to prepare profit and loss statements for Petro?

A. Correct.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad$ Do you use QuickBooks for project-related accounting, or do you use a different type of software for that?

A. Yes.

Q. So if I wanted to see Petro's financial records on a particular project, you would use QuickBooks to look up that project?

A. Correct.

Q. All right, sir. I'm going to turn your attention to the maintenance contract at issue in this case, all right?

A. Okay.

Q. The maintenance contract is between Petro and

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Crissialickien Documen
1	IPOS, and was dated September 1st, 2019, right?
2	MS. ROHN: That's not a question. You just
3	said it's dated this date.
4	MR. KAPLAN: I asked my question. You can
5	answer.
6	MS. ROHN: Are you asking if that's correct?
7	MR. KAPLAN: I said, right.
8	MS. ROHN: Oh, I didn't hear your right.
9	Sorry.
10	MR. KAPLAN: That's okay. I'll repeat my
11	question so it's clear.
12	Q. (Mr. Kaplan) The maintenance contract at issue in
13	this case is a contract between Petro and IPOS, correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. The maintenance contract is dated September 1st,
16	2019, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Did Petro use any contractors to do work under the
19	maintenance contract with IPOS?
20	A. Rephrase that. I'm sorry.
21	Q. Petro has employees, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Did Petro use any non-employees, any contractors,
24	to do any of the work that Petro did under the maintenance
25	contract?
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Α.	Subcontractors.	ves

Q. Which subcontractors did Petro use to do work under the maintenance contract?

A. The maintenance contract was big, so a lot of stuff was passed through to us, either through boilerwork or anything else, so those are subcontractors under us. I can't tell you who all of them were, or what subcontracts there were. The daily maintenance was just our employees.

Q. When you say, "subcontractors," are you referring to companies or individuals?

A. Companies.

Q. Can you identify any of the subcontractor companies that Petro used for the -- under the maintenance contract?

A. Oh, my goodness. For example, we were tasked to repair their cameras, so we had to get, I think, Reliable Network under us. Example.

Q. What about mechanical work, pipe fitters, welders, painters, did Petro use only its employees, or did it use any contractors for -- for that type of mechanical work under the maintenance contract?

A. Only our employees.

Q. All of the welders, who did work for Petro under the maintenance contract, were employees of Petro?

Correct.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

28

27

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. All of the folks who did painting work, under the maintenance contract, were employees of Petro?

A. Correct.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right, sir. I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 2.

> (Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)

MS. FRANCIS: Can you please identify the document for the record?

MR. KAPLAN: Yes. I'm sorry, Simone.

(Mr. Kaplan) I've shown you Exhibit 2, sir. Do you recognize this as Petro's First Amended Complaint, filed in this lawsuit?

A. Give me one minute. I apologize.

(Respite.)

Correct.

Q. You personally authorized this lawsuit to be filed, correct?

Correct.

Q. Did you review the Complaint before it was filed?

A.

If you saw anything that was inaccurate in the Complaint, you would have pointed that out, correct?

Correct. A.

Q. Okay. So you stand by what's stated in the PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Complaint, true?

True.

Q. All right. Take a look, if you would, sir, on the third page, Paragraph Number 17.

Paragraph 17 says, "On September 10th, 2019, Petro and IPOS entered into a contract for Petro to perform preventative maintenance, remedial maintenance, scheduled projects, and provide equipment rentals, and material procurement."

Do you see that?

A. I see it.

You believe that's true, correct? Q.

Α.

Q. All right. Paragraph 18 then says, "The term of the contract was to commence on September 1st, 2019. Could be terminated by either party giving sixty-days written notice to the other party after the first five-years."

Do you see that?

I see it.

Q. And do you agree with what's stated in Paragraph 18?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Paragraph 19 says, "Otherwise, the contract could only be cancelled for cause after one-year."

Do you, sir, agree, on behalf of Petro, with

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After -- after five years.

After five years. Okay.

But after one year, on what grounds could IPOS terminate the contract for cause?

A. It's IPOS's decision.

All right. If IPOS concluded that Petro was not performing its work with care, and to the right standard of quality, would that be a proper basis for IPOS to terminate the maintenance contract?

A. You're asking me -- I just repeated -- after five years, 60-day notice, they can cancel the contract for whatever reason they wanted to.

Q. I'm asking you, before five years. Do you understand? Do you understand my question?

Before five years --

Um-hum. Α.

-- on what grounds could IPOS terminate the Q. maintenance contract?

Can't answer that question.

Q. If IPOS concluded that Petro's work was unsafe or didn't meet a high standard of care, under your view, would IPOS have the right to terminate the contract?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

32

Yeah, that is not for me to answer.

(Mr. Kaplan) All right. Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. What did you say?

MS. ROHN: It's not for me to answer.

(Mr. Kaplan) All right, sir. I'm going to show you Exhibit 3, which is a copy of the maintenance contract.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Deposition Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)

Q. Mr. Melendez, have you ever read the maintenance contract?

O. The maintenance contract does not guarantee to Petro any particular dollar amount of work, correct?

Correct.

The maintenance contract does not guarantee to Petro any particular number of projects, correct?

Correct.

Q. Under the maintenance contract, it was up to IPOS to decide, based on its contract with the project owner, what work to do, right?

A. There was already set maintenance to be done, so there was a workflow. So, yes, there is work.

Q. Who decided what maintenance to do under the maintenance contract?

General managers that were there.

The general manager of IPOS, correct?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Yeah.

O. IPOS would decide, under the maintenance contract. what work, what maintenance work IPOS wanted or needed to do at the facility, correct?

A. There's -- I mean, there's a set maintenance on equipment. There's not like they have to decide; they have to do it. There's a set maintenance for all types of equipment, everything. So that's already set.

Q. Set by who?

By the manufacturer, where they got it. By everybody. It's preventative maintenance.

There are types of preventative maintenance that has to be done on a periodic basis, is what you're saying?

Correct.

Some maintenance can be deferred, correct? Q.

MS. ROHN: Objection. Speculative.

That's not for me to say. I'm not the expert.

(Mr. Kaplan) Under the contract, the maintenance contract does not specify the maintenance projects, the specific projects, that Petro is going to do, right?

MS. ROHN: Asked and answered.

(Mr. Kaplan) Correct?

This is a maintenance correct. There's nothing about projects.

The maintenance contract does not specify any

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

specific maintenance projects that Petro is going to do, true?

A. It's maintenance in general, so, yes.

Which maintenance projects does this contract specify that Petro is going to do?

A. It's maintenance in general. Again, there is a workflow. There is a schedule that is already set, done,

So this contract was entered into on September 1st, 2019, and was going to have a five-year term,

Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You're telling me, in September 1st, 2019, there was a five-year set schedule of maintenance to be done?

A. Yearly, yes. Yearly, yes.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} In 2019, who set the maintenance schedule for the first year of the contract?

A. Again, it's collaboration between general managers, their managers, us, as maintenance, yes.

Q. If there was a particular aspect of maintenance to be done at the facility, and IPOS decided to do that work itself, would that be a violation of your contract, in your

I can't answer that.

If there was some maintenance work to be done at 0.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

the facility, and IPOS decided to put that work out to bid to multiple contractors, does Petro contend that that would be a violation of the contract?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Asks for a legal conclusion.

If it was maintenance, I believe so. Α.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Did you ever challenge IPOS for putting any work out to bid as a violation of your contract?

A. It's just too gray. I -- can you repeat the question?

If it's just maintenance, no. If it's projects, yes.

Q. Okay. Let me understand that distinction. You're saying if it's not a maintenance project, if it's any other type of project, you believe it would be okay for IPOS to put that work out to bid to multiple contractors?

A. That's their choice.

You're saying if it's a maintenance project, you believe you had the contractual right to all of that work?

A. Yes.

All right. Help me understand the difference, what you're calling maintenance work and project work. Can you explain what would qualify as maintenance work, and what would qualify as project work?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

36

35

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MS. ROHN: Object to the form.

A. General maintenance was scheduled. A lot of it was done under a time and material basis, which you have a -- a hourly rate for it.

Projects was actually budgeted. That's the difference, meaning that we had to provide a budget for it.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) So if you provided a budget, that means it's project work, not maintenance work?

A. Let me -- so with IPOS, we were in -- we were in the roots of everything. So even on maintenance, we provided -- I mean, budgets, so they can actually go to Vitol and say, this is how much we need.

Again, if I can explain projects, we actually were given, like, hey, there is this project that's going to happen, we need a budget for. So they would call it a project. I guess, that's my -- it's hard to explain it. We were so interweaved that -- yeah. I mean, most of the time, like I said, maintenance was done on time and material. True, true maintenance. Yeah.

Q. Turn to the last page, if you would, of the maintenance contract, Exhibit 3.

This is the time and material rates sheet you were just referring to?

> Correct. A.

Do you see at the middle, kind of above the

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

schedule there, it says, "2019 T&M Rate Sheet - Labor"?

Correct.

And if you go up from that, it says, under Q. Project, it says "2020 T&M Rate Sheet."

Do you see that?

Correct.

And the date is 9-1-2020. Q.

Do you see that?

Correct. Α.

Do you know why the contract was signed September 1, 2019, and has attached to it a schedule that is dated the following year, 2020?

Α. Don't recall.

Q. Do you recall how this Appendix A, the schedule, came to be part of the maintenance contract?

How it came to be? Α.

Yeah. How this document got incorporated or attached to the contract?

It was just attached. Α.

Q. Are you aware of any other appendix or schedule that the parties used to set forth Petro's rates under the maintenance contract, other than this one here, as Appendix A?

Cannot recall. A.

Q. Okay. Mr. Melendez, do you agree that people can

make allegations of discrimination that are untrue? 1 1 Correct. Α. MS. ROHN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 2 2 And she was employed by you at Petro, correct? o. A. I don't understand what you're asking. 3 3 A. 4 (Mr. Kaplan) Do you agree that not every 4 And in May of 2020, Ms. Johny filed a lawsuit allegation of discrimination that is made is true? against Petro, claiming unlawful racial discrimination, 5 5 6 MS. ROHN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 6 correct? 7 A. I don't know how that pertains to this. Α. I believe so. 8 (Mr. Kaplan) In your experience, have you 8 And on behalf of Petro, you denied Ms. Johny's allegations of racial discrimination, correct? 9 experienced an allegation of racial discrimination that you 9 10 believe was untrue? 10 Correct. 11 Against me? 11 You didn't believe that the allegation of racial A. 12 Q. Yes. 12 discrimination was true, right? 13 13 Correct. Α. Okay. Yes. 14 Petro was accused of racial discrimination, 14 Do you recall that in her lawsuit, Ms. Johny Q. 15 15 alleged that your brother -- your brother's name is Brian correct? 16 A. Correct. 16 Melendez, correct? 17 17 Q. A secretary, who worked for Petro, sued Petro, Correct. claiming she was denied benefits, and ultimately lost her 18 And your brother works with you at Petro? 18 0. 19 job on account of her race, correct? 19 A. 20 Correct. 20 Okay. Do you recall that Ms. Johny, in her 21 Q. Do you recall this was a former employee, Kenia 21 lawsuit, alleged that your brother had altered timesheets 22 Johny? Johny? 22 for work at Petro? 23 23 A. Johnv. A. That's what she stated. Okay. And Ms. Johny alleged that Petro had billed 24 Ms. Johny was a -- a black female, native of 24 25 25 St. Lucia, who lived on St. Croix, correct? customers for time not worked by Mr. -- by your brother, Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

39

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Melendez? That was her allegation, correct? A. That's her allegations, correct. Q. And Ms. Johny alleged in her Complaint that Petro had falsified payroll records, crediting hours to you, to Mr. Persuad, and your brother, for hours not worked, right? A. That's what she alleged. Q. Did Petro ever submit bills to IPOS for work that was not done, or time that was not spent? A. No. Q. Was Ms. Johny fired because of her race?

Α. Q. This lawsuit by Ms. Johny in 2020 against Petro, to your eyes, was an example of someone alleging that they were terminated, or had some adverse consequence taken against them, because of their race, but really you believe they were valid concerns about performance, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Compound question, and objection to form.

Repeat the question. Α.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Sure.

So Ms. Johny was alleging, in her lawsuit against Petro, that she was terminated because of her race, right?

Correct. That's what she alleged. Α.

But you and Petro believed that, in fact, Q.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Ms. Johny was terminated because of valid concerns about her performance, right?

40

A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Were you deposed in the lawsuit that Ms. Johny ο. filed?

A.

What happened with the lawsuit that Ms. Johny Q. filed against Petro?

A. If I recall, it got dismissed here. It went to EEOC, and I don't know what it is, but in Puerto Rico. It got dismissed here. The civil case with us here. We finally settled for nothing.

Q. Did you pay money to Ms. Johny to settle the case? MS. ROHN: Wait, wait, wait. First of all,

was this a confidential settlement?

Yes. Α.

MS. ROHN: Sorry. Can't answer.

(Mr. Kaplan) Take a break?

Please. Α.

MR. KAPLAN: Five minutes.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The

time is 10:30.

(Short recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record.

24 25

The time is 10:41 Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) All right, Mr. Melendez. Petro first filed this lawsuit on September 28th, 2021.

Do you recall that?

A. Sounds correct.

- **Q.** All right. The Complaint that was filed was the first time that Petro ever complained about discrimination related to the maintenance contract, correct?
 - A. Not correct.
- **Q.** Did you, or to your knowledge, anyone at Petro, ever tell anyone at Vitol that Mr. Canning had engaged in any racist or discriminatory behavior?
 - A. Do not recall.
- **Q.** Okay. As you sit here today, as Petro's corporate representative, you cannot recall ever telling anyone at Vitol that Andrew Canning had ever done anything that was racist or discriminatory towards Petro, true?
 - A. I can say true.
- Q. What about IPOS, did you, or to your knowledge, anyone at Petro, ever tell anyone at IPOS that Mr. Canning had engaged in any racist or discriminatory behavior as to Petro?
 - A. We have communicated, yes.
- ${f Q}_{\bullet}$ Okay. Tell me who, at IPOS, you communicated that Mr. Canning had engaged in some sort of racist or
- discriminatory behavior as to Petro?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. Both general managers, Merlin Figueria, David Smith. Their — their operations supervisors, Granger Rawle. I forget the other gentleman's name. I can't think of it right now. From their — from their — actually, operations manager also as well, Calvin Schmidt, Coury Hodge. So, yes, the list is there.

Q. Tell me when -- and are these conversations that you had, or someone else at Petro had?

- A. Both.
- Q. Let's talk about your conversations.

Tell me when you spoke with Mr. Figueria, and reported to him that Mr. Canning had engaged in some racist or discriminatory behavior.

- A. Can't give you an exact date.
- Q. What about Mr. Smith, tell me when you told Mr. Smith that Mr. Canning had engaged in some sort of racist or discriminatory behavior?
- $\textbf{A.}\quad$ The first year in our -- 2018. I can't recall a date.
- **Q.** During 2018, you believe Mr. Canning had engaged in racist or discriminatory behavior as to Petro?
 - A. That was the beginning of it, yes.
- Q. When, in 2018?
 - A. This was actually the first summer of 2018.
 - Q. All right. So during the summer of 2018, you

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

believe that Mr. Canning was racist and discriminatory as to Petro, correct?

- A. That was the beginning of it, yes.
- Q. What did Mr. Canning do or say in the summer of 2018 that you believe showed that he was racist or discriminatory as to Petro?
- ${\bf A.}$ We shared an office building where I was sometimes on my computer. He was on the other side. The office building was actually a 40-foot trailer. Construction building.

There was one occasion that I was on the other side. He didn't know that I was there, but he was looking over at my crew, which were islanders, and just was playing where he was trying to track them when they were coming in and out. He was playing. He was like, I got you, I got you. Like, he was talking out loud, and I heard him say that.

Later that -- later that week, he brought me in, and was demanding that I show him gate logs of when my guys were in or when they were out. I gave him the gate logs. Nothing was correct. He accused us of stealing from the company. Of being -- being thieves of, you know, just, this is the way island people work. So all that. We proved to him that the gate logs were right. That the actual gate attendant were the one logging in. No apologies came about.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

That was the beginning of it.

Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Canning use a racial slur or a racist word in reference to you, or any other Petro employee?

A. "Islander" was a big to him, meaning lazy.
Meaning not doing what they needed to. For me, that was race.

- **Q.** Other than the term "islander," did you ever hear Mr. Canning use any other term that you believe was a racist slur, or some evidence of discrimination?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- **Q.** All right. Now, on on this issue of time records and gate logs, there were multiple occasions where you had back and forth with Mr. Canning about time records for Petro employees, correct?
 - A. Correct.

MS. ROHN: Object to the form.

- **Q. (Mr. Kaplan)** Yes?
- A. Correct.
 - **Q.** Okay. Is it your position that Petro's time records, in every case, were accurate and never had issues that Mr. Canning pointed out that were correct?
 - A. I can say there was some errors that were pointed out, and were corrected.
 - Q. Now, you charged Mr. Canning with racist and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discriminatory behavior, right? A. Correct. But the truth is, you didn't believe that 0. Mr. Canning discriminated against you or Petro until you filed this lawsuit, right, sir? A. Not correct. Q. In fact, Mr. Canning supported you and your companies for years, right? A. That's gray. I mean, supported? No. Q. Mr. Canning helped you, and your companies, make a lot of money, true? MS. ROHN: Objection to form. A. We worked for our money. We did our jobs for our monev. (Mr. Kaplan) You used to work for Vivot, as we 0. talked about, right? Correct. Q. And at the time you worked for Vivot, you did work with Mr. Canning, correct? Correct. O. The work that Vivot did at the WAPA facilities was

fairly limited in scope, compared to the work that Petro did under the maintenance contract?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form. You can answer.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

We -- we grew the -- the scope, correct.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) And you grew the scope of the work that you did during the time you were working with Mr. Canning, correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form.

A. Again, there's maintenance. There's -- there's projects. It's not -- it's not about all Mr. Canning. There's also -- I mean, there's also David Smith. There was also Merlin. So it's bigger than just Mr. Canning.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) My question, during the time that you grew the scope of work you were doing, Mr. Canning was there the whole time? He was a constant presence, correct?

Correct.

Mr. Canning didn't stand in the way of your new company, Petro, getting the maintenance contract with IPOS, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form. Assumes facts not in evidence.

A. I mean, he, David Smith, was the one who signed the contract.

(Mr. Kaplan) To your knowledge, did Mr. Canning object or protest Petro getting the maintenance contract?

A. I can say, yes, he did. Actually, like I said, there was instances that we were complaining already of what he was doing or what he was saying to Petro. So, yes, there

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

48

47

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

was some friction there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. But whatever Mr. Canning did, he obviously didn't have enough success, because you got the maintenance contract with IPOS in September --

MS. ROHN: Objection.

(Mr. Kaplan) -- of 2019, correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Argumentative.

Α. Correct.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Mr. Canning helped you and Petro get additional work beyond your work for IPOS and Vitol, right?

A. Rephrase. What -- what type of work are you talking about, or what -- what jobs are you talking about? I'm sorry.

MS. ROHN: Objection.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Did Mr. Canning help you, help Petro, get additional work for companies, other than IPOS or Vitol?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Vague.

Yeah, you got to be more specific. I'm sorry.

(Mr. Kaplan) Do you deny that Mr. Canning ever helped you get work from any third-party companies?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Vague.

A. Yeah. Again, be more specific. I don't -- I think I know where you're getting at, and I think I know what you're doing, but specifically, did he put a recommendation letter or something down, no.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

(Mr. Kaplan) well, if you know what I'm doing, I'd like you to answer my question.

Did Mr. Canning --

MS. ROHN: Do not be argumentative with my client, please.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Did Mr. Canning ever help you, sir, get any work from any third parties?

A. Again, you know, let me say no, then, okay? I know where you're coming with, but go ahead.

MS. ROHN: Sir, just answer the question.

A. Okay. Sorry.

(Mr. Kaplan) So your sworn testimony is Mr. Canning never helped you get work from any third parties? That's what you just said, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. It's a vague question.

A. It is. That's my problem. I can't answer yes or no, because it's very vague. You're saying, you know, ever, ever. I mean, Mr. Canning and I worked hand in hand on a lot of different things. You know, we were working in the same construction trailer for months. But, go ahead.

(Mr. Kaplan) Did Mr. Canning ever recommend you, or Petro, to any third parties for work, to your knowledge?

A.

If Mr. Canning was, as you say, a racist, and had it out for Petro, why do you think Mr. Canning recommended

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Carrist Inc/A. Melibrotz/Unit. Le-brinett-EAH DOCUMENT
1	Petro to third parties?
2	A. I can't answer that.
3	Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 5,
4	which is for the record, I'll just read the Bates number.
5	It's Canning 002815.
6	MR. BECKSTEDT: Is that Exhibit 4 or 5?
7	MR. KAPLAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Did I mess up?
8	MS. ROHN: Yeah, you missed a number.
9	MR. KAPLAN: Let me fix that.
10	(Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	Okay. I'm going to show you what I've marked
13	as Exhibit 4, sir
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. which is Canning 002815.
16	MS. ROHN: Thank you.
17	MR. KAPLAN: You're welcome.
18	Q. (Mr. Kaplan) All right, sir. Exhibit 4 is an
19	e-mail from Mr. Canning to a Mr. Rodriguez at a company
20	called Aggreko.
21	Do you see that?
22	A. True.
23	Q. And Mr. Canning sent this e-mail. And you see
24	that you're listed, Mr. Melendez, as a bcc on the e-mail?
25	A. Correct.
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161
	(4-4) 4-4-

Q. You were blind copied by Mr. Canning, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Do you know -- you're familiar with the company Aggreko, right?

A. Correct.

O. It's a HVAC and industrial rental --

A. Yeah -

Q. -- equipment company?

A. -- they work for WAPA.

MS. ROHN: Sir, let me finish his question.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) The last part, you trailed off there.
You said Aggreko works for WAPA, is that what

You said Aggreko works for WAPA, is that wr

you said?

 $\textbf{A.}\quad \text{They're providing temporary units for WAPA right now.}$

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} . All right. And do you know this gentleman, Joao Rodrigues?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Who is Mr. Rodrigues?

A. He was the project manager for that Aggreko job.

Q. All right. If you look at Mr. Canning's e-mail, if you look at the first line, he says, "I've discussed the ground testing that you require in the WAPA yard site with Adrian Melendez project manager with Petro Industrial Solutions and their sister company Vivot engineering."

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

52

51

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Do you see that? Yes?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q. All right.

 $\label{the court reporter: Oh, actually, you can't mark on it.} The COURT REPORTER: Oh, actually, you can't$

A. Oh. Didn't know.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) All right. If you go down to the full paragraph there towards the bottom, Mr. Canning writes to Aggreko, "When you have time I would like to introduce to Adrian, his team and the range of services that Petro-Industrial Solutions delivered to ourselves at IPOS."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Canning says, "As said in our discussions yesterday, we have been working with Adrian and his team at Petro Industrial Solutions and Vivot for the last year and have been extremely happy with the professional services that they provide, and the quality of work that they deliver."

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Correct.

Q. Mr. Canning, to your knowledge, was certainly under no obligation to write this sort of recommendation e-mail to Aggreko, right?

(340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Mr. Canning, making this recommendation, and providing these positive remarks, was helpful to you and to Petro. correct?

A. with Aggreko, yes.

Q. In fact, after Mr. Canning's recommendation in March of 2018, Petro got work from Aggreko, right?

A. Correct.

Q. In fact, Petro got \$800,000 of work from Aggreko after Mr. Canning recommended you to Aggreko, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Form of the question.

A. It was not just Mr. Canning's doing. I mean, we have -- the reason Mr. Canning's saying that is because we stand by our work. We do our work. He was happy with our work, so, therefore, of course. I mean. I'm sorry. But, yeah, I mean, you can see that Mr. Canning is happy with our work, and was happy, period. So -- anyways. I'm sorry. Sorry.

MS. ROHN: You can answer the question.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) My question, after Mr. Canning's March 7th, 2017 e-mail, introducing you to Aggreko and praising your work, Petro got more than \$800,000 of work from Aggreko, true?

MS. ROHN: Asked and answered.

MR. KAPLAN: Not answered.

MS. ROHN: Yes, it was.

MR. KAPLAN: You can object to the form of 1 1 2 2 the question, but --3 3 MS. ROHN: You're not going to harass my 4 client by asking him the same questions over and over again, 4 5 which he has answered. 5 Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Objection. Nonresponsive. I'll ask 6 6 7 my question again. 7 8 It's a simple question, sir. 8 9 9 A. Go ahead. 10 Q. After Mr. Canning's March 7th, 2018 e-mail to 10 11 Aggreko, Petro got work from Aggreko, true? 11 12 MS. ROHN: Objection to the form of the 12 13 question. 13 14 A. Again, it was not just that easy. Just because of 14 15 Mr. Canning's okay or -- or whatever, we didn't get the 15 contract just right after that. I mean, it's a series of --16 16 of performance. We had to get recommendations from a lot 17 17 more than Mr. Canning. 18 18 19 (Mr. Kaplan) That's not my question. My question 19 20 is simply the sequence. 20 21 Before Mr. Canning sent this e-mail in March 21 22 of 2018, did you have a contract or any work from Aggreko? 22 23 We started a conversation of with looking at the 23 24 job. 24 25 25 Q. Please listen to my question.

> Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

MS. ROHN: He answered your question.

O. (Mr. Kaplan) Please listen to my question

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Please listen to my question carefully.

Before the March 7, 2018 e-mail from Mr. Canning, did Petro have a contract with Aggreko?

A. No.

Q. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:After Mr. Canning's recommendation, did Petro} \\ get a contract from Aggreko?$

 $\label{eq:MS.ROHN:} \textbf{Objection to the form of the} \\ \text{question.}$

A. Okay. Yes.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay.

A. Eventually.

Q. Now, ultimately, the work that Petro did for Aggreko wound up earning Petro \$800,000, true?

 $\label{eq:MS.ROHN:} \textbf{Objection to the form of the} \\$ question.

A. I think the contract was that, but I don't -- I mean, I can't recall.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Let me show you --

A. I mean, there's so many costs involved with that. It's not like it's profit, if I can say that.

 ${f Q}_{\bullet}$ Let me show you what I'm going to mark as Exhibit 5.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

56

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. Okay.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$

 $\label{eq:MR. KAPLAN: Yes, I will. I'll just -- I'll} get there, I promise.$

(Deposition Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. I'm showing Exhibit 5. This is Petro's Response to Vitol's Second Set of Interrogatories.

 $\label{eq:Take a look, if you would, sir, at the second \\ page, Interrogatory Number 8.$

A. Number 8. Okay.

Q. Now, this question asked Petro to list all clients, other than IPOS and the vitol defendants, for which Petro performed services between January 2018 and December 31, 2021.

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. And in the answer, the first company listed is this company, Aggreko, right?

A. Right.

Correct.

Q. And the dates that are listed are January 2019 to April 2019, correct?

(340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

55

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. So Petro didn't perform any work for Aggreko before January 2019, correct?

A. You can say that.

Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, sir.

A. Yeah. Correct. I mean, we started prework during '18, December 2018, so --

Q. Well, this is your interrogatory answer. I want to make sure it's accurate.

A. Correct. Yes.

 ${\bf Q.} \quad \hbox{Your interrogatory response says that Petro} \\ {\bf performed work for Aggreko from January 2018 to April 2019.} \\$

Is that accurate?

A. Correct.

Q. It identifies the type of work. It says, "Electrical, Mechanic, & Civil Work" at the WAPA facility.

Do you see that?

A. Ye

Q. And says approximately \$800,000, right?

A. Correct.

MS. ROHN: Says "payment."

MR. KAPLAN: Please don't testify.

MS. ROHN: You left out a word.

 $\label{eq:MR. KAPLAN: No, it doesn't -- please, do not testify for the witness.}$

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Now, it says approximately \$800,000,

	CONTROL THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	ι #. ς	OURIS, ILLC/AT MEIENDEZ, 4)R/G/20ERECT Page 13 01 04
1	correct?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Right.	2	Q. Okay. Was Petro providing work to WAPA in June of
3	Q. What does the \$800,000 represent? Is that profit	3	2022?
4	to Petro? Is that revenue?	4	A. Correct.
5	A. That's revenue to Petro.	5	Q. Okay. What work were you doing for WAPA in June
6	Q. Okay. Do you know what Petro's profit margin was	6	of 2022?
7	on the \$800,000 in revenues that Petro received from	7	A. If I can recall, it was in St. Thomas. We were
8	Aggreko?	8	doing some coating work.
9	A. I can't tell you that right now.	9	Q. Was this work you were hired directly by WAPA for?
10	Q. All right. While we're looking at this	10	A. Correct.
11	interrogatory, if you go down to the last company listed	11	Q. Did you have a contract with WAPA for that work?
12	there, it says, "WAPA USVI."	12	A. No. Just a just a PO.
13	Do you see that?	13	Q. Purchase order?
14	A. Correct.	14	A. A purchase order.
15	Q. And it says, "September 2019 to present."	15	Q. When was the purchased order entered into?
16	Do you see that?	16	A. I can't recall, sir.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. You said coating work?
18	Q. All right. Now, this interrogatory response is	18	A. Yeah. Coating. Painting.
19	dated June 23, 2022.	19	Q. What can you tell us about the scope of that work?
20	A. Okay.	20	What were you painting or coating?
21	Q. Do you see that?	21	A. If I'm not mistaken, their diesel line that is
22	A. Correct.	22	heavily corroded, so we were trying to remedy by basically
23	Q. If you look at the last page, you certified the	23	taking off the rust and putting coating on it.
24	interrogatory on the same date. So that do you see that	24	Q. Which specific facility was this work done?
25	date in your mind, June 23, 2022?	25	A. St. Thomas.
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

59

(340) 773-8161

60

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. And when were you awarded this purchase order? A. Again, I can't recall. I mean, it was within

the -- the time frame. I just can't give you an exact date.

Q. Okay. Do you recall the IPOS termination of your maintenance contract occurred in late July of 2021?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. After the IPOS termination of the maintenance contract in late July of 2021, did you continue to do work for WAPA thereafter?

A. Correct.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Okay. On a continuous basis, or was it just this one coating purchase order that you mentioned?

A. WAPA calls. Says, hey, we need this. We need a price. If it's good, then we work. If it's not, then we don't. It's not a contract.

Q. Did you have more than one purchase order with WAPA after the time that the -- that the -- sorry. Withdrawn. Let me ask that again.

After IPOS terminated your maintenance contract in late July of 2021 --

A. Okay.

Q. -- did you have more than one purchase order with WAPA?

Don't recall. A.

You certainly have records in your system where

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

you could go check and find out which purchase orders you had from WAPA after July of 2021?

A. Of course.

Q. Okay. Is there any other work, besides this coating painting work, that you specifically do recall doing for WAPA after the termination of the maintenance contract?

A. I can't narrow down the dates. I know there was something else, but I don't know if it was within that time frame. I apologize.

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ Do you recall the amount of purchase order for the coating work?

A. I don't recall. I apologize.

Is the coating work, where you had the PO with WAPA, part of the maintenance that would have been done under the maintenance contract?

A. No.

Q. Why is that?

A. I mean, WAPA has their own facility, right? They have their own equipment different from IPOS. Completely separate companies.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} Do you recall answering a interrogatory listing of the projects where you believe you lost work because of the conduct that you're alleging in this case? The 30 projects, do you recall that?

A. I believe I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay.
 A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And we'll get into that in some detail a little bit later, but there are some painting and coating projects on that list.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And are you saying that the painting and coating projects on the list of lost profits that you identified are painting and coating equipment that IPOS owns at a IPOS facility?

A. In the IPOS facility, correct. And there's completely divides. You have IPOS and you have WAPA. Completely, yeah.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad$ Who, at WAPA, did you deal with on this purchase order?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Gentleman named Hillary.} \quad \text{Hillary Baptiste}, \\ \text{St. Thomas.} \quad$

Q. Hillary Baptiste?

A. Yes.

 ${f Q}_{\bullet}$ He awarded you the -- Mr. Baptiste awarded Petro this purchase order?

A. Yes, I believe that's -- he's basically the person responsible for the job.

Q. Is your work for WAPA ongoing?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. Not right now.

Q. So as of June 2023 -- excuse me. As of June 23rd, 2022, your work for WAPA was ongoing, but it ended when?

A. Say probably those days. We haven't worked for them last year.

Q. Did Mr. -- to your knowledge, did Mr. Canning reach out to any other companies or third parties and recommend Petro for work?

A. No.

Q. What about Wartsila? Are you familiar with a company named Wartsila?

A. Correct.

Q. Has Petro done any work for Wartsila?

A. No.

Q. All right. Earlier, sir, when I was asking you about IPOS, you said that IPOS "harbored" Mr. Canning.

Do you recall using that word?

A. Yes.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf Q.} & {\tt Tell} \mbox{ me what you mean when you said, IPOS harbored} \\ {\tt Mr. Canning?} \end{tabular}$

A. It was multiple times, where we discussed Mr. Canning's attitude toward us or his -- the way he talked to our employees, or the way he was toward our employees, was very -- to our employees' knowledge, it was very, just dismissive. Very -- not even looking their way. Not even

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

63

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

talking to them. In a racist way.

Q. Not talking to them in a racist way?

A. Not acknowledging them. Instead of saying, excuse me, this is not -- you know, why are you doing this? He would just pass them by like if they were not there, and then they would -- he would go and send an e-mail out.

Q. That's what you're saying Mr. Canning did, but tell me what you mean when you said IPOS "harbored" Mr. Canning?

A. Again, we had multiple discussions about
Mr. Canning's behavior toward us. And we would have a
meeting or two, okay. What happened here and there, and
nothing was ever done. Nothing was ever reprimanded.
Nothing was ever -- it wasn't -- nothing was ever -- there
was no remedy for it.

Q. So by "harboring," are you saying IPOS should have terminated Mr. Canning as a consultant?

 $\hbox{\bf A.} \quad \hbox{I'm not saying that.} \quad \hbox{That's for them to know.} \quad \hbox{\bf I} \\ \\ \hbox{mean, for them to answer.}$

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \text{Did}$ you ever ask IPOS to terminate Mr. Canning's consulting contract?

A. No

Q. Did you ever ask -- well, did you know that for a period of time, Mr. Canning was a -- his company, Optis. Let me back up. PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

You're familiar with the company Optis?

64

A. Correct.

Q. You know that Mr. Canning, all the e-mails he sent you, came from an e-mail address that were Optis co, right?

A. Correct.

Q. And you know that was the firm through which Mr. Canning did his consulting work, Optis, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And -- and you were aware, at the time the maintenance company was signed, that Mr. Canning was a, through Optis, was a consultant to IPOS, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. You don't recall, you or anyone, to your knowledge, at Petro, ever asking IPOS to terminate Mr. Canning's consulting contract or Optis's consulting contract, correct?

A. Absolutely not.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Did you ever ask IPOS to have Mr. Canning or Optis removed from the -- the job?

A. N

Q. The same is true as to Vitol, you never said anything to Vitol about Mr. Canning needs to be removed from the job, or should -- his consulting arrangement should be terminated, correct?

A. Correct

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2 Q. All right, sir. I'm going to show you what I've 3 marked as Exhibit 6, which is Canning 001703. 4 (Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was 5 marked for identification.) 6 For some reason, it didn't print the Bates 7 number, but that's the Bates number. 8 MS. ROHN: This is Exhibit 6? 9 MR. BECKSTEDT: Five. right? 10 MS. ROHN: Five. 11 MR. KAPLAN: Did I do it again? 12 MS. ROHN: Yep. MR. KAPLAN: No, I thought I marked --13 14 Yeah, this one's 5. 15 MR. KAPLAN: The second interrogatories were 16 5. 17 MS. ROHN: Sorry. MR. KAPLAN: I'm prone to doing that, so keep 18 19 on me. 20 (Mr. Kaplan) All right, sir. Looking at Q. Exhibit 6, which, again, for the record, is Canning 001703. 21 22 And you see this as an e-mail from Andrew Canning to you, 23 copied to Mr. Persaud or Mr. Smith, right? 24 A. Yeah. 25 And it's dated February 4th, 2018, correct? Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. Okay. And Mr. -- you see on the bottom there, you had sent a -- some sort of spreadsheet to Mr. Canning. Says, "Spreadsheet Invoices," right?

A. Correct.

Okay. And just to orient ourselves, I mean, this is more than a full year before the maintenance contract was signed, correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Form.

A. I'm sorry, sir. If I can say, this is through Vivot. Is not through Petro.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Right. That's my point. Just for context, Exhibit 6, this e-mail back and forth with Mr. Canning, this is more than a year before the Petro and IPOS maintenance contract is signed, correct?

I understand. I mean, it was months before we started Petro in April of 2018.

Right. Okav.

And you're sending Mr. Canning invoices, and Mr. Canning responds to you and says, "Adrian, I am rejecting more than accepting the summaries at STX as there are WAPA site jobs being invoiced to IPOS and then turning up at the IPOS site after 8 hours to do 2 hours of overtime," right?

Correct. I see.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

68

67

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. And then he goes on, "fabrication teams being charged when absent from the site for one week (or no timesheets submitted)."

Do you see that?

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. He also says, "On average over 30% overcharge for labour not actually onsite working on IPOS activities," right?

Α. Correct.

Q. He asks you to "please correct all the anomalies." He says, "as I am spending days trying to highlight the tens of errors."

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

Q. And then he says, "It is now imperative that we review all invoices to date for the recovery period as this amount of errors and potential overcharge is unacceptable."

Do you see that?

A. I see it.

Q. All right. So Mr. Canning's close review/scrutiny of time records and bills that you submitted is not something that just started under the maintenance contract in 2019, right?

A. Correct.

Mr. Canning -- now you mentioned earlier that one

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

of the reasons you believe Mr. Canning was racist and discriminatory in 2018 was his review of the time records, and comparing them to gate logs, right? And the way he handled that situation, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form.

No, that's not correct.

(Mr. Kaplan) That's not correct? Q.

Α.

What was the incident in 2018 that you mentioned about time records and gate logs?

A. Again, he was using the word "local" while he was trying to say that they were trying to steal, and they were trying to rob, and he was going to call the police, that's what I'm saying.

Q. Okay. Earlier --

16 Not review. A.

> I didn't mean to interrupt you. Go ahead. ο.

Not review.

Okay. All right. So let's make that distinction.

You have no issue with Mr. Canning doing a review/hard scrutiny of all time records and invoices that Petro submitted, true?

A. Absolutely not.

Q. Okay.

25 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Part of Mr. Canning's role was to do a careful, 1 2 through review of all information/invoices/bills that Petro 3 submitted, correct? 4 A. Yes. That's his job. 5 Q. Okay. Now, earlier, you said Mr. Canning used the 6 term "islander.' 7 And I asked you, other than the term "islander," did he ever use any other term that you took as 8 9 racist or discriminatory? 10 Not that I heard. 11 Now, you just said he used the term "local," Q. 12 right? 13 A. Local, islander. Like I said, for me, it's the 14 same thing. 15 Okay. I want to be very specific. Q. 16 Correct. Local, islander. Which term did Mr. Canning use? 17 Q. 18 A. Both. 19 Local and islander? Q. 20 Correct. Other than the term "local islander," do you 21 22 recall Mr. Canning using any other term that you believe was

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Not that I recall.

Q. All right. So here, in February of 2018, when Mr. Canning is saying that he's rejecting more than accepting the time records, and saying that there's work being charged when the workers are not on the site, and that there's being overcharge for labor, you don't believe this was the result of Mr. Canning being racist or discriminatory, do you?

A. If I can explain this?

Can you answer my question? Q.

MS. ROHN: Object to the form.

A. I mean, again, different way of -- I mean, ask me the question a different way.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Yes.

When Mr. Canning here, in Exhibit 6, in February of 2018, says he's rejecting more than accepting the time invoices and complains about time being invoiced for workers who are not on site and being overcharged for labor, do you believe that was a -- Mr. Canning's good-faith review of the records, or do you believe that's the result of racist or discriminatory conduct?

No. Just review records.

Q. Because as we saw, even after this issue in Exhibit 6, in February of 2018, when Mr. Canning is complaining about inaccurate time records and overbilling, a

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

72

71

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Not that you recall?

month later, Mr. Canning is recommending you and Petro to Aggreko, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) That's the sequence, correct?

A.

racist or discriminatory?

Not that.

A.

Q.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Let's talk a little bit about the WAPA facilities.

The WAPA facilities are what's known as live hydrocarbon facilities, right?

Correct.

Q. The WAPA facilities can pose extremely serious safety risks, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Propane is a highly flammable material, right?

A.

There are risks of fires and explosions at the 0. facilities where Petro and IPOS and Vitol operated, right?

Correct.

There's the risk of releasing toxic gases or vapors at these facilities, right?

A. Correct.

Correct.

Therefore, there are serious health and environmental risks for all work and activities that are done at these facilities at issue in this case, right?

(340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. And the -- the health and environmental risks are posed to all of the workers and contractors on the site, right?

Α. Correct.

They also pose a health and environmental risk for the entire community and for the entire island, correct?

Correct.

And because of the extreme health and safety and environmental risks, everything done on the job sites must be done safely, right?

MS. ROHN: Object to the form.

12 Correct.

> (Mr. Kaplan) And because of the extreme health, safety and environmental risks, everything done on the WAPA sites must be done in compliance with safety and technical requirements, correct?

> > MS. ROHN: Objection to the form.

There's standards, if that's what you're saying.

(Mr. Kaplan) Yes. And all work done and all activities done at the WAPA, IPOS, and Vitol jobs, have to be done in accordance with safety and technical standards, right?

MS. ROHN: Object to the form.

Correct. Α.

(Mr. Kaplan) Now, Petro had an obligation to make

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure all of Petro's employees who worked at the propane facilities were properly trained, right?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. And Petro had an obligation to make sure that all of its employees who worked at the WAPA facilities were qualified, properly qualified, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Petro also had an obligation to make sure all of its employees, who worked at the facilities, were properly supervised, right?

A. Correct.

Q. What was your understanding, sir, of what Mr. Canning's job was in September of 2019, at the time of the maintenance contract was signed?

A. What I understood, he was the project -- I mean, he was the facility engineer. I don't know what his technical, or what his job was, but what I understood, he was the facility engineer. He was directly responsible for projects, and basically our work, in general. The site maintenance.

Q. As the facility engineer, was it your understanding that Mr. Canning was responsible for the overall safety of all work done at the facility?

MS. ROHN: Objection to the form.

Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

(Mr. Kaplan) Was it your understanding Mr. Canning, as the facility engineer, was responsible for the overall quality and integrity of all of the work that was done at the facility?

Α. Yes.

Now, you didn't believe that Mr. Canning was an Q. employee of IPOS, right?

You knew that Mr. Canning was not an employee of IPOS, right?

Α. Correct.

Q. And you knew that Mr. Canning was not an employee of Vitol. correct?

A. I do believe he was, at some part, an employee of Vitol. There was a contract with him, that I understand. This is just my understanding.

Q. Do you believe he was an employee of Vitol, or just a contractor of Vitol?

I don't know the difference.

All right. Mr. Canning wasn't at your meeting with Vitol in Houston in March of 2021, correct?

Correct.

Q. As the facility engineer, to your understanding, did Mr. Canning have a proper role to review planned work?

Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

76

75

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Did Mr. Canning have proper responsibility, as you understood it, to review budgets for maintenance and project work?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. So I take it you -- you have no issue with Mr. Canning carefully reviewing Petro's work plans, right?

Α. Correct.

Q. You have no issue with Mr. Canning carefully reviewing Petro's work procedures, right?

A. That's right.

Q. And you have no issue with Mr. Canning carefully reviewing the actual quality of the work that Petro performed, correct?

Correct.

Okay. And you also would have no issue with Mr. Canning expressing concerns or criticisms about Petro's budgets, right?

Correct.

Q. You would have no issue with Mr. Canning expressing concerns or criticisms with the quality of the Petro's procedures, correct?

Α. Correct.

And you would have no issue with Mr. Canning expressing any concerns or criticisms of the quality of PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Correct.

Petro's work, correct?

Now, you agree that Petro had an obligation to submit information to IPOS that was accurate and truthful, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And the same would be true to WAPA or to Vitol, all the information that Petro would submit on its work, you needed to be truthful and accurate, correct?

Correct.

(Respite.)

Q. Did you have weekly meetings with Andrew Canning during the term of the maintenance contract?

We had weekly meetings with IPOS, and he was in there.

0. These were in-person meetings?

Zoom, Skype. Whatever. Some of them were in person, but a lot of them was.

And who -- who -- who attended these meetings? Obviously, I'm sure attendance differed at various points, but were there consistent folks who attended these meetings?

A. Generally, the general managers, David Smith, Merlin. The supervisors in charge, operations manager. I mean, operations supervisors. Maintenance supervisors.

	Carase LLC/AZ Mecanoed Unit 12-Mikest-EAH DOCUMEN	t #: 3	3 Utas, Lilic/at: Medicioted, 45 ku 8426 dikect Page 20 ot 64
1	Yeah, right, of IPOS.	1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. So you identified Mr. Smith and Mr. Figueria?	2	Q. You said, "towards the end." At what point in
3	A. Andrew Canning. Merlin.	3	time do you recall Ms. Horowitz or Mr. Kologinczak getting
4	Q. Sorry. Merlin and Mr. Smith, you're saying those	4	involved in the weekly meeting?
5	are the terminal managers? The general managers, right?	5	A. They wanted to get involved after we visited them
6	A. Those are the general managers.	6	in Houston. After they found out there was a weekly
7	Q. Okay. And who are the supervisors?	7	meeting.
8	A. Coury Hodge. Calvin Schmidt. Granger Rawle.	8	Q. So sometime after late March 2021?
9	Etienne, Alex Etienne.	9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Yes.	10	Q. Let me show you what I'm going to mark as
11	A. And then you had Mr. Canning.	11	Exhibit 7.
12	Q. Anyone else you recall, who was sort of a regular	12	(Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was
13	player, would attend any of these meetings?	13	marked for identification.)
14	A. Obviously, my general manager, which is Chad	14	And this is a IPOS 008440.
15	Persuad, also.	15	A. Thank you.
16	Q. No one from Vitol participated in these weekly	16	Q. Take a look. Take a minute, if you would, sir
17	meetings?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Toward the end, they they were wanting to be	18	Q and familiarize yourself with Exhibit 7?
19	part of the meeting.	19	A. Correct. (Witness complies.)
20	Q. Who was that?	20	Q. Do you recall the back and forth with Mr. Canning
21	A. Charlotte and Tim.	21	about the timesheets and the bills that's reflected here in
22	Q. Anyone else?	22	Exhibit 7?
23	A. That's it.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And when, during you said, "toward the	24	Q. Now, Ms. Rodriguez, she works for Petro?
25	end." At what point in time?	25	A. Yes.
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161
	(/		(/

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

80

79

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

She is the office manager?

Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So Ms. Rodriguez would send out invoices or bills or other information?

Q. All right. So there were various issues being talked about here, involving certain travel arrangements to bring workers onto the job site, right? The chartering of a plane. Do you recall that?

Correct.

Q. And then there was an issue of a welder billing for 10 hours of time on a day, when he didn't arrive until 3:00 p.m. that afternoon, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And in response to Mr. Canning's concerns, Petro revised the invoice to reduce the time for the welder from 10 hours to five hours, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And there were other changes that -- that Petro made in response to Mr. Canning's concerns?

For example, on the third page, Mr. Canning points out that Chad and Elias were both being charged at superintendent rates.

Do you recall that?

Yes, I do.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. And in response to Mr. Canning's concerns, Petro changed Chad's designation to project manager instead of project superintendent, right?

A. Correct.

Who was the project superintendent?

A. As I recall, it was Elias.

Q. And did it -- did the role of project manager or project superintendent change over time for Petro?

A. If I recall -- trying to figure out which job this was. What project it was. I just can't recall which project it was. I apologize. I can tell you if you give me a second real quick.

Oh, here it is. Okay. So this is the reverse loading project that we had here, and it states there on the back page. So, yeah, that was a project, so we did have project manager and a project superintendent.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} All right. If you go up on the second page to the bottom of that long e-mail, Mr. Canning is asking for justification of charging for Elias, as the project superintendent, right?

okay. Α.

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

24 Great. 0.

And then he says, he's also asking for

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Casse LLL/2 Merchoe D. D. 12-Mich L-EAH justification for why Chad was elevated to project manager. 1 2 Do you see that? 3 Α. 4 Says, "I don't remember this being agreed, in my 5 opinion it's unjustified and more importantly it does raise 6 further the requirement for PIS," that's Petro, right? 7 Correct. 8 "Does raise the requirement for Petro to submit 9 clear a plan for the manning that they propose to use and 10 their roles on any future work," right? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Did you believe it was a fair request from 13 Mr. Canning to ask for a clear plan for the staffing of 14 projects and their roles? 15 A. If I can add to this, this was basically almost 60 days after we submitted this invoice. So we basically just 16 had to say what he wanted so we could get paid. 17 Q. But was the request a fair request; to have a 18 19 clear plan for the staffing and the staff's roles on the 20 project? 21 MS. ROHN: Objection to form. 22 A. So my answer to that was -- and I did answer it 23 was not on here -- was that we need to do that before the 24 project started, not after, or 60 days after. 25 (Mr. Kaplan) Mr. Canning goes on to say, "I do not Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 83 PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT Q. I'm asking about Johnny. 1 2 Whatever you believe about Mr. Canning, 3 didn't influence Mr. Canning's view of the quality of 4 Johnny, your fore -- your maintenance foreman's work, right? 5 A. Okay. Yes. 6 Q. True? 7 A. True. 8 All right. Then if you go up to the top of the Q. 9 e-mail on the first page. 10 First page. There's a discussion about timesheets --11 Q. 12 A. Correct. 13 -- right? Okay. Mr. Canning says, "In respect of the 14 timesheets, it's clear that two of the timesheets have been 15 16 changed since signing which under any circumstances is an 17 unacceptable practice." 18 Do you see that? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. Okay. Then he says, "however in the two cases 21

2 Do you know who Johnny is? A. Where is that, sir? It's the next sentence on the second page. Α. 6

expect to pay any more for Elias than I do for Johnny."

So Mr. Canning says, "So, I do not expect to pay Q. any more for Elias than I do for Johnny."

Do you see that?

Α. Correct.

Who's Johnny? Q.

Johnny is our foreman in St. Thomas. Α.

Okay. And Mr. Canning goes about Johnny. He says, "who performed head and shoulders above his peers."

Do you recall that?

So Mr. Canning was being complimentary of Johnny's work, correct?

Α.

So whatever you believe about Mr. Canning, he didn't bias his view about the quality of Johnny's, your foreman's, work, right?

A. Johnny is in our maintenance team, and Elias is in our project. Completely two different scopes of work. Complete different projects. So what Elias does is completely different from whatever Johnny does.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

84

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

concerns that he had, he concluded, after going back and forth, that it was an oversight, and not some intent to -to overcharge, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Compound.

A. Correct. His way of saying it, and that's the way it is, he'll throw the jab out there, and say, oh, because we've already discussed this, about this is not the way it was, this is the way it happened. He puts an e-mail, but, again, that is Andrew. Just -- anyways.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) The conclusion that was reached here was a conclusion that the billing issue -- the bills were incorrect, right?

No, they were actually correct. And he concluded that, yes, there was some adjustments on there, but the adjustments were justifiable, and that's why he was okay with it. And there's more to this than just --

MS. ROHN: You have to finish your sentence.

I'm sorry. Yes.

So, anyways, what I'm saying is that there's more to this, right? So it was not that we were -- we were trying to amend or change timesheets. It's not like that, okay? And he accepted that. He said, I understand why.

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Mr. Canning then goes on at the bottom, he says, "Going forward it's clear that work hour recording and authorization procedures must be

presented I'm satisfied that there was no fraudulent intent related to the changes and just an oversight."

Do you see that?

Correct. Α.

22

23

24

25

Okay. So Mr. Canning, in this situation, whatever

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

tightened up on both sides," right? just filling it out on a daily basis. Those are submitted 1 1 2 2 over to our office. A. Correct. They're submitted over to Petro's office? Q. He said, "so I'm suggesting the following 3 3 0. 4 procedural changes." 4 Correct. 5 5 To the office manager? Do you see that? Q. 6 Correct. 6 Correct. Α. Α. 7 Q. And he lays out a five-part procedure for the 7 ο. And the office manager, what does she do with the 8 submission and review of timesheets, right? 8 timesheets? 9 A. Correct. 9 A. Those are used also for payroll. So payroll's 10 Q. Did you think there was anything unfair or 10 first, and then also those are used for billing. 11 discriminatory about the procedure that Mr. Canning was 11 Does Petro maintain copies of the timesheets? 12 proposing that both sides use for time records? 12 Yes. 13 MS. ROHN: Objection. Compound. 13 Okay. For how long? Q. 14 A. This is the same thing that we've been using 14 Gosh, we have not thrown anything away since the 15 for -- since we've had, with the exception of a Z that he 15 last -- since we exist, five years. 16 drew for the rest of the columns, yes. 16 Q. Okay. So Petro has records of -- daily records of Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Do your -- at Petro, do your -- does 17 the timesheets for all the workers and staff who did work 17 your staff, project manager, superintendents, all the way 18 under the maintenance contract for IPOS, correct? 18 19 down, keep daily timesheets? 19 A. A. Yes. 20 20 Have you produced those daily timesheets in the Q. 21 Q. And do they describe the tasks that they're doing 21 case? 22 during the day? 22 Yes. In fact, every invoice has the daily Α. 23 23 A. Yes, they do. timesheets. 24 In what form are those documents kept at Petro? 24 Q. Are the timesheets the same as the invoices? I'm sorry. It's literally a form, and they're So you have an invoice, and to actually back up 25 25 Α. Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 87

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

that invoice, there's timesheets. There's receipts. So every single one of them, yes. Q. Okay. So the daily timesheets, you're saying, get

submitted, at the end of the day, to the office manager. Those are attached to or in the file with the invoices?

Correct. A.

Q. okay.

(Respite.)

All right, sir. I'm going to show you what I've marked as Exhibit 8, PIS12.

> (Deposition Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) Exhibit 8 is an e-mail chain from January 21,

2021.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

Okay. And the subject is RIO, R-I-O, shades. RIO Q. shades. Do you see that?

RIO, yes. Α.

Q. Okay. What are RIO shades?

They're RIO panels. Basically covering instrumentation. Providing a shade for instrumentation.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \text{All right. On this e-mail, you are writing}$ to Mr. -- to Merlin and several others at IPOS, and Mr. Persuad, right?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Good morning gents, I met with Andrew this morning to discuss a few issues regarding the RIO panels. These were the issues that were discussed."

88

The first one, it says, "quality of a few welds on the panel by the boiler room."

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

And then where it has blue in there, that's your comment on the e-mail, correct?

A. Okay. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you wrote, "bad positioning. Welds are acceptable but told the guys better quality is needed."

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

So Mr. Canning expressed some concerns about the welds that were done on this particular project, correct?

Correct.

And you reviewed them, and you concluded there was bad positioning, the welds are acceptable, but you told your guys that better quality is needed, right?

A. Correct.

Another issue that had been -- Mr. Canning had raised with you was, "break-times running long including leaving early."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. And you wrote, "No excuse the guys 0. will improve on this," correct?

A. Correct.

Q. So on these two issues that Mr. Canning raised with you, you acknowledged that Mr. Canning had raised legitimate concerns, true?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. And you say at the bottom, "we will improve and complete the job," right?

Correct.

Q. Okay. You recognized a need for improvement, correct?

A. Correct.

All right. So here, in January 21, Mr. Canning wasn't raising baseless concerns about your work; he was raising legitimate concerns about Petro's work, right?

Correct.

Can we read Number 3, though?

Q. Sure.

I mean, there's also more to that. A.

"Work not progressing fast enough." And you 0. described that there was an engineer, project manager, and they're having to make adjustments --

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Correct.

ο. -- to his request, right?

A.

And there was an issue with a magnetic drill being used, and the pace of the drill, right?

Yep. Correct. Α.

MR. KAPLAN: All right, sir. I want to talk about -- actually, you know what? Let's take a little restroom break, and we'll change topics, if that's all right? Off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:46.

(Short recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. The time is 11:58.

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Mr. Canning, I just want to make sure we're clear on one topic, and then I want to move to this issue about the so-called platform incident.

A. Well, hold on, can I just go back to RIO -- RIO shades again?

Q. Sure.

Just wanted to not clarify, just kind of bring up.

The two -- the two items that -- number one and number two, which I agreed needed to be improved.

The second one, and just to give you guys

92

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

91

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

more of insight on this, this was a hard-dollar project that we had. We had to give a price. We had to give an amount to start and to finish it, okay? That's why the third comment on here was that there was a project engineer/ manager running the job. That's the way it was. That's the way it was -- it was -- the job had -- had to produce, or had to done, right? That person was David Nagle.

By what I was saying on here, and if I can just read, there was a lot more than what the actual project scope that was given to us for us to price. Because of these delays, our budget was -- was going to be over -over -- over budget, so that's why I had to include this. So this kind of went on deaf ears, both by Andrew Canning and by David Nagle. There was just more exploratory work than it was -- actually was, so that's why I had to explain myself. It wasn't just our performance was doing badly; it was just that their scope, original scope, was not accurate.

Q. You're not accusing Mr. Nagle of any discrimination, are you?

A. No. No, I'm not saying him. What I'm saying is, that's -- it's not about our performance, or why we were doing it wrong or what have you. Yes, there was some improvements to do, but a lot of the performance it was, it was because of their lack of scope.

The break times running long was a result of this

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

scope issue?

A. Break longs were five minutes. It was not -- the scope issue was weeks, not five minutes.

Q. So the break -- the breaktime issue was, in your mind, was just a total non-issue, right?

A. Yeah. I mean, of course, we needed to improve on it, but, again, it was weeks because of the -- the scope

Q. But what you wrote, at the time, in Exhibit 8, about the breaktime issue was, "no excuse the guys will improve on this," right?

A. Correct. Correct, but the same token, what I'm saying here, is that we were drilling. The scope was not in there. The pace got slower because of that, and unfortunately, that was not predicted. Again, scope. I'm sorry. It was just the scope. It's just the engineering scope was not there.

Actually, what happened was, there's a RIO panel or a RIO shade panel in St. -- in St. Croix, which this is part of it. And, I mean, Merlin, the general manager, just told us in St. Thomas, don't worry about David Nagle. Don't worry about Andrew Canning. You guys go do the panel yourself. We completed it. They accepted it, and we still haven't gotten paid for it.

ο.

BV IPOS?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

95

A. No. This is a project list for Vitol. 1 2 Well, strike that. I don't know if it's 3 Vitol or IPOS. One of them haven't paid us. We invoiced 4 IPOS, but I understand it was a Vitol project, so that was 5 internal. I don't know. 6 Q. You don't know who owes you money on the RIO 7 project in St. Thomas? 8 A. I believe it was Charlotte, which is Vitol. But,

Q. All right. Did you submit a change order for the RIO shades project for this expanded scope that you're talking about?

again, their accounting was just -- I don't know.

We submitted a change order. A.

Was it rejected? 0.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It hasn't got paid. A.

But was the change order signed?

The change order was acknowledged by Merlin, A. correct.

Q. Okay. We talked about Johnny, your foreman. I didn't catch his last name. Could you tell me what his last name is?

Johnny Alfonseca. A.

Could you spell that for us? Q.

A. Oh, my gosh.

Q. Give us your best effort.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

I can't write this. Let me just --Α.

ο. That's okav.

A. So. A-L-F-O-N-S-E-C-A.

what's Johnny's racial or ethnic background?

I believe he is Dominican.

And we talked about this term you used of "harboring." We talked about -- you told me that you never reported any allegation of discrimination or racist behavior of Andrew Canning to anyone at Vitol, correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Asked and answered multiple times.

I answered yes.

(Mr. Kaplan) I just want to make sure. You're not aware of anyone else, are you, reporting any racist or discriminatory behavior of

Mr. Canning to anyone at Vitol?

A. Repeat. I'm sorry. Answer your question.

Q. Just, you told me that you didn't, and no one, to your knowledge, at Petro, ever reported any allegation of racist or discriminatory behavior of Mr. Canning to Vitol.

What I'm asking you now is, are you aware of anyone outside of Petro ever telling anyone at Vitol about any allegation of racist or discriminatory behavior by Mr. Canning?

Not that I know of.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

96

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Okay. I want to talk about this platform incident.

Do you recall, in February of 2021, there was an incident on St. Thomas with a -- the grating over a platform above a boiler?

A. Correct. Yes.

Q. Okay. Were you present when this incident occurred, and Mr. Canning fell through the platform?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Form.

A. He didn't fall through the platform, but, no, I was not there.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Tell me what you understand happened with this platform incident.

A. So I was called the day -- the day of. What I understand was that we had -- we had -- we were working on the platform. That we had given instructions over to IPOS operations and their maintenance manager that the platform was not complete, okay?

What I understand is that Andrew Canning was in the area. Decided to go up to the platform. While he went up there, there was some jumping or some -- I don't know what he was doing, and that he came back angrily back to the control room, and stated that he almost fell.

Q. When you said you were called, do you remember who called you on --

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. Chad Persuad, and I'm sure also my safety manager, Frank Kirsch.

> They called you together, or separately? Q.

Separately.

As far as you're aware, there's no video footage of this incident, correct?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Are you aware of any photos of the incident or the aftermath of the incident?

A. I understand that they had a safety review. I don't know what that involved. This is IPOS.

Q. Okay. But Petro, you're not aware of Petro, or anyone at Petro, to your knowledge, having any videos or photos of the incident or its aftermath?

Okay. And you said you were not there on site when this incident happened at the platform, correct?

Correct.

So you don't have firsthand knowledge of what did or didn't happen, true?

Α.

Q. Now, you said that your -- your team was working on the platform, but it wasn't completed, right?

Correct. A.

Q. Okay. Tell me, as best you know, what work had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been done on the platform, and what work remained to be done on the platform, at the time this incident occurred.

- A. What remained was, we had to install some -- some clips to tie down, or to basically secure down the grating.
 - okay.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

- Those were ordered. Α.
- Q. I'm sorry?
- Those were ordered, and they were -- they were on Α. their way.
- Q. Okay. What work had been done, up to the point of time where you needed to install these clips?
- A. What had been done? I'm sorry. Actually, the platform itself?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
- A. Okay. So, yeah. We secured the platform. We put some, called toeplates, so you can't slip out of it. We repaired the swinging gate. I think we installed -- we actually raised the platform. Actually lowered the platform. Yeah, lowered the platform section. I'm sorry. Raised the platform, so we could have access to a -- to a valve. That's the general scope.
- Q. Was there any welding that had been done on the platform at the time of this incident?
 - When we raised it, we had to adjust it, yes.
 - And was it through tack welded? Do you know what

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

that means?

- Α. Yes.
- Was that the state of the welding on the platform at the time of the incident, that it had been tack welded?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- was there any additional welding done to the platform after the Canning incident?
 - Not that I recall.
- Were these additional clips installed after the Q. incident?
 - Α.
- Q. Now, you mentioned when you -- a minute ago, that Petro had told IPOS that the work on the platform was not done, correct?
 - A. Correct.

MS. ROHN: Sorry.

MR. KAPLAN: No worries.

- (Mr. Kaplan) who, at Petro, told IPOS that the work on the platform had not been done before the date of this February 12th, 2021 incident?
- A. Okay. I understand that Chad Persuad was one of them. The second person was Elias Rivera, so it was twice. It was communicated over to Coury Hodge, which is actually the maintenance manager. I'm sorry. Supervisor. Maintenance supervisor for the facility.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

100

99

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. So you believe Petro -- Mr. Persuad told -and Mr. Rivera --

- Α. Yes.
- 0. -- both told Rawle Granger?
- A. No. Coury Hodge.
- Okay. I'm sorry. You believe Mr. Persuad and Mr. Rivera both told Mr. Hodge that Petro's work on the platform was incomplete, as of February 11th, 2021?
 - I believe so.
 - okav.
 - Α. I believe the date is right, yes.
- Q. Do you know when Mr. Persuad or Mr. Rivera told IPOS that Petro's work on the platform was incomplete?
 - A. I can't recall the date.
- Q. Do you know if Petro had marked or signed or done anything around the area to indicate that it was not a safe zone to be in or on?
- A. Okay. So the reason we tell the maintenance manager is so they'll tell the operation manager not to give permits, right? So if you don't have a permit, you should not access any of that facility. So, therefore, Andrew Canning didn't have a permit to go in that access platform. To go there. So if he would have gone to get a permit, he would have found out that it was not secured.
 - Okay. So I understand you're saying you told

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

IPOS, and, therefore, IPOS shouldn't have given permits to folks. But my question is different.

Did Petro do anything to blockade the area, mark the area, or do anything else to indicate to anyone that they shouldn't be in or on that area of the platform?

- Now, tell me what permit you're saying that you believe Mr. Canning needed to have to be in the area of the
- A. There's area permits, right? And there's -- you go every morning, what we're going to do, because it's a live facility, so specifically, you have to go. Hey, I'm going to access this valve on this access platform. Go get a permit for that. I have to go see this. I have to go see the boiler. You got to get a permit. So everything has a permit. You can't just walk around aimlessly, because there's danger.
- Q. Okay. So what specific permit would be needed to go access this area where the platform above the boiler is?
- A. There's an -- there's an actual valve that I understand Andrew was looking at.
- Q. Okay. And so you believe that this incident should have been avoided by Petro not issuing a permit to Mr. Canning to be in the area where this platform was above the boiler?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

So IPOS. Petro is not issuing a permit.

Q. Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yeah.

Q. Excuse me. I misspoke. Fair enough.

So IPOS's not issuing a permit. Α.

Yes. To be clear, you believe this platform incident in February 2021 should have been avoided because IPOS shouldn't have issued a permit to Mr. Canning to be in the area where the platform was above the boiler, right?

That's what I understand.

And to further that, IPOS did a investigation, what have you. They call it a miss -- near miss, and they put on there that there was no permit granted.

Q. Did Petro -- did Petro do any investigation of this platform incident?

Correct, yes.

You did? Q.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did you document -- who did the investigation?

Safety manager, Frank Kirsch. Α.

Okay. Did Mr. Kirsch issue a report or a memo or Q. any other document that reflects his safety investigation?

Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. And in what form is -- is Mr. Kirsch's investigation?

There's an incident report that he filled out, and that's internal documentation.

O. So there should be a Petro incident report for the platform incident?

Α. Correct.

And you believe Petro has produced the incident report for this platform investigation?

I believe so. If not, I'll produce it.

Are there any other incidents during the term of the maintenance contract for which Petro wrote an incident report?

A. Not that I recall.

Q. So the only incident that you recall, during the term of the maintenance contract, where Petro did a internal incident report, is this February 2021 platform incident with Mr. Canning?

> Α. That's correct.

Okay. Tell me, what do you understand Mr. Kirsch's conclusion to have been on his incident report? The cause of this near miss?

A. Well, the cause of the near miss is non-permitting. Should not be in an area where you don't have a permit. You should not access that area. It's still

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

104

103

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

a near miss, because somebody was still up there.

Now, the reason he was up there is still unknown. What he was doing up there is still unknown. It's actually a grating. If you ever sat on a -- stood on a grating, it's not going to move.

Now, if you're jumping on it, that's a different story. The story was that he was actually jumping on it, and he was being -- he was trying to see if something would happen. There was -- David Nagle was next to him, too. Next to Andrew Canning on the -- well, actually, I don't think got on there, but he was -- he was not on the access platform, but I understand he was actually on the ground. And actually the comments were that David Nagle came back to the control room, told -- told Chad Persaud that Andrew Canning was actually jumping on it.

Q. Was Mr. Nagle, do you believe, in an area that he shouldn't have been in?

A. No.

Furthermore, to that, when Mr. Canning came back to the operations room, he was livid, cussing, calling everybody out in front of operations, Petro, my safety manager, everybody. And I did send out a lengthy e-mail to general manager, David Smith, and Mr. Merlin, about the occasion, which is completely unprofessional.

Q. You didn't hear anything that Mr. Canning did or

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

didn't say on this day, correct?

Not that I.

Right? Q.

Α. No.

You don't know where Mr. Nagle was, or Mr. Canning was, yourself, do you?

I was not there. Α.

MS. ROHN: He is the 30(b)(6) witness for the company, however.

(Mr. Kaplan) You, sir, personally, have no firsthand knowledge about where Mr. Nagle was or Mr. Canning was on the day of the platform incident, correct?

Α. Correct.

Q. You talked to Mr. Nagle about this incident?

Α. No.

Q. Ever?

Α.

You talked to Merlin about the platform incident?

Α. Yes.

ο. When?

By e-mail. By phone. Yes. Actually the day of.

22 You talked to Mr. -- you talked to Mr. Figueira 23 the night of the incident by phone?

Correct.

And I did tell him the discussions were, I'm

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pass.

going to sue the shit out of Petro. I'm going to sue the shit out of IPOS. Shitty -- shoddy work. Said shoddy, not shitty. Shoddy work that you guys performed. What kind of company do you guys run?

Q. Mr. -- you told Merlin that Mr. Canning told you that Petro had done shoddy work?

A. Not me. Not me. I wasn't out there.

Q. What you told Merlin, on the day of the incident, was that Mr. Canning had told the Petro employees on the job that Petro had done shoddy work, right?

Correct. Α.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Not shitty work, right?

No, but he was cussing up a storm. Α.

You just made a distinction. 0.

A.

I want to make sure your testimony is clear.

A. Correct. Not shoddy work, but he said, what kind of fucking company is Petro? Is what I understand. And that was not just by my -- my employees, this was also by IPOS employees as well. I mean, literally, it's smaller than this. The control room is smaller than this place, so you can hear everything. So, yeah.

Q. Did Mr. Canning, to your knowledge, say Petro had done shoddy work or shit work?

I said, shoddy work.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

okav.

I corrected myself. A.

But you said Mr. Canning did say, what kind of fucking company is Petro?

Yeah, he did cuss. Absolutely.

And who told you that?

Frank Kirsch, Chad Persuad, and, yeah, that's what I remember.

Q. Okay. Let me show you Exhibit 9, sir.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.)

Exhibit 9 is PIS25.

I'm sorry.

MS. ROHN: That's all right. Just a bad

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Let's start at the bottom of the first page, sir. This is an e-mail from you to Mr. Figueira and Mr. Smith, dated February 11, 2021, correct?

Correct.

And it was sent at 6:14 p.m., at the end of the workday, right?

Α. okay.

Q. Yes?

Yes.

A.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

108

107

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. The subject is St. Thomas. And you say, "Good evening gents. This is not to lessen the near mess that happened this morning in St. Thomas."

Do you see that?

A.

Do you think you were trying to say "near miss"?

Correct. Α.

Near miss is sort of a term of art in the 0. construction business, right?

Correct.

Q. In the safety world, it means there was an incident that could have been a serious injury or fatality, right?

Correct.

Q. Okay. And so you're saying, "This is not to lessen the near miss that happened ... but I did want to make you guys aware of the interaction that happened afterwards," right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Now, making Mr. Figueira and Mr. Smith aware of what happened afterwards, you were telling them information you got from other people, because you were not there, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. But Mr. Smith was. PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Was Mr. Smith there?

A. No.

> Okay. And Mr. Figueira, was he there? Q.

Α. No.

All right. So let's go through what you -- what you wrote here.

You say, "Andrew confronted Chad about him falling through the grading (sic) of the platform to top of the boiler."

Do you see that?

Α. Yes.

Q. Okay. So Mr. Canning fell through the grating of the platform on top of the boiler. That's what you wrote, right?

That's what he claims; that he was -- he fell through.

Okay. Then it says, "Andrew calling our work, quote, 'bullshit work,' quote, and our welding, quote, 'substandard' and has no place at the facility."

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Okay. So here, you said Mr. Canning did call your work bullshit, but a minute ago, you said he didn't. He just called it shoddy.

Which one was it, sir?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's bullshit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Then it says, "Chad went down to boiler room and met Corey and Granger which were already investigating the incident."

Do you see that?

Correct. Α.

"Chad says that they went up on the platform to check what welding Andrew was speaking of and realized that it was not the welding and only needed additional fastener clips."

Do you see that?

Correct.

But it says here, "Chad realized that it only needed additional fastener clips," right?

I thought you told me Petro had -- had already told IPOS that the work wasn't done, and needed additional clips, and, therefore, that the area should not be accessed, right?

Correct.

Okav. So why did Chad realize, for the first time after the incident, that it needed additional fastener

No, it needed fastener clips. Α.

O. That's something Chad should have known before the

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

incident, right?

No, it needed fastener clips, period. Yeah.

So -- but Chad knew that before the incident, Q. right?

Α. Yes. Correct.

'Cause you're saying Chad told that to IPOS, 0. right?

What -- sorry, to IPOS, yes. What I'm saying is, it needed more. It needed fastener clips. If it needed five more, needed ten more, it needed more fastener clips.

Okay. And then it goes on to say, "Andrew calls Frank," that's your safety guy, correct?

Correct. Α.

That's Mr. Kirsch? 0.

Mr. Kirsch. A.

Okay. What's Mr. Kirsch's background?

For safety, he used to work in a Houston refinery.

What's Mr. Kirsch's racial or ethnic background? ο.

A. White.

20 What's that?

Anglo. White.

Okay. "Andrew calls Frank over by saying 'hey you safety guy, I fell through a platform because of bullshit work that petro did. How could you guys consider yourself a welding company when all your work is substandard."

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

112

111

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Do you see that?

Correct.

Q. And then it says that Frank said he didn't "'need this shit' and had to walk out of Andrew's office," correct?

And you say to Merlin and David, that you're e-mailing them, because you thought this was not professional, right?

Α. Correct.

Q. Okay. You said, to be told that your work is substandard or bullshit in front of not only our employees, but could be heard by IPOS employees, too, right?

And then say, "Last thing, for whatever it's worth, David Nagle did tell Chad and Frank that Andrew was jumping up and down on the platform to check its integrity," right?

Correct. A.

Okay. David Nagle didn't tell you that Mr. Canning was jumping up and down, right?

Yeah. That's what I said before.

Okay. You're saying David Nagle told Chad and Frank, and Chad and Frank told you, right?

That's what I said before, yes.

Now, nowhere in your e-mail, do you say anything

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

about Petro having told IPOS that the platform needed additional fastener clips, and should -- no one should access that area, right? You don't write that anywhere in your e-mail on Exhibit 9, do you?

A. Not here, that's correct.

Q. Okay. That's pretty significant, though, wasn't it? I mean, you believed that was --

A. This was the incident report.

Hold on, sir. Hold on.

Was it not significant to you, at the time, that no one should have been anywhere near the platform because it needed additional fastener clips?

That was IPOS design. They actually do the permitting themselves. I had told them what they needed to do. If they wanted to, they could give a permit or not give a permit. That is their decision.

Q. You didn't say anything to IPOS in your report, the day of the incident, that you had -- Petro had previously told IPOS that the work was not done, and no one should access the platform, right?

That's not on the e-mail, correct.

Now, you mentioned earlier that Petro had done an incident report.

Do you understand that IPOS was going to do an investigation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. That's what I understand. I never saw it, so -that's what I understand; they were -- they were -- they were doing it, too, correct.

Q. After -- all right. So hold on. You send your e-mail at 6:14 p.m. Merlin responds 20ish minutes later.

A. Um-hum.

Q. Says, "Adrian, today was difficult for us considering the situation that occurred. We are reviewing the incident and once we finalized our findings we will revert," right?

A. Okay.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Okay. Then you wrote back the next morning. Do you see that, the second e-mail on the

first page?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. You wrote back to Mr. Figueira and Mr. Smith. You say, "Merlin, one last thing Andrew shouted out I fell to mention." I think you meant "failed to mention."

A. Yeah, "I failed to mention."

Q. Okay. You say, "One last thing Andrew shouted out I failed to mention in the prior email. He stated he was going to sue Petro and IPOS because he fell through the platform," correct?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And Mr. Figueira writes, "Ok thanks Adrian," right?

Now, earlier, you told me that you talked to Mr. Figueira by phone on the night of the incident?

A. Correct.

Q. Are you sure you talked to Mr. Figueira by phone, in light of reading this e-mail chain in Exhibit 9?

A. Of course. Mr. Figueira and I had open communication. We talked quite a bit. Yeah, absolutely, because I was so mad and so upset, yes.

Q. Okay. Well, then, why did you wait until the next morning, and why did you say to Mr. Figueira, "one thing I failed to mention in the prior email?" Didn't you tell that to Mr. Figueira on the phone the night before?

A. Obviously not. I didn't put it in the e-mail, that's why I put it in the e-mail.

Q. Okay. But you didn't say, One thing I forgot to tell you on the phone; you said, one thing I forgot to tell you in an e-mail, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Argumentative.

A. Correct. That's not in the e-mail.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Did you ever have any further discussion with Mr. Figueira about this incident after February 11th or 12th, 2021?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

116

115

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did anyone at Petro ever have a further discussion with anyone at IPOS about the platform incident after the day of, or the morning after, in this e-mail chain in Exhibit 9?

A. I don't recall. It just seemed like it was kind of swept underneath the carpet. Meaning, like they were just. let's move on.

Q. Did you ever raise the issue of what happened at the platform incident with anyone at IPOS after your e-mail in Exhibit 9?

A. Yes, correct. I did mention it to Merlin that this is just a constant thing of -- of Andrew just trying to make us look bad. Trying to make us look like we are substandard. That our welding -- this is just -- it was just a adding. First for the RIO panels, and now with this thing. It was just building, yes.

Q. So you say you mentioned it to Merlin. That's on your -- in this e-mail and phone call you had?

A. No. This is conversation, personal. I mean, this is a phone call conversation.

Q. The night of the incident?

A. No, this is probably later on. You said if we mentioned this before. Yes, I'm telling you, this was mentioned before.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. I'm sorry. I'm not following.

MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

MS. ROHN: And he said, yes.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ $\quad \mbox{(Mr. Kaplan)}$ And now he's saying he mentioned it before.

A. You were mentioning it before, so --

Q. Okay. All right. Let me make sure I'm clear.

You wrote this e-mail on February 11th, 2021,
the day of the incident. And you believe you also had a
phone call with Merlin that night, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And you're telling me, at some point, thereafter, you also talked with Merlin about this incident again?

A. Y

Q. Okay. And can you place that in time at all?

A. Can't recall exactly.

Q. Did you ever ask Merlin for a copy of IPOS's findings from the incident?

A. 1

Q. Did you ever ask anyone at IPOS for their findings?

A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you ever share Petro's incident record with 1 2 IPOS? 3 No. Didn't ask for it. Α. 4 Did you think it was important to provide it? 5 If they didn't ask for it, no. 6 Q. Did you ever provide Petro's incident report to 7 anyone at Vitol? 8 9 Q. Did you ever tell anyone at Vitol about this 10 incident, or what you heard Mr. Canning said after the 11 incident? 12 A. Yes, actually. Yes, when I went to go visit them in -- in March --13 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. -- this subject came up, actually. 16 Q. Tell me what came up in the meeting in March. A. That same thing. You know, what happened with 17 this platform. I explained kind of what it was. What 18 19 happened. The incident itself. 20 Q. Did you tell anyone at Vitol, at your meeting in 21 March of 2021, that you believed Mr. Canning had acted in 22 any kind of racist or discriminatory manner? 23 A. Not racist, no. 24 Now, the -- the additional clips that you're

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

talking about, help me understand what that means.

Are you talking about fasteners? Screws?

Bolts?

They're basically just -- if you see the regular grating, it's basically just something holding it down with a screw. So it's just basically a clip to hold it down. It's not going to -- it's just basically holding it down.

(Respite.)

- Q. How long was the time period between when you finished the initial work on the platform and when you were waiting for the additional clips to arrive and be installed?
- It was within that week, I believe. Yeah, it was relatively quick. I can't give you an exact date, but it should have been relatively quick.
- Q. Are you aware of any document from Petro to IPOS, saying the clips are not -- have not been installed and no one should go in the area?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Did Petro ever tell that -- Mr. Canning that the clips had not been installed, and no one should go near?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. All right, sir. I'm going to ask you some questions about the vent line, 3-inch vent line project.

Are you familiar with that project?

120

- Yes, I am.
- In fact, the work on the 3-inch vent line project 0.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

119

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

all started after this platform incident in February 2021, correct?

A. Correct.

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So whatever happened with that incident, whatever Mr. Canning did or said, didn't prevent Petro from being awarded the 3-inch vent line project, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the 3-inch vent line project, that was a -that wasn't a maintenance project; that was a special project, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the 3-inch vent line project was a project done directly for Vitol Virgin Islands Corp.?
 - Correct.
- Q. And so -- now, on the vent line project -- well, withdrawn.

You're familiar with the acronym or -- MSDS or material safety data sheets?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Tell us what an MSDS is.
- MSDS basically is a makeup. It's not -- MSDS is more basically a makeup. It's used more on a -- on a product or chemical, which basically is just a makeup of that product. And if they're explosion-proof, or if it's volatile, or if it's -- basically, that's what it is.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. It's an identification of material, and there are certain traceability standards, right?

A. For products, yes.

Q. Are you familiar with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, the ASME?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Are you an engineer, sir?

A. No. I'm not.

Do you consider yourself to be -- do you hold yourself out to be an expert on the ASME codes and standard?

Α.

Q. Do you agree that Petro's work at the facility on these projects had to comply with the American Society of Mechanical Engineering standards?

Q. Now, on the 3-inch vent line project, did you -before you purchased materials for that project, did you review the specifications that were in place from WAPA and from IPOS and from Vitol?

A. So, I did review. It's VTTI's specification, pipe specifications. IPOS does not have any, and Vitol does not have any, either.

- **Q.** And by VTTI, you understand that to be IPOS?
- I -- I believe they are.
- Okay. Now, did you understand, before you did the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vent line project, that there was a specification in place that prohibited use of material manufactured or sourced from

This was an aftereffect, yes.

What happened was that we got quotes. That quote was from Traeger Brothers. We paid off that quote. Actually, the material, once it got here, unfortunately, it was Chinese. We were not aware of.

- Q. But did you know before you -- before Petro ordered the material for the 3-inch vent line project, you were aware that the specification for the job did prohibit the use of Chinese-manufactured source material, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And you're saying you sourced material from Traeger Brothers. And learned, once it arrived, that what Traeger Brothers delivered was Chinese-sourced or manufactured material, correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Okay. So it wasn't -- it was consistent, then, with the specification in place before you did the work on the vent line project, to reject the use of Chinesemanufactured or sourced material?
 - A. Understood, yes.
- So you're not faulting IPOS, or anyone else involved in this project, for saying that the material that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

you sourced from Traeger Brothers shouldn't be used on the project, per the specification that says no Chinese-sourced or manufactured material, right?

A. Again, the specification of VTTI were actually in construction in 2016, and the construction phase, right?

These specifications could be changed, right? It's not an American -- it's not a ASME product. This is just their specification. If it was ASME, we've used ASME through pipe specifications for Chinese in the refinery. We've used it anywhere else. Diageo's. So it's not like it's -- it's not an American Standard Engineering code. It's just basically their -- their -- their spec, and this was just in the construction phase.

- But you understood, by saying no to Chinese-sourced or manufactured materials, that was consistent with the spec that was in place?
 - A. That's what they had, yes.
- Q. Okay. So you're not saying the rejection of the Chinese-sourced or manufactured material was the result of any discrimination or racist behavior by anyone, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Okay. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 10, which is Vitol 014011.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

124

123

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

(Deposition Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.)

MS. ROHN: It's 12:30. When are we taking a

break?

MR. KAPLAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Whenever you all would like. I'm happy to stop.

MS. ROHN: Since we're starting a new document.

MR. KAPLAN: Okay. I can do this real quick. Can you give this five minutes, and then we'll take a break?

MS. ROHN: Okav.

- Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Mr. Melendez, I've shown you Exhibit 10. This is a July 15, 2021 e-mail to you, Ms. Horowitz, Mr. Figueira, and others from Matthias Clarke at WAPA, correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- And this is part of the 3-inch vent line project, o. right?
 - Correct. Α.
- Q. Okay. If you turn to the second page, there's an e-mail from Mr. Moses, also at WAPA. He's the safety officer, right?
 - A. Correct.

Okay. And he points out to Mr. Clarke, says something I'd like to bring to your attention. "While

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

conducting a walk-down on GT20."

What's GT20?

- A. Gas Turbine 20. There's one of your -- their big units that actually power up. There's four different units.
- Q. Okay. Says, "While conducting a walk-down on GT20 I observed IPOS contractor labeling the vent-to-atmosphere lines," right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Then he says, "The labeling in its current state does not meet the ANSI."

What's that? Do you know what that stands for?

- It's ANSI. It's American National -- I just can't give you the whole -- ANSI. It's the same ANSI, or it's the American Standard. It's within the same platform.
- Q. Okay. Then it says, "ASME," that's the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, right?
- Um-hum. Α.
- Q. Okay. It says, the current state does not meet the standard, the piping identification standards in "maintain labeling consistency between both the IPOS terminal and the Richmond facility," right?
 - A. Yes.
- And then he says, "See below for list of 0. observations."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 IPOS was -- excuse me. Petro was -- was 2 doing the labeling for the vent lines, correct? 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 and which lines are not, correct? A. Correct. 12 13 14 15 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Correct. Α. 25 0. 1 2 3 4 they're saying. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 line project? 15 Α. 16

Okay. And what WAPA is complaining about is that the labeling was inconsistent, in terms of the colors that were being used on the -- and the signage that was being used on the lines, correct? A. Correct.

Q. And you understand that this is significant, because you're indicating which lines are live LPG lines,

Q. Okay. So it's obviously on a line that's carrying propane, it's very important for it to be marked clearly for everyone to know that it's carrying highly flammable gas,

Q. Okay. If you look at the first e-mail, what the safety official at WAPA says, is that "the labeling that was placed around the plant marking the uncolored propane vents lines are highly inconsistent with the current color standards in the plant."

Do you see that?

Okay. Then he says, "While you've indicated that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

the pipes could not be painted, I apologize if it was not clear that the color white is used to denote all of the LPG lines, not the yellow," right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So this was an issue raised about the labeling that Petro had done to vent lines here in July of 2021, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. There's some pictures attached.

Look at the first photo that's attached --

Α. okav.

-- if you don't mind, sir? The page that's 013. Q.

Okay. A.

Okay. And tell me what you see in that picture, and what -- what you understand WAPA's concern may have been here.

MS. ROHN: Objection to the form. Go ahead.

A. What -- I'm sorry. What are you asking? What is

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Yeah. What did you understand WAPA to be saying to you labeling was -- hold on. Let me ask a better question.

A. Okav.

What did you understand WAPA to be saying to you when they said that "The labeling placed around the plant

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

128

127

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

marking the uncolored propane vent lines are highly inconsistent with the color standards in the plant"?

A. To me, it's just the labeling itself. That's what

what's interesting is that there was no labeling before we actually did this whole thing. There was absolutely no labeling. So when they said, "labeling," what -- IPOS's labels are completely different from what WAPA's labels are. So we followed IPOS labels.

If you go down to the terminal itself, down to the actual thing, they're all consistent to what we put up, so they just wanted it different.

Petro used IPOS's labels for this vent

Correct.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And did you wind up changing the labeling on that project?

We did change it to what -- their liking.

Q. Did the 3-inch vent line project -- withdrawn. Okay. Why don't we take a break?

Yeah. Absolutely. How long?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:41.

> (Lunch recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

(Mr. Kaplan) All right, sir. Your father,

Mr. Melendez, Sr., right? Α. Correct.

The time is 1:41.

Your father worked for Petro from January 2019 until May of 2020; is that right?

A. I believe so.

And your father's position at Petro is quality control supervisor?

Correct. A.

> Q. In May 2020, did your father retire?

12 Yeah, he did. COVID came around, and he was down A. 13 with it.

> There's an allegation in the Complaint about your father's prior employment before he worked for Petro.

> who was your father's employer before he worked with you at Petro?

> He was a contractor for Vitol. I don't know the company. I believe it was Burdock that he worked for.

Q. Say the name again, sir?

Burdock. I believe that's the company name, and they were -- they were contracted directly through -- to Vitol during the construction phase. VTTS, I believe.

Your father was not an employee of Vitol? He worked for this Burdock company that did contract work

for -- you believe, for Vitol?

A. Correct.

Q. And you say in your interrogatory responses that your dad lost his job at Burdock, right?

Correct.

Q. You believe your dad lost his job at Burdock because of something that Mr. Canning did?

A. I believe that Mr. Canning was a big part of why he had to be dismissed, yes. Correct.

Q. Tell me the reasons, as you understand it, about why your dad was dismissed by Burdock. Tell me the reasons, as you understand them, about why your dad was dismissed by the Burdock company?

A. So after the hurricane, 2027 (sic), he was always -- he was employed by Burdock, contracted through -- to Vitol, and this had been happening since the construction phase of this whole facility, which I understand was 2014 and on. So he had been there for quite a bit of years.

So he actually had his own expenses, which was housing expenses, car expense, whatever, so he would actually expense that to Burdock. Burdock would expense that over to Vitol.

After the hurricane, his apartment got annihilated. He was offered the -- the Vitol -- let's just say, I'm sorry, IPOS house or apartment that they had there,

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

to be able to -- to live there, right? Because there was nothing else. It was also Andrew Canning had a Jeep, a four-door Jeep over there that he only uses when he was there, and he was not there, was also offered to him to use, the Jeep.

My understanding was that -- that through the prior -- the -- from the hurricane on toward where he was actually dismissed or -- from IPOS/Vitol, let's just say it was September, all the way up to March of the following year, he had been living there and using their vehicle.

So what I understand is, when they came back, said, well, why are you guys -- why are you still charging me for the expenses or what have you? That's when -- that's when all this came about.

Now, I understand that Andrew was a big contributor of this, because he wanted to live there, and he wanted to leave his Jeep there. And unfortunately, my father was there at the time, so he wanted him out.

Q. And the Burdock company is the one who dismissed your dad? Terminated your dad?

A. No. My dad actually just -- they gave him an ultimatum, where either you fall into -- they gave him an ultimatum, saying, either fall into or actually even -- what I understand, either fall into IPOS, for the reduction of rate, reduction of fees, and actually even threaten to --

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

they had -- he had to pay some money back, or get dismissed. So he just went ahead and said, I'm done with you guys.

Q. You're not alleging that Vitol did anything improper in respect of your father's leaving the Burdock firm, are you?

A. I -- yeah, I don't recall that.

Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 11, which is Vitol-0011500.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 11 was marked for identification.)

All right. In Exhibit 11, Mr. Kologinczak is e-mailing you and Merlin and -- about the vent piping project, the 3-inch vent piping project, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And he's talking about what he's calling the WAPA deliverables, right?

A. Correct

Q. And you understand that is the documentation and information that WAPA -- that's required at the end of the project to deliver to WAPA, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And among the things that Mr. Kologinczak tells you and Merlin, are going to be the WAPA deliverables are welder certificates, right?

• Correct.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. So you knew at the beginning of the 3-inch vent line project that having certificates for the welders, who were going to do work, was required, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And certainly you agree, the welders had to be properly qualified to do the work, right?

A. Correct.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ All right. And among the other deliverables were inspection reports, right?

A. Correct.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Pardon me, Attorney. Let me make sure, switching tapes, I want to make sure we're not missing anything.

MR. KAPLAN: Okay.

 $\label{the videographer: Going off the record. The time is 1349.}$

(Short recess taken.)

 $\label{the condition} \textbf{THE VIDEOGRAPHER:} \quad \text{Going back on the record.}$ The time is 1:50.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) All right. Now, in 2021, in connection with the vent, the 3-inch vent line project, you sent welder qualification certifications to IPOS, to Vitol, and to WAPA, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. You agree, you had to submit accurate and truthful

Casse: LLC/AF Document #: 30 Es. LLC/AF				: 30 бъ . 1 и.с./ » File Elio 29,4 но 8 / 20 dr. Page 34 of 64
1	records,	right?	1	1 (Deposition Exhibit No. 12 was
2	A.	Correct.	2	2 marked for identification.)
3	Q.	Okay. Do you stand by the accuracy of all the	3	On April 15th, 2021, Mr. Melendez, you
4	welding o	qualifications/certifications that Petro submitted	4	4 submitted Petro's welding procedures and welding
5	to IPOS		5	qualifications to IPOS, to Vitol, to Mr. Canning, and to
6	Α.	Correct.	6	6 WAPA, correct?
7	Q.	to Vitol and to WAPA?	7	7 A. Yes.
8	A.	Yes.	8	Q. All right. Let's go towards the back of the
9	Q.	Are you aware of any inaccuracies in the welding	9	g document, and let's start with the page that ends in 994 on
10	qualifica	ations/certifications that Petro submitted to IPOS?	10	0 the bottom right.
11	A.	They were brought forward with some here and	11	1 A. Got it.
12	there's,	dates or whatever it was, but for Petro, we stand	12	Q. Do you see that?
13	by it.	They're accurate.	13	3 A. Yes.
14	Q.	Do you believe there are any inaccuracies in the	14	Q. All right. Okay. At the top, it says, "Welder
15	welding	certificates that Petro submitted to Vitol?	15	5 Performance Qualification Record (WPQ)."
16	A.	Inaccuracies, as of? Sorry.	16	6 Do you see that?
17	Q.	Do you believe that the welding certifications	17	7 A. Yes.
18	that Pet	ro submitted to Vitol were true and correct?	18	Q. Okay. And the welder's name is Edgardo Batista,
19	A.	Yes.	19	9 correct?
20	Q.	Are you aware of any things any information in	20	O A. Correct.
21	the weld	ing certifications submitted to IPOS, to Vitol, or	21	Q. Is Mr. Batista an employee of Petro?
22	WAPA tha	t you now believe to be incorrect?	22	A. Not currently.
23	A.	No.	23	Q. He was at the time?
24	Q.	Let me show you Exhibit 12. Exhibit 12 is	24	4 A. Yes.
25	Vitol-01	1972.	25	Q. In February of pardon me, in April of 2021?
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR			Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

(340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. All right. Who prepared the form here in Exhibit 12, the page ending in 94, the welder performance qualification record?

A. Again, describe -- who prepared the form?

Yes.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Okay. So Mr. Castro was the one that actually prepared the form.

Q. So all of the information listed on the form that's filled in each of these lines, that was filled out by Mr. Castro?

A. Correct.

Q. And when you say, "Mr. Castro," you're referring to Guillermo Castro?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. Mr. Castro did not sign the welder certification --

A. Correct.

-- correct?

You signed the welding certification,

correct?

A. That's right.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} And you -- the date on the bottom of this form is February 19th, 2021, right?

A. Correct.

135

136 PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT Q. Okay. Is that the date that you signed the form? 2 That's correct. 3 Okay. When did Mr. Castro fill out all the information on the form? On the same date? February 2021. I'm sorry. Say again? 7 February of 2021. Okay. Not on the same date that you signed the 8 9 form? 10 A. Can't recall that. 11 Okay. How did you get the form to sign for Mr. Q. 12 Castro? 13 Oh, it was actually through FedEx. Got information through FedEx. 14 15 Q. Mr. Castro sent you a FedEx with this whole form filled out, except for your signature on the bottom? 16 17 A. Correct. Okay. Did Mr. Castro fill in the part that said 18 19 Petro Industrial Solutions? 20 Α. 21 He filled that in, or you filled that in? Q. No, he did. 22 Okay. And did Mr. Castro fill in the date on the 23 Q. 24 bottom? 25 I believe so. I believe so.

Q. When did Mr. Castro do the testing that is 1 2 reflected in this welding performance qualification record 2 Okay. How did you know Mr. Castro? in Exhibit 12 on the page ending 94? We worked -- he was the lead inspector/technician 3 I believe around the same date. 4 for Limetree. In Limetree Bay. 5 On what date? 5 When's the last time you spoke to Mr. Castro? A. Oh, my goodness. This had to be actually July of 6 The same date of 2-19. 6 Α. 7 Q. So you believe Mr. Castro tested Mr. Batista on 7 2021. February 19th, 2021, and then FedExed to you this form that 8 8 Do you know anything about Mr. Castro's current 9 whereabouts? you signed? 10 A. I believe so. 10 No, I do not. 11 Q. All right. Where did the testing that Mr. Castro 11 Have you had any written communications with Mr. Castro since July of 2021? did of Mr. Batista take place? 12 A. The facility -- he was working for a client there 13 No. Α. in -- in Puerto Rico, and we tested all the welders there. 14 E-mails? Texts? 0. 15 Q. Where in Puerto Rico? 15 A. No. 16 A. In the San Juan area. He actually just said, show 16 Any phone calls? Q. up this area, and that's what the guys did. 17 Α. No. Q. Were you present at the time that Mr. Castro 18 Okay. Now, at the time Mr. Castro did this 0. 19 tested any of your welders? 19 testing in February of 2021, Mr. Castro did not work for A. No, I was not. 20 Acuren Inspection Services, true? Were any of the Petro managers or supervisors, 21 A. True. superintendents, any management of Petro present? 22 Mr. Castro, on the form, it says, "Welding Test 23 Conducted By: Guillermo Castro," and then it says, "LIII."

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Did you know Mr. Castro from before February of

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

140

139

Do you know what the LIII signifies? Level III.

Okay. Level III. Do you know what -- Level III Q. what?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1

3

4

9

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2021?

A. So that's kind of the highest level. So he's -he's performed the third level of his craft, which is phased array or PATUTS (sic), which is phased array ultrasonic testina.

Q. Do you know whether Mr. Castro, in fact, holds the Level III certification?

Currently? Α.

At any time? Q.

A.

Q. How do you know that?

Through Acuren. Α.

Q. In what way did Acuren inform you that Mr. Castro holds a Level III certification?

Actually, a certificate itself.

Do you possess the certificate? Q.

A. I believe I do.

Okay. In what context did you receive a certificate from Acuren, showing that Mr. Castro has a Level III certification?

A. Different testing that we've done, he actually attaches a certification in the back of it.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Correct.

So testing that you did with Mr. Castro before February of 2021?

> Α. Yes.

Okay. In what -- what project? 0.

Do you see that?

A.

Okay. So sometime working on the Limetree Bay project, you believe Acuren provided you with a certificate, indicating Mr. Castro held a Level III certification?

Α. Correct.

And you believe you currently possess that certificate?

A. I do. This has to be -- I mean, this is 2017 or so. I believe I do.

Q. Okay. Do you have any other information that would indicate one way or the other whether Mr. Castro, in fact, holds the Level III certificate, other than this Limetree Bay certificate?

Α.

Have you read the declaration that was submitted in this case from Doug Rice of Acuren?

A.

MS. ROHN: You were supposed to look at every document? How could you say that?

I read so much. Α.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Did you have a contract with

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Mr. Castro to do a certain scope of weld testing or 1 1 2 certifications in February of 2021 --2 3 3 Α. 4 -- for your employees? 4 Q. 5 5 Α. No. 6 So how did you make arrangements for him to do 6 Q. 7 this testing? 7 A. Literally, a phone call. Hey, I need you to 8 8 9 9 retest these welders. Are you available? Yes, I'm in 10 Puerto Rico, and that's the way it was. 10 11 Q. Did you pay Mr. Castro for him performing this 11 12 certification? 12 13 13 Α. 14 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Castro issue any form of invoice or 14 15 documentation for this testing? 15 16 A. No, but I do have a PayPal that I sent him. Copy 16 17 17 of the PayPal that I sent him. Q. Do you recall how much you paid for the 18 18 19 19 certification records? 20 20

A. I believe it was a thousand bucks.

Per welder or total?

Total. Α.

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

And how many times did Mr. Castro do any Q. certification testing for Petro welders?

On this occasion, it was about six of them, I

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

believe. Yeah, six.

Q. Was there more than one occasion, though, where -- where you had Mr. Castro do welding certifications for Petro welders?

A. No, I believe that was it.

So you believe it was February 19th, 2021, Mr. Castro did welding certifications for a number of Petro welders, and that's the only one you recall?

A. No. There was -- yeah, there were two other ones that were done in April. In March and in April, yeah.

Were you present at any of the welding certifications?

A. I was not.

Q. Were any Petro managers or supervisors present at any of the welding certifications?

A. No.

Q. All right. So on the certification form underneath Mr. Castro's name, it says, "Mechanical Tests Conducted By: Acuren Inspection Services," correct?

A. Correct.

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you knew that in February of 2021, Mr. Castro did not, in fact, work for Acuren Inspection Services,

I did not know that.

You believed, in February 2021, that Mr. Castro Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

144

143

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

worked for Acuren?

A. I never asked the question.

Q. Well, you certainly believed that Mr. Castro did work for Acuren, based on this form, right?

Yeah. Took the form at value.

Would you have signed and submitted this form to WAPA and Vitol and IPOS if you knew that Mr. Castro did not, in fact, work for Acuren --

MS. ROHN: Objection.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) -- at the time this form was signed? MS. ROHN: Calls for speculation.

A. Those forms are actually Petro's forms. So -- so these forms, this is Petro paying for what we actually paid for.

So your question is, as far as if it was Acuren, or if it was any other company overseeing independently, that -- that's irrelevant. I mean, would I sign them? I would have signed them.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Even if you knew Mr. Castro didn't work for Acuren, you would have signed and submitted this form?

Q. And now you see at the bottom above your signature, it says, "we," and that's you, on behalf of Petro, right?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Yeah.

Petro. Says, "We certify that the statements in this record are correct," right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So you're certifying that Mr. Castro did this work, and then, quote, "Mechanical Tests (were) Conducted By: Acuren Inspection Services," right?

A. I didn't certify -- or, actually, I am okay with the actual certification, yes.

Q. But included in the certification is the factual statement that mechanical tests were conducted by Acuren Inspection Services, right?

What it states here is correct, and the test coupons were prepared and weld in accordance to ASME. That's what I signed. Acuren is an afterthought.

O. Acuren is what?

A. An afterthought. Like that is -- for me, the biggest thing is that he certified my welder.

Q. You don't think it was important to have the name Acuren Inspection Services on this certification to indicate a company with a reputation for doing this type of work had actually done the mechanical tests?

A. The actual -- the value of it is his name. The value is that he is a Level III. He is actually -- he is or was the highest level technician for that firm. So that's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the value. I am putting that liability on Petro. This is my document. All I needed him to do was to certify my Who certifies someone as a Level III inspector? Q.

A. So he actually has to go through different -- he, himself, personally, has to go through different levels himself. He has to pay through different classes.

Q. But Acuren is the one who certifies -- classifies someone, correct?

A. Correct, but he's actually independent, though. He can actually certify other people, if he wants, himself.

Q. Mr. Castro wrote on the form, Acuren?

A. That was on the form.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you're telling us you thought, at the time, he did work for Acuren?

A. I didn't know either way. I mean, either way, I didn't know.

Q. Okay. But just to be clear about the facts, at the time, you received the welding certifications in February --

A. No, actually. No, you know, he did tell me he didn't work for Acuren. You're right. Correct.

Let's be --

A. Correct.

-- very clear on the facts. Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. In February of 2021, when you received this form, the welding certification records, you knew, because Mr. Castro told you, that he did not work for Acuren, correct?

I believe that was the conversation, yeah. Α.

Okay. And next to where it says, "Mechanical Tests Conducted By: Acuren," it has a laboratory test number.

Do you see that?

That's right. Α.

And on the -- on the form for Mr. Batista, it says, "PAUTO21621-EB," right?

Yes. Α.

And if you look at all the other welding certifications, it has a similar, but different test number, right?

A. Okav.

Q. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

> Q. Okay. But they all start with PAUT, correct?

Correct. Α.

> Do you know what that stands for? Q.

PAUT is phased array ultrasonic testing. Α.

Do you have copies of the tests? These test Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

148

147

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

numbers that were done on these welders?

I do not.

Mr. Castro should have a copy of these? 0.

As I understand, no, he didn't. Α.

Q. I'm sorry?

As I understand, no, he did not.

Q. What do you mean, "no, he did not"? It says he performed a mechanical test.

A. Correct. I didn't request actual reports, so he did not. Actually just performed the tests. Pass. Move forward.

Q. I'm not -- I'm not following what you're saying. So you're saying Mr. Castro doesn't have a copy of the test results?

A. That's what I understand.

So how -- he filled out this form from memory? How would he know the information to put in the form?

A. There's a test coupon, right? So let's just say it's a 6-inch piece of pipe. Put a weld together. And then you're right there. Pass or fail. And that's basically it. So that actually is a record of what this test is about.

But you said this was a Petro form, right?

A. I'm sorry. This form?

Yeah. You told me it was a Petro form? 0.

No, I never said it was a Petro form.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MS. ROHN: You said that.

A. That this was a Petro form? No. no. no. This is not a Petro form.

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Where did you get the form? ο.

A. This is Mr. Castro's form.

Okay. So --

I'm sorry if I said that. Correct. Α.

This is Mr. Castro's form, but you're saying he does this test coupon, and then he pulls up this form on a computer, and he fills out this information, but there's no written test report?

That's correct. Α.

So what does the test number signify, then?

So he'll put a test number on the actual coupon itself.

0. Okay. Do you have copies of the coupon?

17 I don't believe. This was 2-3 years ago. No, I Α. 18 do not.

But did you ask Mr. Castro for any copies of Q. those?

A. I did not.

Now, a photo -- a phased array is a particular type of welding test, right?

Correct. A.

Q. It's different from a mechanical test, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Don't know what the difference is of what you're 1 2 askina. 3 Q. All right. So if you turn to the last page of 4 this document welder certification for Mr. Philips, right? 5 Okav. 6 How do you pronounce his first name? 0. 7 Α. Richie or -- yeah. Richael, actually. Is Richael one of your employees, sir? 8 Q. 9 Α. No, not currently. 10 But Mr. Philips was one of your welders? Q. 11 A. 12 Q. And the date on his form is 3-22-21, right? 13 okav. Α. 14 Q. Okay. So you're saying Mr. Castro sent you a 15 FedEx also on 3-22? A. I believe so. 16 17 Q. Okay. And he also sent you a FedEx on April 1? A. I believe so, yeah. Within those times. 18 19 Okay. So there's a -- there's a FedEx to you on 20 February 19th, you're saying, from Mr. Castro, right? Should be. 21 22 Yes? Q. 23 A. Yes. 24 Okay. And you believe there's another one on March 22, and another one on April 1, correct? 25

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. I believe so, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And the way you think the forms would be is Mr. Castro would fill out this whole form, and it would come to you, and you would sign it, and that -- that it was complete, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to show you what I've marked as Exhibit 13, which is PIS61.

> (Deposition Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)

All right. Do you recall, in July of 2021, IPOS was asking for a variety of information related to welding procedures, welding inspections, test reports, and certifications/qualifications?

Yes. I do.

Okay. And there was an individual, Andreas Constantinou. Do you recall?

A. On the e-mail. I've never met the gentleman.

Okay. But do you recall that Mr. Smith was saying that Andreas was responsible for requesting and reviewing all of this information about your welding and procedures and qualifications and alike?

I do recall.

Okay. So if you look on the second page, you're responding to Mr. Smith. And you say, "please find our

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

152

151

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

response below along side your questions."

Do you see that?

Yes. sir. Α.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. Okay. And the question was, or the request was for Petro's full inspection and test plan, right?

A. Correct.

Q. And what you wrote in the bold and underlined part there is, you write "no I&TP reports were required from IPOS/Vitol and therefore none can be provided," right?

A. Correct.

Q. So you're saying you have no inspection or test plan reports, right?

A. Inspection, we did. Obviously, we provided all the actual x-rays that were actually perform on the vent line, so that was.

But as far as the test plan, yeah, there was no written test plan.

Q. And then the other thing that they asked for were daily records for welding, fitting, and visual inspections.

And you say, "we do not have daily reports but have included weld logs with all NDT which includes VT on all welds from the third party inspection," correct?

Correct.

Q. Okay. And then the last item says, "Welding and mechanical tests of WPQs," and that's welder performance

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

qualifications, correct?

Um-hum.

Q. Okay. So the request here was to actually provide the tests that were used to qualify the welders, right?

Α.

Is that how you understood it? Q.

Α.

And what you wrote, is, you wrote, "The actual welders' qualification certificates were given to Petro in lieu of a PAUT report," right?

A. Gotcha.

"Each Welder was tested on four different positioned coupons which were phase array inspected and passed. Certifications were then approved, accepted and signed by Petro," right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And if you look, Mr. Smith responds to you at the top of the page, and he says, "you signed the qualification certificate on behalf of Acuren/Costas." I think he means Castro.

Α. Castro.

Q. Okay. He says, "you signed the quotation certificate -- qualifications certificate on behalf of Acuren. We believe there must be a report/certificate/ document from Acuren/Costas in order for you to sign the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WPQ. Can you please provide it?" Right? A. Right. 0. In response to that, that's when you told Mr. Melendez -- sorry. When you, Mr. Melendez, told Mr. Smith, and others at IPOS, to "see Mr. Castro's attached letter regarding this question," right? Α. Correct. Okay. So in response to questions about the welding certifications, you said, look at this letter from Guillermo Castro, correct? Correct. Α. $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ Okay. And the date of this e-mail is what, sir, when you said. look at the attached letter from Mr. Castro? A. The letter from what, sir? I'm sorry. Q. When you told Mr. Smith, and others at IPOS, to see Mr. Castro's letter, when did you tell them that? A. July 27th, looks like. Q. Okay. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 14, which is IPOS 553. (Deposition Exhibit No. 14 was marked for identification.) Thank you. A. You're welcome. Do you recognize Exhibit 14 as the letter

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

that you sent to IPOS as the letter from Mr. Castro?

Q. Okay. And so we just looked at Exhibit 13, dated July 27, 2021, where you said, "see Mr. Castro's attached letter," right?

A. Correct.

Okay. But Mr. Castro's letter in Exhibit 14 is dated two days later, July 29th, 2021.

Do you see that?

I see it. Α.

So how did you send a letter to IPOS on July 27th Q. that was dated two days later, July 29th?

A. That's when Mr. Castro sent. I don't know if he was in Japan at the moment, I believe. I don't know if he was working at night. I don't know if it was, you know, just a mistake, or what it was. Never questioned him.

Q. Sure.

Mr. Castro sent you a letter that had the July 29 date on it?

> A. Correct.

How did you get, physically get, this letter from Mr. Castro? Did he FedEx it to you?

No. An e-mail. Α.

Q. He e-mailed it to you?

Correct. Α.

So he e-mailed you a -- a Word document? A PDF Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

156

155

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

document?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe it was PDF.

Okay. Do you have a copy of the e-mail from Mr. Castro that transmits this -- this letter to you?

I'm sure I do.

We haven't seen that in the production. You believe you produced the e-mail?

Α. No?

MS. ROHN: I haven't seen it.

Okay. I will send it to you guys.

MS. ROHN: Sorry, guys.

I'm sorry. Sorry. Sorry. My error.

(Mr. Kaplan) Do you have other e-mails with Mr. Castro?

A. No. This is it.

Q. How did Mr. Castro know to provide the letter? Did you e-mail? Did you call him?

A. I called him.

Q. And what did you tell Mr. Castro when you called him before he sent this letter to you?

A. I told him about the whole situation. Told him about, Hey, you know what? The welders' quals are being questioned. There's a couple discrepancies between, you know, kind of, you know, see that there's overwriting or whatever it is, or, you know, kind of missing with dates PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

here and there, can you please explain it, and this is what he came back with.

Q. Okay. If you look at Mr. Castro's letter, in the middle of that second paragraph, he says, "I tested six welders for Adrian, at my Client's shop in Puerto Rico."

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

Okay. Then he says, "but unfortunately did not have the original Quals under Acuren saved and to re-certify the previous reports I had to adjust the old welders' quals and changed the welders' tensile number, the qualification date, and the four test coupons."

Do you see that?

A. Correct.

Do you know what any of that means?

Yes. So what he had to do is basically from the original quals that he had from Limetree Bay, and the new coupons that he had, he had to just superimpose them. That's what he meant.

Q. But he said he didn't have the original qualifications, right?

A. Well, that's what he meant.

And then if you keep reading a little bit further -- yeah. So he actually just had to superimpose. He basically had to cut and paste. That's what he's saying.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So if you look at the welding certification forms that were in Exhibit 12, if we look at the first one for Mr. Cruz that ends on Page 95, and you're saying cut and paste or superimpose.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. That's why you see those two right columns in the middle, why they don't line up with the other lines, right?

A. Actually, I'll be honest with you, I think from the original -- not that I have them, but from the original ones from Limetree Bay, this was -- this is the way it was. There's no reason why it's like that, so --

Q. Okay. Well, look at the next form for Mr. Rodriguez, 96. Do you see how he has the similar issue, where the lines don't line up there for the -- the actual test results?

A. I think they all look the same, don't they? I mean, they're all the same.

Q. Is that -- is this what you're referring to, about the forms not lining up, when you said superimpose or cut and paste?

Α. No, no, no. What he had to do was basically change the stamp. What he's saying, the actual welding coupons, what he's saying here, and test number here. That's what he's saying. Not that he changed any of this other stuff, or changed the lining or the leveling of it.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. Okay. You're going to have to walk me through this. sir.

what information, on this form -- let's take one of the welders. Let's start with Mr. Batista, okay?

Okay.

All right. So we're looking at Exhibit 13? 0.

Α. Correct.

Pardon me. We're looking at Exhibit 12?

Α. Correct.

We're looking at the certification form for Mr. Batista that you submitted to WAPA and Vitol and IPOS, right?

Α. Correct.

We're looking at Mr. Batista's form that ends in Bates Number 994.

What information on this form was from the time that you say Mr. Castro originally tested these people from Limetree Bay, what information is new from the testing done in February of 2021?

So the welder stamp up here in the corner.

The stamp number at the top right corner?

Correct. Α.

EB (74)? Q.

Correct. Α.

What about that? Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

160

159

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT Okay. So if I may -- let me see real quick.

Actually, all this is the same. This Limetree Bay one. So all he's doing is saying, okay, so the same test that we did at Limetree Bay, all we're doing is just changing the stamp, changing the actual results, and then changing the laboratory tests, 'cause all the rest is the same.

Q. When you say, "all the rest," tell us specifically --

so basically --

-- what information is all the same?

A. What you're asking, basically all the center, all the center markings, they're all going to be the same.

Everything from where it says, "Manual or Semi-Automatic Variables for Each Process," all the way down to where it says, "Guide Bend Test Results"?

A. Correct. And, actually, even the top portion, where it says the weld testing procedure number, where it says, "PISL-GT," well, that's our procedure that we started back in 2018. So all that is going to be the same, 'cause that's the same procedure that he's testing under, right? So it's still going to be gas ten -- tungsten arc, which is TIG welding, all that is going to be the same. Again, because he's just requalifying that welder for that specific procedure.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

So that's changed.

That's a new number?

That's a new number. A.

Q. Okav.

A. So now that's a Petro number.

okay. Q.

Okay? So then that, he's saying the actual test coupons themselves, which is described right here, where it actually says, "Trans. Root & Face," okay? And then he says the laboratory test number, that's what he's changed.

Q. So the guide bend test results, that's new information, based on new work done in February of 2021?

That's actual coupons he tested, correct.

Q. Great.

What about all the information above it on the form, where it says, "Manual or Semi-Automatic Variables for Each Process"? Do you see that? The whole middle section of the form?

Correct.

Q. That's -- that information is from prior tests done at Limetree Bay?

A. well, all that is basically the same test, right? Because all that's changed, because it's actually a procedure changed, right?

I don't understand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. But Mr. Castro's telling you, he didn't have the original qualifications in his files from Acuren,

A. That's what he's saying.

Q. How did Mr. Castro have any of this information about the procedures used at Limetree Bay?

A. Well, what I understand, what he told me, is that he didn't have the original form to be able to $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ to just basically put it out. He actually had a copy of the original procedures, saying that, yes, I did qualify this welder. I mean, in Limetree. This is, again, 2018, correct. Or before that, 2016. Yeah.

Did Mr. Castro send you the original form? Q.

Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you ever seen the original form? Q.

No, those are actually Limetree Bay documents. So everybody worked for Limetree, and they paid for everything there.

Okay. So when you said earlier something about cut and paste or superimpose, tell us what you meant by that.

A. What I understand is basically just the stamp, because the stamp was different. And then the actual laboratory, and in the middle, where it says, "bend test." The center portion of it.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. Cut and paste from what?

To add the new information on there. The new Α. coupons.

So in Limetree Bay, Limetree Bay paid -- they hired all of us as time and material employees. So they hired the inspection company, which was Acuren. They hired us as the contractor. And they, themselves, in person, would test the actual welders themselves. They, themselves, paid for it, and they, themselves, actually had and owned the possession of these actual welding logs.

So ever since then, we follow the same welding logs, which is actually the welding logs, which actually, if you stayed on the welding logs, when this -- in the same year, if you're testing or actually welding the same procedure, it is still valid. Meaning the welding procedure, the welding log, the actual welding qualifications are still valid, 'cause you're still testing on the same thing.

In between that, we do test, like you guys have seen before, through x-ray, through phase array and different welds through same welder. So requalifying that welder over and over. And we've done that through Limetree. I'm so sorry, through IPOS and Vitol's project, because we have requalified them over and over again through different types of testing.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

164

163

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Who did the requalifications -- so you said the original one was done in Limetree Bay 2017?

I'll say probably --

'16-'17? 0.

'16-'17. A.

Okay. Fair enough.

Who did the recertification in 2018 and 2019 and 2020 for your welders?

(Cross talk.)

Q. So you had to do recertifications every year, right?

A. No. So if you're following the same procedure, if you're doing the same procedure, and you're holding welding logs of what weld it was, what procedure you're using, what welder it was -- I mean, what welder tensile it is, dates on it, that same procedure, that same welding log is valid.

A new client, if you have one, they can ask for new testing. They can. And, therefore, you have to bring the inspector, you have to bring the welder on site to reinspect.

Q. Okay. Is -- was the 3-inch vent line project the first time that IPOS or WAPA or Vitol asked for a recertification of any of the Petro welders?

They've never asked for recertification. A.

Okay. But why did you provide the welder Q.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

qualification forms here in -- in advance of the 3-inch vent line project in 2021?

A. Because they asked for my qualifications. Those are my qualifications. Those are Petro qualifications. They're not IPOS. They're not Vitol's. Those are Petro's qualifications.

Q. Okay. Why did you provide the qualifications back from '16 or '17? Why did you provide those?

A. Because those were recent. And I -- I, myself, wanted to see if these welders were still going. So those are mine.

In what you brought up earlier, you have VTTS piping and welding specs. In there, it states that after Andrew Cannon gave it to me, and, you know, after or during the whole procedure, that the welders should be certified on site with a representative overlooking them. That was never done.

So, again, these are my qualifications.

Q. But Mr. Castro's -- is doing the testing, not you, correct?

I signed them. They're my qualifications. Α.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} But you're not -- you're not licensed or qualified to do welding and certifications, correct?

I'm liable with Petro, so, yes. A.

Q. That's not my question. I understand you're

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

liable for Petro. My question is, you are not certified/ licensed/qualified to actually do a welder performance qualification?

A. Of course not. That's why I hired on Mr. Castro.

Q. Just to be clear, 'cause you're saying these are "my records."

You're not qualified to certify a welder as qualified, right?

A. Correct. That's why I got Mr. Castro.

Q. Mr. Castro, in his letter, says -- he goes on, "I gave Petro Industrial a welder's qualification certificate for each welder, which all welders passed, in lieu of a PAUT report."

Do you see that?

Correct. A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then he says, "No qualification reports were Q. created," right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. But if no -- the form is a qualification record, right?

Yeah. So he was referring more back, because I had called him about, for example, they were asking for actually where is the actual report for the PAUT report. That's what he was referring to.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

But Mr. Castro is saying he doesn't have the report that IPOS and WAPA and Vitol were requesting,

IPOS and Vitol, not WAPA. Α.

But you had been -- okay. 0.

And if you do look forward, he did mention, and I was talking to David Smith, he would actually come on site to actually recertify, retest everything, so this could be cleared up, and that was just thrown under the rug. I could have solved so much. And I paid --

MS. ROHN: There's no question to you.

Sorry.

(Mr. Kaplan) Are you saying WAPA didn't ask for specific project documentation, including welder qualification reports?

A. That's not what I said.

Q. WAPA, in fact, did ask for project documentation, and included in WAPA's request, were the welder certification test reports, correct?

A. They did ask that, correct. IPOS and Vitol were asking for a report from those welder qualifications, which were not there.

Q. You were unable to provide the welder qualification test reports, correct?

They -- they do not exist.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

168

167

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. All right. Let's switch gears.

Let me show you -- show you what I've marked, sir, as Exhibit 15, which is IPOS 5359.

> (Deposition Exhibit No. 15 was marked for identification.)

Thank you.

Q. All right, sir. So you recognize Exhibit 15? This is the July 28th, 2021 letter that IPOS sent to Petro, providing notice of termination of the maintenance contract, correct?

Α. Correct.

Did you talk to Mr. Smith about this termination Q. notice?

A. Afterwards, yes.

Q. Did you talk to Mr. Smith about the termination notice in person or by phone?

A.

Tell me what you recall about your conversation with Mr. -- well, back up.

Did you ask Mr. Smith, during your phone conversation, why the contract was terminated?

Okay. What do you recall Mr. Smith telling you, during this phone conversation, about why the maintenance contract was terminated?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. If I recall correctly, it was just saying there was too much liability, or there was just too much inaccuracies in the welding certifications, and that was basically the -- the gist of the matter.

Q. Do you recall anything else about your conversation with Mr. Smith, following your receipt of the contract termination notice?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Did you talk with anyone else at IPOS after your receipt of the July 28th, 2021 termination notice?

A. That was basically it.

Q. Okay. Did you talk with anyone at Vitol, following your receipt of IPOS's contract termination notice?

I don't believe so.

Did you talk with Mr. Canning, following receipt of the contract termination notice?

Α.

Okay. Did you talk to anyone at WAPA about the contract termination notice you received from IPOS?

A. No.

Q.

okay.

(Respite.)

All right. Mr. Melendez, I want to talk a little bit about the damages that you're claiming in this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lawsuit, all right? 1 2 A. Yes, sir. Q. I should say, the damages that Petro is claiming 3 4 in this lawsuit, okay? 5 A. Yes. sir. Q. As I understand it, Petro is claiming two kinds of 6 7 damages in this case: First, Petro claims it's still owed money for work Petro actually performed, right? 8 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. Okay. And in your Complaint, if you find in that 11 stack in front of you, it should be Exhibit 2. 12 A. Okay. Hold on a second. 13 Okav. Q. If you look at your Complaint, look at 14 15 Paragraph 71. 16 In Paragraph 71, Petro alleges that past-due invoices for work done prior to the cancellation of the 17 18 contract in the amount of \$213,379, correct? 19 You said seventy --20 Paragraph 71. 21 A. Oh, sorry. 22 Do you see that? Q. 23 A. Correct. 24 Okay. So just to be clear, do you agree that the 25 amount stated in Paragraph 71, \$213,379.90, is the total

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

amount that Petro claims it is owed for work actually performed?

- Α.
- And the second category of damages, that I understand Petro's claiming in this case, is that Petro claims, as a result of IPOS terminating the contract, Petro future profits, right?
 - A. Correct.
- And I want to show you what I'm marking as Exhibit 16, which is Petro's Second Supplemental Response to Vitol's Third Set of Interrogatories.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 16 was marked for identification.)

All right. If you look on the first page, Interrogatory Number 10, do you see where it says -question that's asked to Petro, to "identify, on a project-by-project or job-by-job basis, all work for which you are claiming lost profits damages in this case," right?

- Α.
- Q. Okay. And then it has specific requests for each one of the projects identified. Tell us the date on which you say the project would have been awarded. When you would have started/completed, and done the work. How much you say you would have been paid, and the amount of profit that you said you would have made, right?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

172

171

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

A. Correct.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And as well as all costs and expenses you would have incurred, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then Petro provided a chart on Page 2 that has 30 different projects, right?
 - Correct. Α.
- Okay. Did you prepare the answer to this 0. interrogatory?
 - Did I provide this information? A.
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Yes.
- Okay. And you verified the answer? If you look at the back, you signed the declaration, attesting that the information was true and correct, right?
 - Correct.
- Q. I don't think there's a verification on this. Let me show you a prior one.

Let me just ask you: Now under oath, do you attest to the accuracy of the information stated here in Petro's Second Supplemental Response to the Third Set of Interrogatories in Exhibit 16?

- Yes. I believe this is accurate.
- Okay. All right. So big picture first. You've identified 30 projects that you say

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

are projects that -- work you would have done for which you're claiming damages in this case, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you're saying, across those 30 total projects, that Petro would have been paid \$4.48 million, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you're saying that to perform those projects, it would have cost Petro \$3.38 million, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Okay. And so what you're saying is, you're projecting that Petro, but for the termination of the contract, Petro would have earned a projected profit of \$1,095,000, right?
 - Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. First, I want to go through the -- the columns here.
- A. Okav.
 - Q. This list of projects, these 30 projects, tell me, where's this list derived from? What is it based on?
 - A. So this was a collaboration between, again, both general managers, Andrew Canning, Vitol themselves, which is Tim and Charlotte. So it's a culmination of everything. So they would ask me for, for example, removal of the first one, removal of the MLA. What's it going to cost to do that? I would provide them a budget, and this is what it

is. And I think you see some of them -- most of them back the budget to do every one of these 30 projects? 1 1 2 2 A. Correct. There was actually probably another 20 here, as far as copies. Q. Just so we're clear, obviously Mr. Canning and 3 more that they'd x'd out. Yeah, this was actually approved. 3 4 Vitol didn't work with you to prepare your interrogatory 4 Q. Now, earlier, you made a distinction between 5 maintenance projects and special projects, right? response. 5 So what -- in what form did this list exist 6 6 Correct. Α. 7 before the lawsuit was filed that you're saying everyone had 7 Okay. I want to go through these. 8 agreed on this list? 8 Project 1, maintenance or special project? 9 A. So they would ask me -- this is what -- there was 9 Project. Α. 10 something called a wish list. I think you've probably seen 10 I'm sorry. You said maintenance or project? 11 it. So a wish list is basically what -- what was happening, 11 Earlier -- just make sure I'm using your Q. 12 or what was actually going to happen the following year, 12 understanding. 13 right? So that -- that list, because IPOS was the operator 13 Α. We talked about maintenance projects, which you 14 of the facility, Vitol the owner, they had to go and request 14 15 monies from them. So that's where these actual projects 15 said were governed by the -- the contract? 16 came about. 16 A. Q. So you believe all 30 of these projects were And special projects which could be bid on and 17 17 18 could go to other contractors, right? 18 approved by Vitol? 19 19 A. Correct. A. Correct. 20 To do? 20 Q. Q. 21 A. Correct. 21 A. So easier, let's just say, maintenance and 22 In 2021 or 2022? 22 projects. 0. 23 If we were still there, we would have done them, 23 Okay. Q. 24 24 A. That would probably be easier. yes. 25 Okay. You believe, in fact, Vitol had approved 25 So let's just go through. Q. Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

176

175

1 2 portable gas testers?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT Project 1, MLA removal. Maintenance or a 1 2 project? 3 (Cross talk.) THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Slow down, 4 5 please. 6 MR. KAPLAN: Just let me finish the question. 7 MS. ROHN: Stop talking while he's talking. 8 okav. Α. 9 MS. ROHN: Sorry, Susan. 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. (Mr. Kaplan) Project Number 1, MLA removal in STX. 11 12 Maintenance or project? 13 A. 14 Q. Project Number 2, replacement of rotating Dolphins? 15 16 Α. Project. 17 Number 3, replacement transfer pumps? Q. 18 That's Number 3? 19 Project. Number 4, replacement of two-way radios? 20 0.

Number 4. Sorry. Number 5, replacement of the Yeah, that should probably be maintenance, also. Α. Number 6, painting of the control room? ο. A. Projects. Number 7, painting of the pipe? Q. Projects. Α. 0. Number 8. replacement block valves? Α. Q. Number 9, additional stainless steel gearboxes and brackets for manual valves? That should probably be maintenance. Α. Okay. Number 10, repair of the CCTV systems? Q. They covered that under projects. Eleven, annual outages? Q.

Projects. A. Number 12, annual boiler maintenance? ο. Projects. A. Thirteen, annual tank level gauges? Q. A. Projects. Fourteen, API tank inspections? Q. Projects. A.

23 Q. Fifteen, boiler feed pump replacements? 24 Projects. Α.

25 Q. Sixteen, maintenance of new security system?

replacing these little mobile radios, so that would be

More than likely. That was just a simple just

21

22

23

24

25

A.

Q.

maintenance.

Maintenance.

Sure about that?

	COMPCINE DOCUMENTED BUILDING LIVING DOCUMEN	<i>c 11 . c</i>	JORES, FLICA. MEEDINE, TING GPEDINECT T CAGO TO OT OT
1	A. Maintenance.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. There you go.	2	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\star}}$ All right. To this day, do you know if the mound
3	Seventeen?	3	settlement repairs, the work scope that's reflected here in
4	A. That was easy.	4	your interrogatory response, has been done?
5	Q. Seventeen, mound settlement repairs?	5	A. Can't speak to that.
6	A. Projects.	6	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Eighteen, valves and control revision for "on the
7	Q. That was a significant project, right?	7	fly" switching?
8	A. Very.	8	A. Maintenance.
9	Q. And multiple bids went out on the mound settlement	9	Q. Dock hose emergency disconnects?
10	repairs to multiple different contracting and engineering	10	A. Maintenance.
11	firms, right?	11	Q. Nitrogen generator?
12	A. That was after.	12	A. That was a project.
13	Q. That was after what?	13	Q. Stainless steel bolts?
14	A. That was after we got our contract terminated. We	14	A. That was I think that was projects. I'm sorry.
15	were actually had already submitted our thing, and it was	15	That was maintenance. Maintenance.
16	already approved.	16	Q. Replacement grating?
17	Q. It's your testimony Vitol had already approved the	17	A. Maintenance.
18	budget and the work scope for the mound settlement repairs?	18	Q. The tank PRV double block and bleed valves?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Oh, that was projects.
20	Q. Can you identify a document that approves that?	20	Q. Maintenance on the expert pump motors?
21	A. More than sure I can. This was probably right	21	A. Maintenance.
22	before probably the beginning or second week in July,	22	Q. Replacement wet end of fire pumps?
23	yeah. By Charlotte herself.	23	A. That was maintenance.
24	Q. All right. So you believe Ms. Horowitz can speak	24	Q. Design and installation of fire pump test loop in
25	to this?	25	both STT and STX?
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(340) 773-8161

(340) 773-8161

180

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

0.

That was project. Electrical test equipment? Maintenance. Α. Purchase of the VINCO valves? 0. Maintenance. That was just extra valves to be Α. purchased. Rotork actuators? Q.

And semi-annual checks on the nitrogen generator?

179

A. \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Now, on the projects, you agree, those are things that can, and in many cases, were put out for bids by

multiple contractors, correct? A. No. I do not know that.

Q. I'm sorry?

A. I do not know that.

Maintenance.

Maintenance.

Q. But your maintenance contract did not entitle you to do any projects. IPOS could decide to give that work to whoever they believed was qualified or suitable on a particular project basis, right?

A. So the reason we entered a maintenance contract with IPOS was because we were going to be the first person to get, or to get -- to be asked to get projects. We lowered our hourly wages on that contract for that reason, so we are the $\operatorname{--}$ we were, and $\operatorname{--}$ we were the first option.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Was IPOS required to give any projects to Petro under the terms of the contract?

I'm not saying required. We were their first option.

Q. Okay. But my question is required. Was IPOS required to give Petro any projects

A. I don't know that.

under the terms of the contract?

Q. You're not saying that Petro was entitled to receive all the projects, correct?

A. I'm not saying that.

Q. Okay. It would be up to IPOS, in consultation with Vitol and WAPA, to make a decision on a project-by-project basis as to what project to do, and who to award that project to, true?

A. True.

Q. Okay. Now, to go through the column headings, just to make sure I understand this spreadsheet that you prepared, so obviously you have project number, the title of the project. We just talked about that.

The third column says, "unit cost."

Correct.

Q. Okay. What is the unit cost that you have listed here?

Basically, the cost of the -- the cost of itself,

right? So if it's -- for example, first one, MLA removal, the cost would be \$55,000 to remove it.

Q. Okay. The next column is estimated cost.

It appeared to me, when I looked at this, but you tell me, sir, that the unit cost and the estimated cost are the same. The only difference is some places, there's a breakdown between St. Thomas and St. Croix?

A. Correct. So if there's two different units, it will add it up. That's basically it.

Q. Got it. Okay.

The total is the same for the unit; you're just breaking it in two?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Now, as you sit here today, you don't know which, if any, of these projects have been done, right?

A. We're not there. I can't speak to that.

Q. And you don't know -- to the extent any of these projects have been done, you don't know what scope was actually approved and executed, correct?

A. If they haven't been done, then we're in trouble.
I mean, they have to be done. It's maintenance.

Q. Well, you just told me that more than half of these were not major projects, correct?

A. It's a project, but it's part of the maintenance that had to be done. Like if there's coating issues.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Again, I hope they did it.

Q. You believe every one of these projects is a regulatory requirement to do on an annual basis?

A. I can't speak to that.

Q. You're not saying that any of these projects could not properly be deferred, right?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Argumentative.

A. I can't -- I can't speak to that.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) All right. So then you have a projected award date, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And what did you base that date on?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{That was basically what we had scheduled, going} \\ \text{forward.} \\$

Q. Okay. What — is there a particular document I should be looking for when you say, this was agreed? Are you saying there was a — it's a — it's an agreed budget that all parties signed off on, or is it a spreadsheet that lists all these, and everyone had a project award date and a projected time frame?

 ${\bf A.}$ No. They would actually just be scheduled. This is what we're going to do next.

Q. Okay. So you believe every one of these 30 projects was scheduled, and the commencement date for the project that all the parties signed off on is the

> Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

commencement date here in your interrogatory response?

A. Again, I'm not saying they signed on to, but this is basically the schedule. So everything was scheduled from the outages, from the -- everything had to be scheduled, because it wasn't just us, it wasn't just -- I mean, IPOS, it was actually WAPA, so we had to schedule those. Those were actually scheduled ahead of time.

Q. Okay. But I want to be clear.

Are you saying Vitol and IPOS signed off on these projects all being awarded on the dates listed in your interrogatory?

A. I cannot speak to that.

Q. Okay. Are you saying that Vitol or IPOS and WAPA signed off on the estimated cost for every one of these 30 projects?

A. Correct.

Q. Yes?

A. I mean, we definitely discussed it a few times.
They were okay with the pricing, and we were moving forward.

Q. Did purchase orders issue for any of these 30 projects?

A. Can't recall that. But, again, we had been doing this for the last two years prior to this, so it was -- it was there. It was -- there's a history of it. So it's not like if I said, Yeah, this is what we're going to do next

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

year. There was a history in the last two years that we actually did this.

Q. So you believe all 30 of these projects have been done on an annual basis in 2019?

A. I'm not saying all 30, but I would say probably about 90 percent of them, yeah.

Q. And you believe all, or 90 percent of these, were also done in 2020?

A. I believe so. I mean, with the exception of the, you know, getting new radios, or getting stuff like that, yeah, but a lot of the maintenance, a lot of the actual projects, yes. Absolutely. The big -- the big-ticket items, yes.

The mound, that was a different story. Yeah, that's a different story. That's a project that needed to be corrected, and hopefully you don't have to do anything after that.

Q. Okay. You have a projected time frame that's part of the schedule.

Okay. You say, "projected total amount."

And that's the same as the estimated cost column --

A. Correct.

Q. -- correct?

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. And then you say, "projected total cost,"

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

1 amount to exceed. 2 Q. Did your projected total cost figure, does it A. Correct. Q. Okay. And with the column that says, "projected 3 include any contingency? total cost," does that reflect your estimate of Petro's 4 A. No, not on -- not on the budget, correct. all-in cost to perform that project? Sometimes they add it after the budget. 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. But when you're calculating your cost to perform Q. And then you have a column that says, "projected 7 these projects, did you include any contingency amount? profit," right? 8 9 There is one here, removal of MLA equipment. A. Correct. Q. And that column reflects your calculation of what 10 I'm sorry. Tell me what page you're on, sir. Q. Petro's margin would be, Petro's profit would be on a 11 This is 90 -- 795. Α. project-by-project basis, right? 12 Seven nine what? A. That's correct. 13 Seven ninety-five. Α. Now, didn't -- didn't Petro do some work on a 14 Seven ninety-five. 0. not-to-exceed basis on these facilities? 15 Okay. This says, "Cost Breakdown - MLA A. I believe so. Removal & Cribbing on Trailer"? 16 Q. Okay. Which of these projects are you claiming 17 Correct. Α. was approved on a not-to-exceed basis? 18 Q. Okay. And it has -- you're pointing out that it A. I can't recall which ones it was. Probably --19 has a not-to-exceed number? maybe it's back here. 20 That's right. We had a relationship with IPOS that if we 21 Q. Okay. bid a lot more or we had a lot more with them, we could 22 And I think after that, there's a couple of more Α. correct basically the time on it, we would correct it. It 23 back there. wasn't -- it wasn't like anything else. But, yeah, all 24 Q. Okay. So tell me, on the interrogatory response,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

these here were basically total amounts. They were not

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

187

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT cribbing on trailer correspond to?

Number 1. Okay. So Project 1, MLA removal, Page 6795, that's the budget for that project. And so that's a not-toexceed \$54,000 estimated cost, correct? Correct. Okay. You overshot that one by 200 bucks. Α. Rounding up. Rounding up. Right. Q.

Okay. Well, let's look at the first part of this budget breakdown.

A. okay.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is the Page 6765. Says, "Cost Breakdown -STT Vessel Inspection Budget."

Do you see that?

Α. Yes.

Q. Okay. These attachments, these budget breakdowns, these are the documents that Petro cited in its response as the backup for this lost profits calculation, right?

A. Correct.

Okay. So tell me, on this STX vessel inspection budget, which project does this correspond to?

Which number do you have?

It's the first page of this budget breakdown. Q.

Α.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

188

Q.	6/65.							
A.	67.	Okay.	So	this	is	STT	vessel	inspec

what project does this breakdown for MLA removal and

tion budget.

Q. Yes. Tell me which project, in the interrogatory, that corresponds to.

A. So this is going to be Number 14. And that's actually both of them included. St. Croix and St. Thomas are included under Number 14.

Q. Okay. All right. So your budget breakdown for this Project 14, it has an equipment cost of 28 -- \$27,000. It's got a material cost of \$675. It's got labor charges for a subtotal of \$83,000, correct?

Α. Correct.

Okay. And then behind that is the St. Croix portion, correct?

Α. Correct.

Okay. And that also has an equipment cost, a 0. material cost, a labor cost, right?

Correct. Α.

> Now, there's a line item for the St. Thomas 0. portion that says, "Profit & Tax Rate, 15%," right? Do you see that?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. What portion of that 15 percent is profit? What portion is tax?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exact numbers, I can't give you exact numbers. what -- what do you want me -- I mean, we know that, for example, like a -- the local tax is 5 percent, so that would come out of there. If I give you exact numbers. We have insurance. We have background offices. Is that what you're asking? Q. Well, first, I'm asking, what it says on your

budget breakdown, "Profit & Tax Rate, 15%."

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. That means 15 percent includes your profit margin, but it also includes taxes that you have to pay, correct?

A. What I would have to pay, correct. Yes.

Q. So 15 percent is, therefore, not your profit margin; it's something less than 15 percent, right?

Okay. I see what you're saying.

Do you agree?

If what you're saying on your budget breakdown is you have a profit and tax rate of 15 percent, and you have to pay tax out of that 15 percent, then your ultimate profit is something less than 15 percent, correct?

Okay. Yes.

You agree with that? 0.

A. Correct.

Q. All right. And let's look at the next project. That's St. Thomas.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Going to St. Croix. Same thing. It says, "Profit & Tax Rate, 15%," right?

Okay. And so, again, of that 15 percent on this project, you have to pay taxes out of that amount. So your profit would actually be something less than 15, right?

Α. Correct.

And we go to the next one.

The cost breakdown - budget estimate for St. Thomas painting jetty to north mound.

Do you see that?

Correct.

This is Page 6769. Q.

It says, "Profit & Tax Rate, 15%." Same point would hold here, right?

Correct.

Q. You're telling -- in your budget breakdown for all this work, you're saying your profit and tax rate is 15 percent. You're going to pay at least 5 percent in tax, so, therefore, your profit would not exceed 10 percent, right?

Correct.

Okay. And, in fact, if we look through every Q. single one of the projects, and your budget breakdown attached to your interrogatory response, your line item is

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

192

191

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

"Profit & Tax Rate, 15%," right?

Correct.

Okay. And there's a minimum tax of at least 5 percent, so your profit on all these projects would, therefore, not exceed 10 percent, correct?

A. Correct.

All right.

A. Now, you understand also my labor. I'm not paying my labor the full cost there, right? So I have profit off the labor as well, okay?

So there's a billing rate to my -- there's a billing rate, and there's a cost rate to my labor.

Q. When you say, "Profit & Tax Rate," let's look. Let's look at an example. Let's look at the cost breakdown --

Α. Correct.

-- for the St. Thomas painting? Q.

Correct.

Are we on the same page? Q.

A. Yes.

> 6769? Q.

When you calculate your profit and tax rate,

(340) 773-8161

24 you're at 15 percent --

Um-hum.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

-- you're calculating on the total, correct?

Correct.

The \$46,000, right? Q.

That's right. Α.

And that includes the charges for your labor, Q. correct?

Correct. Yes.

So it's calculating your profit rate on the total amount that you're being paid -- or you're saying you would have been paid on this project, correct?

A. Correct.

It's not -- it doesn't exclude labor? o.

MS. ROHN: No, what he's saying -- sorry.

A. What I'm saying is, that you guys saw there's a rate sheet in prior documents, right? A welder gets charged at, for example, \$45 an hour, right? I'm not paying that welder \$45 an hour.

(Mr. Kaplan) How much do you pay your welders?

\$30 an hour. Α.

0. Okav.

> So I'm making a profit out of that, as well. A.

So what -- on your budget, where it says, "Profit & Tax Rate," and it's calculated as a percentage of the total --

Α. Correct

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- you're saying it excludes the profit you make 1 2 on labor? 3 A. No. 4 MS. ROHN: Includes it. 5 MR. KAPLAN: Umm? 6 MR. BECKSTEDT: He's getting profit on 7 profit. 8 MS. ROHN: He's getting profit on profit. 9 Α. Yes, correct. 10 So my equipment and my material, it is a 11 cost. Say the material's costing me a thousand bucks, I'm 12 going to charge him a thousand bucks, plus 15 percent. 13 Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. 14 So I'm doing profit on profit. 15 Q. Where's your actual labor costs, that you're going 16 to incur on these projects, reflected? A. Well, that's what I put here, my costs, and then 17 18 my profit is over here on this page. 19 Q. But where is the actual calculation, project by 20 project, of your labor costs? 21 'Cause, here, you're telling me in all the 22 budget breakdowns --23 A. Uh-huh. 24 -- that it's inclusive of your profit? 25 Correct.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

What's the actual cost figure on a project --

A. The cost figure total is the second column right

Q. Right. But if I want to know what your labor cost is for each project, that's not reflected anywhere in your interrogatories in any of these budget breakdowns?

MS. ROHN: You need to redo the chart to show what profits you're making off the labor and the equipment.

A. The equipment, absolutely. The equipment is the cost, and I just have to add 15 percent to it. The only thing is the labor.

MS. ROHN: Okay. Well, then, you have to put that in your chart.

A. Okay. I understand.

MS. ROHN: Sorry. I didn't realize that was the case.

A. I'm sorry.

MS. ROHN: You have to put down your actual labor costs and your charged labor cost.

I understand.

MS. ROHN: And you can redepose him on that, if you want.

MR. BECKSTEDT: And equipment, too.

MS. ROHN: No -- and your equipment, you're going to put what you charged for the equipment, and what

196

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

195

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

you paid for the equipment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 minute?

A. Yeah. So we do have an equipment -- for example, on each invoice, you have, if there's equipment done. If, for example, it's a scaffold, the cost of the equipment is on there, and then we just charge 15 percent, which is included on this. So that's very black and white.

So equipment is, we just charge 15 percent. Material, we just charge 15 percent. If it's just a labor, that we actually charge -- we actually have a billing rate, and our --

MS. ROHN: Okay. So you're going to have to -- then you're going to have to factor out what the charge is. What you pay for your labor versus what you charge for your labor.

A. I understand.

MR. KAPLAN: Let's go off the record a

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 3:03.

(Short recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record. The time is 3:05.

(Mr. Kaplan) All right. Mr. Melendez, do you understand, in the second-to-last column in your Interrogatory Number 10, where it says, "Projected Total

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Costs," in your projected total costs, you need to provide for all of your costs, what your actual costs are going to he --

A. Okav.

-- for each item, equipment, labor, materials, et cetera, so that we can actually determine the full amount of your claimed loss profit on each project.

Do you understand?

I understand.

You're agreeing, on behalf of Petro, to supplement your interrogatory response?

A. Yes.

Okay. And this -- the attachments, these budget documents, these were prepared and have dates on the top when they were prepared, right?

A. Correct.

Q. And when you prepare this analysis, you're going to prepare -- in what form are you going to prepare? Spreadsheet? How are you going to do this?

A. If you want, I can just attach to that just what are labor costs, and then basically profit at the end, that's fine?

Q. You do it however you need to do it. I just want to make sure --

MS. ROHN: We'll -- we'll meet and confer, so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that -- you and I will, so it's done, so it's easy to 1 2 determine. 3 MR. KAPLAN: All right. 4 MS. ROHN: And I think probably the easiest 5 thing then would be to give him the -- actually the rate sheet of what you actually pay the people, and a rate sheet 6 7 of what you actually charge for the same person. 8 A. Correct. 9 MS. ROHN: Okay? Typed. Typed, of course. 10 (Mr. Kaplan) Now, your employees are -- are 11 so-called W-2 employees, right? 12 Most of them, yes. 13 So you pay payroll taxes? Q. 14 Yes. A. 15 Q. You pay social security taxes? 16 Correct. A. 17 Q. So when you calculate your labor costs, are you 18 including the difference between what you're charging, for 19 example, for a welder and what you're paying a welder, are you including all of the taxes and benefits that you pay for 20 21 the -- that employee? 22 of course. Α. 23 okav. 24 MS. ROHN: Wait, wait. I think what he's

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

saying, is your base, when you talk about what your base

cost is for the employee, you have to factor in that -whatever the labor costs are when you're making that chart.

Okav.

Α.

MS. ROHN: Correct? MR. KAPLAN: Correct.

Correct.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) when you say, "total profit plus tax of 15 percent" -- excuse me, 5 percent. You're saying of that 15 percent, you're saying that's profit on top of whatever profit you're making on the difference between what you're paying your employees and what you're getting under the contract, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And that 5-percent tax, that is the gross receipts tax that you're talking about?

A. That's correct.

Okay. Q.

MS. ROHN: But that's not including -- but that wouldn't be -- then, but in your labor costs, you're going to have to do your -- whatever workmans' comp, whatever percentage of that.

Insurance. A.

MR. KAPLAN: All -- all -- all your taxes and cost of employment need to be reflected and deducted --

MS. ROHN: Right.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

199

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MR. KAPLAN: -- from whatever you're calculating on your profit --MS. ROHN: And you'll probably need an accountant to do that.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Well, that was my question earlier. I asked you if you have an accountant, and you said someone named Kathleen.

A. Correct.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q. And she's an outside accountant?

Correct. A.

 $\textbf{Q}_{\:\raisebox{1pt}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}$ Do you know the name of -- do you know Kathleen's last name?

I can find it. Gallagher. I have to find it on my phone. Call her Kat. Sorry.

Q. That's all right.

MS. ROHN: I bet you -- I bet you, in order to be able to calculate what it is, there's some formula for that.

MS. FRANCIS: Are we on the record, or is this off the record?

MS. ROHN: We're on the record.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. Mr. Melendez, now we need you to speak up. We don't want to have to ask you to repeat things, but this is --

Okay. I apologize. Can you hear me?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MS. FRANCIS: That was better, yes.

200

okay.

MS. FRANCIS: Please keep your voice up, because we are still on the record.

A. Yes, ma'am.

(Respite.)

MR. BECKSTEDT: I understood there's an agreement we can reconvene for purposes of questioning regarding that?

MS. ROHN: That one issue, so far.

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Project 21, the stainless steel bolts.

Do you see that?

Yes.

Q. As I understand it, you sourced the bolts from Traeger Brothers, but IPOS paid Traeger directly for those materials, correct?

A. I have to verify that. I know that they paid for some bolts. I don't know if it was particular for this one. This is an ongoing project that's been going for the last two years of replacing all the carbon steel bolts with stainless steel bolts, so I don't know if it was one or the other. I apologize.

If IPOS paid for the materials, you haven't lost any money on the materials? Your only loss would be a claim

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for some sort of profit on the labor, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

- All right. Project 14, a propane vessel Q. inspection.
 - A. Okav.
- You say tank inspection work would have been awarded on January 1st, 2022, right?
 - Okav.
- Q. Now, you don't know if any API tank inspections were done in 2022, do you?
 - A. No. I'm not there.
- Q. And it's not a regulatory requirement to do the API tank inspections every year, right?
- A. So you're right, but what happened is we're alternating tanks. So each -- each -- each facility, the one in St. Croix has four tanks. I'm sorry. Has eight tanks. And the one in St. Thomas has ten tanks. So we were rotating, because they were already five years past inspection. So we were rotating each of the tanks. So each year, we were going to do one on each site. So, yes, it is important.
- Q. If the API tank inspections were not done in 2022, you would not have any damages for lost profits on API tank inspections, correct?
 - A. Again, you're asking me if it needed to be done.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

It has to be done.

I didn't ask you -- my question was different. If it was not done. If the API tank inspections were not done in 2022, Petro would have not lost any profits on that project, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And the same is true for any project?
- I was going to say.
- Q. If a project was not done in 2021 or 2022, Petro would not have lost any profits on that project, correct?
 - Α.
- Now, MLA removal, Number 1, actually, we talked about that. We'll move on.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. The painting of the control room, Number 6, the painting of the control room is certainly not required to be done every year, right?
- A. So some sort of painting program was to be implemented. So this was the first one. Well, actually, not the first one. The last two years, we had a painting program. So this year was going to be the actual facility -- I mean, the actual building itself. Before it was a section of pipe. The year before, it was the actual pump alley. So, yeah, there is some kind of sort of coating maintenance that had to be done.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

204

203

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. What about the reverse loading rack? In addition to the reverse loading rack? That's Project Number 8, is that what it is? Replacement block valves?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And as I understand it, I guess Mr. Canning, do you recall he recommended some reverse-flow pumps; do you recall that?
 - Α.
- Q. Okay. But you understand that Vitol hired a different engineering firm that determined the reverse-flow project could be done without a pump installation?
 - A. Again, I was not there.
 - The loading rack could be loaded by gravity?
 - Again, I wasn't there.
- Q. Do you know that the engineering firm Exsol, actually finalized a different scope of work on the reverseloading rack?
 - Did not know that.
- Q. Okay. If the scope of work changed, and was done by a different engineering contractor on a project, that would not be damages for Petro, correct?
- A. Well, we would have still done the actual installation itself. We would have still been the contractor to install. This was the engineer that you're saying that said it wasn't, but there still needed to be

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

work done.

- But Exsol Engineering firm did the work?
- They actually did the work themselves?
- Do you know that? ο.

what's that?

- Α.
 - Okay. Do you dispute that? Q.
- 7
 - Do you dispute that?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form.

- Actually, wasn't Exsol the company that took over maintenance for us? They're -- they're from Surinam. They're the company that took over, so we would have done the work if we were there for maintenance.
- Q. (Mr. Kaplan) On the reverse-loading rack? A different scope of work, though, right? You're talking about on Project 8, was the installation of reverse-flow pumps, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And if it was a different scope of work where no pump installation would be required, there would be no installation work, correct?
- A. You said that Exsol actually did the installation themselves.
- Not pump installation. Did a different scope of work on that project.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. But it's still in the scope of work that we would 1 2 have done. 3 Q. It would be different than the scope of work and 4 estimated cost that you have in your interrogatory, correct? A. I understand. But, again, we would have been 5 6 doing the scope of work, if it was different or not. 7 Q. Okay. Just a few more questions, sir. Did you search your e-mail account for 8 9 documents in this case? 10 A. Yes. 11 Okay. We talked earlier. You said you believe Q. 12 you have an e-mail with Mr. --MS. ROHN: Castro. 13 14 (Mr. Kaplan) -- Castro, right? Q. 15 A. 16 You're going to look for that e-mail, correct? MS. ROHN: He didn't use Castro as a search, 17 18 so --19 (Mr. Kaplan) You're going to look for any e-mails 20 to or from Mr. Castro, correct? A. Will do. 21 22 Q. All right. Now, have you produced any e-mail, in 23 this case, internal to Petro? With any of your supervisors? 24 Any of your employees? Any internal e-mail at Petro, did 25 you search for any of those documents?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. Have you produced a single document that's Q. an e-mail internal to Petro?

I believe I have.

Q. With your office manager? Your accountant? Any of your supervisors? Any of your staff?

A. What e-mails I have, I produced.

MS. ROHN: He's given us. We produced a bunch of e-mails.

MR. KAPLAN: Internal e-mails?

MS. ROHN: Uh-huh.

(Mr. Kaplan) Okay. You believe you've done a full search for all your e-mails during this contract to see if anything deals with the issues in this case?

MS. ROHN: We searched three -- we searched the names of projects. We searched --

A. I believe so.

MS. ROHN: We searched --

(Mr. Kaplan) Did you communicate with Mr. Persuad, Mr. Kirsch, any of your maintenance folks? Your supervisors, superintendents by e-mail?

A. Chat Persuad doesn't use his e-mail. That's the way. He's a field guy, right?

Frank is there, I'll say 20 percent of the time in the office, so e-mails are not there.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

208

207

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So basically, e-mails would be me with Vitol, with IPOS, or anybody associated. So my --

MS. ROHN: He's talking about your internal e-mails.

A. Yeah. So like I said, my internal e-mails, we don't communicate through e-mails.

(Mr. Kaplan) So you don't believe you have internal e-mails with your staff?

I don't believe so.

MS. ROHN: I think he said he gave a few. I think we produced them.

A. What I did.

MS. ROHN: He did an extensive search.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. You told me the last time --I'm going to go through just some names of some folks.

You told me the last time you communicated with Mr. Castro was around the time he sent you that letter in July of 2021, correct?

Correct.

Q. Okay. What about Merlin? I'm sorry. I always mispronounce it.

A. Figueria. Figueria.

Q. Okay. When's the last time you communicated with -- with Merlin?

Mr. Merlin, I would say probably the same time,

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

July/August of 2021. Q. Okay. And by "communicate," I mean to be as broad as possible. Via phone, text, anything?

A. Yeah.

Okay. Last time you talked to Merlin was sometime in July 2021?

A. Yeah.

Q. What about Mr. Smith?

A. Mr. Smith, we had been e-mailing back and forth, as far as payment. This was -- had been going on since 2022. Maybe early '22. So that's basically the communication. No phone calls. Last phone call was probably after the termination of the contract.

 $\textbf{Q.}\quad \text{Okay.} \quad \text{Mr. Nagle, when's the last time you talked}$ to David Nagle?

A. Oh, goodness. This had to be by the RIO panels project.

Mr. Nagle used to work for you at Petro?

Correct. Α.

> What are the circumstances -- why did Mr. Nagle stop working for you?

> A. We didn't see eye-to-eye. We had a project, actually you mentioned, Aggreko. Actually, before that, he was in Limetree Bay with us. We hired him on to actually work directly with the staff engineer at Limetree Bay. They

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

didn't see eye-to-eye. They couldn't work together. Unfortunately, we had to transfer him over to the Aggreko project, start that up. He just didn't work out. We didn't see eye-to-eye.

Q. You and Mr. Nagle personally didn't see eye-to-eye?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Nagle left Petro, and went to work for IPOS?

A. That's what I understand. As a contractor. I don't think he worked directly with. That's what I understand.

Q. Okay. Did you talk to Mr. Nagle in any respect about any of the -- after the RIO shades project?

A. Not at all.

Q. Okay. What about these other folks, Coury Hodge and Rawle Granger, when's the last time you spoke to either of them?

A. I did talk to -- Mr. Granger, I have not talked to Mr. Granger. Coury, I did talk to Coury. We had a -- he was in between jobs from IPOS to the new company, and I did talk to him about possibly, you know, either, you know, us working directly with WAPA with him or what have you, but that was the conversation.

When was that?

Oh, my goodness. Beginning of the year.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Beginning 2023? 0.

I believe so. Α.

Anything come of that?

No. No, he went back to work with Drexel or whatever. The new company that --

Earlier, you said you had done some coating work for WAPA in the summer of 2022?

That's right.

And you hadn't done any work thereafter with WAPA?

That's right. A.

> Have you sought additional work from WAPA --Q.

MS. ROHN: Let him finish his question.

(Mr. Kaplan) Have you elected not to pursue any

I have -- we have submitted some quotes. They're in a rough position right now, that there's no payment coming. The last time -- the last job that we did, we got paid almost a year later, so we're kind of very hesitant to work there.

Q. All right.

Does Elias Rivera still work for you?

212

Α.

Q. Mr. Kirsch still works for you?

A. Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

211

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Let's go off the record. Take a break. Let me look at my notes, and I may -- I may he -- he done.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 3:21.

(Short recess taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. The time is 3:31.

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Okay. Mr. Castro (sic), we talked earlier about your accountant, Kathleen, and you said you thought her last name was Gallagher.

Do you have her contact information in your phone? Can you look?

A. It's actually Mr. Melendez, not Mr. Castro, but anyway --

Q. Oh, I'm sorry.

A. It's okav.

I'm looking at this document that says Mr. Castro in front of me.

A. I'm sorry. Kathleen Cooke.

> Kathleen Cooke. Q.

Yes. Α.

Q. And do you have her address or company name?

She actually works independently under her name. Α.

Okay. Do you have a physical address or a phone

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- DIRECT

number in there?

I have her phone number. E-mail.

MS. ROHN: No, no, no. We're not going to have you contact his accountant and talk about him to his

MR. KAPLAN: It's discoverable information. Contact information of witnesses that have documents --

MS. ROHN: We haven't named her as a Rule 26.

MR. KAPLAN: But I'm entitled to take discovery from an accountant for Petro in a lost profits case.

MS. ROHN: We'll breach that gap when it comes, but you're not going to talk to his accountant. Somebody who has confidential information from him of all different kinds of matters. And if you want to notice her deposition, where we will be present, we can talk about that.

MR. KAPLAN: Do you represent Ms. Cooke? MS. ROHN: My client is going to call his accountant, and tell his accountant not to speak to you without me present.

MR. KAPLAN: Just asked a question: Do you represent Ms. Cooke?

MS. ROHN: Not really any of your business,

25 is it?

Q. (Mr. Kaplan) Do you have Mr. Castro's contact 1 2 information in your phone? MS. ROHN: I thought -- did we -- we listed 3 4 Mr. Castro, I think, under Rule 26 disclosures. 5 A. Correct. 6 MS. ROHN: We did. 7 (Mr. Kaplan) Do you have his contact information? MS. ROHN: I think you have his contact 8 9 information. 10 Q. (Mr. Kaplan) We're sitting here. I'm just asking. 11 Do you have information? MS. ROHN: But this is over. This is over. 12 13 We gave you his -- if we didn't, I'll supplement my Rule 26 14 disclosures, but we are not doing this chitchaty discovery. 15 (Mr. Kaplan) This isn't a chitchat. 16 I'm asking the witness, do you have, in your 17 phone --18 MS. ROHN: You know what? 19 (Mr. Kaplan) -- Mr. Castro's phone number and last 20 known address? 21 A. Last known address, no, but I have his contact. 22 Can you tell me his contact information? 23 MS. ROHN: No. If you would like it, go 24 through his attorney to get it. 25 MR. KAPLAN: Are you willing to provide Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Mr. -- whatever information Mr. Melendez has in his 1 2 contacts --3 MS. ROHN: You know what? You're not 4 cross-examining me today. 5 MR. KAPLAN: Then don't instruct your witness 6 not to answer the question. 7 MS. ROHN: Because we're not in a deposition. 8 This is -- are we in a deposition? 9 MR. KAPLAN: Yes. 10 MS. ROHN: Oh, we started the deposition. 11 The way to get discovery is to write a 12 written discovery request. I believe we've already given 13 this to you. If we haven't, we will do a supplemental 14 Rule 26 disclosure, and everybody will have his number, 15 okay? MR. BECKSTEDT: So to be clear, Lee, you're 16 17 instructing the witness not to answer that last question? 18 MS. ROHN: Yes. We'll give a formal Rule 26 19 disclosure. 20 MR. KAPLAN: The basis for your objection is 21 what? 22 MS. ROHN: That he doesn't have to go through 23 his phone when he's in a deposition to try and find people's 24 phone numbers.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

MR. KAPLAN: Subject to any additional

216

215

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

questioning from other counsel, I will pass the witness, subject to the stipulation made on the record earlier about any supplementation that you provide.

Thank you, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. FRANCIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

O. Good afternoon, Mr. Castro, I'm sorry. Mr. Melendez.

A. Good afternoon.

Q. I introduced myself earlier. My name is Simone Francis, and I represent IPOS in this matter.

Did anybody communicate with Mr. Castro concerning the welder certificates that you provided, anyone on behalf of Petro, other than yourself?

A. No, just myself.

Q. And I think you testified that your last communication with Mr. Castro was in July of 2021?

A. I believe so.

0. Is that correct?

A. I believe, yes.

Q. And was your last communication with Mr. Castro -well, let me ask you this: Did you ever communicate with Mr. Castro after you received the notice of termination of the contract from IPOS?

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

A. I do not believe.

O. Did you communicate with Mr. Castro after he sent you the letter that is marked as Exhibit 14?

A. I did communicate, because he was willing to come down to IPOS, and actually recertify the welders.

Q. And how did he give you that information? Was it a phone call or some other form of communication?

A. A phone call.

Q. And at the time you spoke to Mr. Castro, where was he, physically?

A. He was in Japan, if I wasn't mistaken. Somewhere in -- I mean, I'm sorry, Asia. I believe he was in Japan.

Q. And how did you know that?

'Cause now I remember that actually I would have to call him like at a certain time at night, or -- because he was in the morning, and it was -- it was very -- very different.

And you're testifying that Mr. Castro stated to you that he would come back from Japan to St. Croix --

Correct.

-- to certify the welders?

A. Yes. He actually had some time in August, that he had mentioned, that he would be back in the States, California, and he would be willing to come to St. Croix.

But you never reached out to him in August to

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arrange for that to occur, did you?

A. IPOS never gave me the green light.

Q. Independent of that, you never reached out to him to have that occur, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And if you -- you said Mr. Castro was in Asia at the time. What, then, is the meaning of his letter where he says, "I will be leaving on a project overseas and will have very limited internet access?" Because you just now told me he was already overseas?

A. Correct. I understand that he was going to go to the next project. I don't know when, but that's what I understand. That's what he stated.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Okay. But Japan is overseas, as compared to St. Croix, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So Mr. Castro, when he wrote the July 29th, 2021 letter. was already overseas?

A. That's what I understand.

Q. Okay. But he wrote a letter saying he "will be leaving on a project overseas"?

A. That's what I understand, yes, ma'am.

Q. And you said when he was -- he e-mailed you this letter that is Exhibit 14; is that correct?

A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Q. Did Mr. Castro e-mail you the letter that is Exhibit 14?

A. I believe so.

Q. So at that time, Mr. Castro had internet access, correct? In Japan?

A. I believe so. Or wherever he was. I just don't recall where he was. I apologize. But I understand he was overseas.

Q. And where was he going overseas that he would have very limited internet access?

 \mathbf{A}_{\bullet} I -- I do not know, ma'am. I didn't ask that question.

Q. In his July 21, 2021 letter, Mr. Castro describes himself as "an independent contractor with my own business." Do you see that? Were you aware of that?

A. Yes, I believe. I can get that letter again.

Q. And we've already looked at Exhibit 12, which represents that Mr. Castro indicated that the testing on those certificates was done by Acuren Inspection Services, correct?

A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question again.

Q. I said, the actual WPQs, welder performance qualification record, represent that Mr. Castro was conducting tests, or that there were mechanical tests conducting by Acuren Inspection Services; is that correct?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

220

219

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

A. These -- the actual -- the original ones were done through Acuren, but Mr. Castro's the one who did them.

Q. Okay. But as of 2021, when these records were created, you knew and understood that Mr. Castro had no affiliation with Acuren Inspection Services?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Like I said earlier, I didn't realize it.} \quad \text{For me,} \\ \text{it wasn't an issue.}$

Q. What is the name of Mr. Castro's company that he operated, or business that he operated as 2021?

A. Do not know, ma'am. I apologize.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf Q.} & \mbox{ And your testimony is you had no written contract} \\ \mbox{with Mr. Castro?} \\ \end{tabular}$

A. That's correct.

Q. And he never issued an invoice?

A. No. I just paid him through PayPal.

Q. And you don't know the -- the exact address where your welders were tested?

A. Unfortunately, not.

Q. Let me ask you another question.

Edgardo Batista, does he still work for you?

A. Edgardo Batista does work for me.

Q. Okay. Is he a W-2 employee, or 1099?

A. W-2.

Q. Bernardo Cruz, does he still work for you?

A. No. he does not.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Q. And in February of 2021, where did Mr. Batista live?

A. February of 2021. They're from Puerto Rico. I don't have an exact address.

Q. I didn't ask for an exact address, sir. I just asked you where. And when I say, "Where," and in case that wasn't clear, I meant, did he live in Puerto Rico? Did he live in St. Croix? Did he live elsewhere?

A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question.

Q. In February of 2021, on what island, or in what state, or country, did Mr. Batista reside?

A. So while he was working for us on island, he's going to be in St. Croix. When he is not, his home is in — in Puerto Rico.

 ${f Q}_{f a}$ So was he commuting on a daily basis, or as needed?

A. As neede

Q. And does Petro Industrial operate -- have any operations in Puerto Rico?

A. No, we do not.

Q. So the only place that Mr. Batista worked for you was in St. Croix?

A. I believe he also worked in St. Thomas.

Q. Okay. In the U.S. Virgin Islands?

Α. `

				•
1	Q. Okay. And Bernardo Cruz, you said he	e does not 1	Α.	Puerto Rico.
2	work for you, correct?	2	Q.	Does Fernando Lebron still work for you?
3	A. No.	3	Α.	No.
4	Q. was he a W-2 employee?	4	Q.	I'm sorry. I didn't hear the answer.
5	A. Yes, he was.	5	Α.	I'm sorry. No, he does not.
6	Q. When did he cease being an employee?	6	Q.	was he a W-2 employee?
7	A. Say again.	7	Α.	Yes, he was.
8	Q. When did he cease being an employee?	8	Q.	And when did he cease working for you?
9	A. After the 3-inch vent line project.	9	Α.	July '21.
10	Q. And where did Mr. Bernardo Cruz live i	n February 10	Q.	And where did he reside at the time
11	of 2021?	11	Α.	Puerto Rico.
12	A. He is a no, he has a residence in St	Croix, I 12	Q.	that he left?
13	believe, and also he always is a resident of	Dominican 13		Does Jonathan Rodriguez still work for you?
14	Republic.	14	Α.	No, he does not.
15	Q. And do you know where he's located r	now? 15	Q.	Was Mr. Rodriguez a W-2 employee or a contractor?
16	A. No, I do not.	16	Α.	W-2.
17	Q. George Rodriguez, does he still work	for you? 17	Q.	And where did he reside in April of 2021?
18	A. No, he does not.	18	Α.	Puerto Rico.
19	Q. When did Mr. Rodriguez leave his emp	oloy? 19	Q.	And the last individual, Richael, R-I-C-H-A-E-L;
20	A. At the end of the project, July of '	21. 20	Philips,	does Mr. Philips still work for you?
21	Q. And was he a W-2 employee?	21	Α.	No, he does not.
22	A. Yes, he was.	22	Q.	was he a W-2 employee?
23	Q. Where did he reside?	23	Α.	Yes, he was.
24	A. Puerto Rico.	24	Q.	And when did he stop working for you?
25	Q. In 2021?	25	Α.	July of '21.
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161			Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161
	(,			(5-5)

224

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Q. And where did he reside in March of 2021? A. To be honest, with him, I do not recall. I would

have to go back and check.

Q. You testified that Mr. Castro sent to you the welder performance qualification records dated February 2021 via FedEx; is that correct, sir?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And to what address were those -- was that packet sent?

A. Those are to my office at -- did you want the whole address, or it's Castle Coakley, here in St. Croix.

Q. And where did Mr. Castro -- from what address did Mr. Castro send these records?

A. I do not recall that, ma'am.

Q. Was it an address -- do you know what state, city, country he sent it from?

I do not recall. I apologize.

Do you still have any of the FedEx labeling or records?

A. I really doubt that I do, no.

Did the March -- does the March 2021 certification, was that also sent to your office in Castle Coakley in St. Croix?

A. Yes.

Okay. And from what address did Mr. Melendez send

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

that document?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I do not recall.

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ $\;$ Do you have any records showing the receipt of a package from FedEx from Mr. Castro in March of 2021?

No, ma'am. I do not.

Same questions of April of 2021?

Yeah. same answers.

Okay. These welder qualification records state that "the test coupons were prepared, welded, tested in accordance with the requirements of ASME Section," looks like, "IX/2013."

A. Yes, ma'am.

Is that what you --

And what are the requirements of ASME Section

15 IX/2013?

> I -- I don't have that in front of me. Α.

Did you have that in front of you when you executed this document, Mr. Melendez?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And is that something that Mr. Castro also sent to you?

Yes, ma'am.

Well, I'm sorry. That's just a Google search that, you know, obviously, it's a standard with process piping. But the exact definition of it, I don't have it in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

front of me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. And your testimony was that neither you, nor anyone else, on behalf of Petro, actually witnessed these tests being performed?

Correct. Α.

And you don't actually have any test coupons, 0. correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you use -- did you use WhatsApp messaging or any other messaging to communicate with Mr. Castro?

A. Don't recall. I think it was just a phone call. Phone call conversations.

Q. But you still have Mr. Castro's contact information in your phone, correct?

And if you had WhatsApp or text messages with Mr. Castro relating to welder certifications, those would still also be accessible to you?

A. If he has the same contact, possibly so. I -again, yeah.

 $\textbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\bullet}}$ $\,$ Do you have the same contact, or do you have a different contact?

A. Yeah, I've had the same contact for years.

Okay. And have you done any search for any WhatsApp or text messages with Mr. Castro relating to this

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. I believe I did, but I think there's some -obviously that one letter. I mean, that one e-mail is missing with the -- with the e-mail with the letter that he also provided. So, but, yeah, I can verify.

Q. So, to be clear, you are going to search for e-mails and text messages and WhatsApp for any communications with Mr. Guillermo Castro, correct?

A. T will do that.

(Respite.)

And, sir, you are aware that Acuren discontinued its services and operations in the U.S. Virgin Islands on or about January 10th, 2021?

A. I was not aware of that.

Q. And are you aware that Acuren's records indicate that he last employed Mr. Castro in July of 2019?

A. That's what I heard later on from -- from my boss.

Q. And does it concern you at all that these welding qualification statements represent certification with Mr. Castro with a company that he was not affiliated with for more than a year before he executed these?

Like I said before, for me, it's him doing the actual certification. I didn't know that he didn't work for Acuren. And for me, it really doesn't matter.

So the accuracy or inaccuracy of the

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

227

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

representations on those welder certification records doesn't matter to you, sir?

A. I'm not saying that. That's not what I'm saying. what I'm saying is, the actual testing themselves, that he tested them, and he just requalified my welders under my same procedures, that's what counts on those certifications.

Q. You weren't physically there, and no one from Petro was physically present, correct?

Α. Correct.

Q. And you don't have any videotape, or any other record of this actual testing having been performed, correct?

The welders, obviously, were testing. So, yeah, that's what we have. I was not presently there, but, yeah, the testing was there.

Q. And you're basing that on what you were told by Mr. Castro?

Correct. A.

O. And since the time that this -- your contract with IPOS was terminated, have you ever asserted to Mr. Castro that you may have a claim against him, or a concern in any way that the information represented on those certificates is false or incorrect?

I have -- I did mention that there was questioning about his certifications through a -- through a current PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

client, which is IPOS. And, therefore, that's what the letter -- letter that he came about. He did state, let me remedy it. So I can go ahead and go to the island and recertify these welders. That's my way of verifying that everything was correctly done.

Q. But the certificate is supposed to be the verification that it was correct and done: isn't that true?

The certificate for me is a valid certificate. That's why I signed it.

Q. Okay. Mr. Melendez, in Exhibit 13, which is an e-mail chain that begins -- I guess it begins with a July 22nd, 2021 e-mail, and ends with a July 28th, 2021 e-mail.

Do you have that document before you?

A. Yes, I do.

Okay. On the page that's PIS64, there's a reference to a 3-inch vent holder, which was shared on Dropbox with Andreas?

A. Yes, ma'am.

0. And then there was further discussion.

Did you, at some point, remove the document from that Dropbox, or remove the access to that Dropbox?

I think after the termination letter, I think everything was brought down. But that was -- that -- that's now shared with everybody. The same QC qualifications that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

228

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were attached to this -- to this as well. 1 2 Q. I'm sorry. You're saying that the contents of the 3 Dropbox for the welding certificates that we just looked at? 4 Correct. 5 Q. Was there anything else provided? 6 What they were asking for. I think there's 7 another page. They were asking for MTRs. They were asking for basically a copy of pictures of labels for the -- for 8 the welding rods. There's a list of stuff that was provided 9 10 on there. There's a prior e-mail or prior one of these 11 exhibits that had all that stuff in there. 12 THE WITNESS: Excuse me, real quick. 13 Ms. Rohn, do you know what time they shut down the parking 14 lot right there? When they start --15 MS. ROHN: Oh. 16 THE WITNESS: Because I --17 MS. ROHN: Does anybody know what time? 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Over by the National Park 19 Service? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I think 5 o'clock, they 21 22 start hauling cars. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

MS. ROHN: Yeah, they start hauling cars.

THE WITNESS: All right. Because my truck is

there, and I don't want it to get hauled off. I'm sorry for interrupting.

MS. FRANCIS: No, that's fine. I was just going to suggest we go off the record, if you needed a break.

I'm good now. Α.

MS. ROHN: We just have -- he has to leave before 5:00 to move his truck, so it doesn't get towed.

MS. FRANCIS: Understood.

(Ms. Francis) So just to be clear, when you used Mr. Melendez's services, you never obtained any business license from him?

MS. ROHN: Mr. Melendez's services?

(Ms. Francis) I mean, Mr. Castro's services?

Correct. No, I've known Mr. Castro when we worked at the refinery. He's the one that, like I was telling you before, he's the one that qualified all the welders. Not just my welders, every single one of the company's welders, so his representation is very well. I mean, he's a very qualified person. He's a Level III phased array technician, where there's not -- there's not too many of them. So, yeah, absolutely. I mean, his qualifications exceed.

Q. Okay. And you said you've never read the declaration that was produced in this case in which Acuren has declared that Acuren has no record of Guillermo Castro

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

231

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ever having held a Level III certification with Acuren, or any other employer?

MS. ROHN: You know, Simone, that's been asked several times. I think he's answered that question several times.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. Well, he can answer it again.

MS. ROHN: I think we'll just stick with the record.

(Ms. Francis) You do not dispute that that's what Acuren has represented in this matter, correct, Mr. Melendez?

Α. Correct.

You've testified that you are the sole owner of Petro Industrial Solutions, LLC, correct?

That's correct. Α.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Okay. And that has been the case for the entire duration that the maintenance contract was in effect with IPOS?

Α. Correct.

And so when the Complaint that is marked as Exhibit 2 refers to Petro's owners, there's, in fact, only one owner, correct?

Correct. A.

0. And the Complaint indicates that -- and who is PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Petro's management team?

A. My management team, general manager, Chetram Persaud. I have an office manager named Santhia Rodriguez. I have a safety manager, which is Frank Kirsch. And I have -- those are my main ones. I have the field supervisors.

Do you want me to list more? I'm sorry.

232

Q. Okay. Well, you consider your field supervisors to be your management team?

A. No. No, they're not. They're my field supervisors, correct. I also have a quality control manager. I think that's it for managers.

And who's the quality control manager?

Currently his name is Javier Vazquez.

How do you spell that last name? Q.

Α. Vazquez: V-A-Z-O-U-E-Z. I believe.

When you say, "currently," how about during the -when did Mr. Vazquez begin his role of quality control manager?

A. Actually, he began at the beginning of this project, which was March/April of 2021.

Q. And do you regard yourself as local West Indian, Mr. Melendez?

No, I do not. Α.

Q. Do you regard yourself as a local Hispanic?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I would say so. I've been here for eight years. I don't know when you become a local, but I think, I'm here. I own a house here. I own a company here, so, yeah. I consider myself a local. Q. And just quickly going through your -- your team of people that you mentioned, Mr. Persuad, do you know where A. He is -- what is he? He was born in West Guyana. He's been on island for 20-some years. So, yes, he's a local. Q. Okay. And Ms. Rodriguez? A. Ms. Rodriguez is originally from Puerto Rico. She's been on island for, I believe, 10 to 12 years. Q. Okay. Mr. Kirsch, you said he's from somewhere on

the mainland?

A. He's white Anglo. He came from Houston. He's been on St. Croix for, I believe, six years.

Q. And then Mr. Vazguez?

A. Mr. Vazquez, originally Puerto Rican. He's been on island for the last 20-some years, I would say.

Q. And during the time that you worked with IPOS, I think you mentioned a couple folks you worked with.

Was Coury Hodge one of them?

Yes. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And is -- would you consider Mr. Hodge as a o.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

local West Indian? 1

> Yes, I would consider him. Α.

Okay. Let's talk about Mr. Granger.

Have you met him?

Yes, I have.

Okay. And what do you regard his background as?

I'm sorry. What -- what is this -- where is this going? I'm sorry. I mean -- why am I -- why?

Q. Mr. --

A. I just don't know why I'm categorizing or -- or saying. I don't -- I don't know where they're from. I don't even know if they're from down island, or what have you. I don't know.

MS. ROHN: Then you say, I don't know.

okav.

MS. ROHN: Don't guess.

(Ms. Francis) So you would of -- based on your observations of IPOS's workforce at the time that maintenance agreement was in effect, were there a variety of individuals from potentially different places and backgrounds, including local West Indians?

A. That's correct.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} And in the First Amended Complaint that you filed in this matter, you stated that you believed or you alleged that Andrew Canning violated the discrimination statutes of

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

236

235

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

the U.S. Virgin Islands?

That's Paragraph 82.

Α. Correct.

0. Do you recall making that allegation?

A.

Q. And have you now recited all facts upon which you base that assertion?

A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question.

Q. Have you now identified all facts that you -- upon which you base that assertion?

Α. Yes.

Q. And you indicated that you believe that the Vitol defendants violated the discrimination statutes of the U.S. Virgin Islands, correct?

A. I stated before that --

I'm asking you what your Complaint states, 0. Mr. Melendez.

Is that what it states in Paragraph 82, that the Vitol defendants violated the discrimination statutes of the U.S. Virgin Islands?

A. Give me a quick second.

Okay. Correct.

(Respite.)

Okay. And up through the time that IPOS provided the notice of termination of your contract, you had been PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

party to a contract with IPOS since -- well, you had a maintenance contract with IPOS that was entered in September 1. 2019, correct?

I also had a service agreement with IPOS before Α.

Q. But at the time of this Complaint, the Complaint references, and the contract that was terminated, was the maintenance contract that is Exhibit 3, correct?

A. Correct.

And that was the only contract that you had with IPOS at the time, correct?

A. Like I was saying, we replaced a service maintenance -- or service agreement that we had before.

Mr. Melendez, please take a look at Exhibit 3.

Yes, ma'am. Α.

> And if you would look at Paragraph 7? 0.

Α.

And 7(d) says, "This Contract constitutes the sole and only Contract of the parties and supersedes any prior understandings or written or oral Contracts between the parties respecting the subject matter of this Contract."

Do you see that?

Correct.

And that paragraph also says that this contract may be amended by the parties only by a written contract.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you see that? Yes. Α. 0. an amendment to this. hear you.

And there are no written amendments to the September 1, 2019 maintenance contract, correct?

Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

And the only written contract in effect between Petro and IPOS, as of September 2019, and continuing through July of 2019 (sic), was this maintenance contract, correct?

A. Ms. Rohn is going to be upset. I think there was

MS. ROHN: You have to speak up. She can't

A. Okay. So in 20 -- 2020, we had requested an increase on rates, and there should be an amendment to this.

(Ms. Francis) Well, you haven't --

That's correct.

Q. And where is that document, Mr. Melendez? Is there a assigned addendum or amendment to this contract?

A. I will have to verify that. I apologize. But, yes, now you say this, yes.

Q. Yes, what?

A. Yes. There should be a signed contract or e-mail or something verifying that. I think I did put it somewhere on there, but I'll verify it.

O. And where is that, in the production of documents

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

that you furnished to us, Mr. Melendez?

A. It should be there. I will verify where it is.

Well, we've pointed out before, I believe Attorney Kaplan asked you about the fact that the last page of the agreement has a date of 9-1-2020 for the rate sheet.

Do you see that?

A. I do see that. And it's very possible that's basically the attachment or the change. I just have to verify that. That's very possible.

Q. Okay.

Α. Yes. ma'am.

Q. And you're not aware of any other rate sheet that pertains to any agreement with Island Project and Operating Services, correct?

A. I will verify that, ma'am. But I believe that's the reason why this 2020 rate sheet is on the back. I will verify that.

(Respite.)

Q. And apart from Kathleen, who you've identified, I did not hear her last name, but has Petro Industrial Solutions used any external accountants at any time between September 1, 2019, and the present?

No. I do my own books.

So what services does Kathleen provide?

Generally, it's labor payroll taxes, is what she

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

240

239

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

racist conduct towards you, correct? 2 Absolutely not. 3

Do you contend that he engaged in any racist conduct towards any of your management team?

A.

I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. Q.

Α.

Do you contend that Mr. Figueira engaged in any Q. racist conduct towards your employees?

Following Mr. Figueira's -- end of his tenure as general manager, did you work or have any interactions with David Smith?

After the termination? I'm sorry?

No. Following Mr. Figueira's departure from Q. St. Croix?

A. So what I understand, Mr. Figueira left August of 2021, and, therefore, I only dealt with David Smith after that. So I had interaction regarding payment of -- of invoices with Mr. David Smith.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Okay. So during the term that the contract was in effect, your only dealings were with Mr. Figueira?

A. No, no, no. Both with -- with both of them, David Smith and Mr. Merlin.

Q. okay.

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

deals with.

Q. So she handles labor and payroll taxes, and you actually do the other accounting for the company; is that right?

Α. That's correct.

And did you say you had an undergraduate degree, was it in accounting?

Α. Yes. ma'am. Yes. it is.

Q. Are you a CPA?

No, I'm not.

Q. And did you do any graduate course work in accounting?

Α. No, I did not.

Q. And have you done the books of Petro, with the exception of labor and payroll taxes, has that been the case continuously from September of 2019 through the present?

Q. During the time that Merlin Figueira served as the general manager, you worked with him from time to time, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And how would you describe your relationship with Mr. Figueira?

Excellent. A.

You don't contend that Mr. Figueira engaged in any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

243

A. They were both -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.Q. I'm sorry. They were what?

A. They were both active. They were both active managers. I'm sorry.

Q. And do you contend that Mr. Smith engaged in any racist conduct toward you?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you contend that Mr. Smith engaged in any racist conduct toward your employees?

A. No.

Q. Do you contend -- just in case you're distinguishing -- do you contend that Mr. Smith engaged in any racist conduct towards your management team?

A. No.

Q. Do you contend that anyone else that you -- any other IPOS employee engaged in any racist conduct towards you?

A. No.

Q. Do you contend that any IPOS employee engaged in any racist conduct towards your management team?

A. No

Q. Do you contend that any IPOS employee engaged in racist conduct towards your employees?

A. No.

(Respite.)

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Q. And in your Complaint, you allege that the "VITOL Defendants, OPTIS and Andrew Canning defamed Plaintiff to IPOS and others as to forging documents, causing incidents, doing shabby work, all of which are not true."

I know you've already testified and mentioned in an e-mail the incident in which you allege that

Mr. Canning referred to -- after the platform incident.

 $\label{eq:second-condition} \mbox{Is that a -- one of the bases for your claim} \mbox{ of defamation?}$

A. One of many, yes.

Q. And according to the e-mail that you wrote to Mr. Figueira and Mr. Smith in February of 2021 concerning that platform incident, that's Exhibit 9, if you want a minute to look at that.

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And you've already noted that after the February 11th communication with Mr. Figueira, you followed up with him the following morning to say -- to tell Mr. Figueira that Mr. Canning allegedly stated that he was going to sue Petro and IPOS because he fell through the platform; is that correct?

A. Yes, ma'am. That's my e-mail.

Q. Okay. So is it my understanding that Mr. Canning was alleging that he was going to sue your company, Petro, and IPOS, as a result of that incident? You weren't

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

244

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

alleging that Mr. Canning was acting on behalf of IPOS when he made any of the statements that you described in your February 11th e-mail, correct?

A. I'm sorry. Repeat that one more time. I'm sorry.

Q. You described certain statements that Mr. Canning reportedly made when he was yelling after the platform incident, and those are summarized in your February 11th, 2021 e-mail, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you don't contend, do you, that when Mr. Canning made these alleged statements, that he was making them on IPOS's behalf, do you?

A. I -- I don't know how -- yeah. I don't know how -- I can't respond to that. That's not for me to respond. That's his comments.

Q. Right. I'm asking you what you contend.

You were not contending, certainly when you wrote to Mr. Figueira and Mr. Smith, and told them about this incident, you didn't allege to either of them that you believed that Mr. Canning was making those statements for IPOS or at IPOS's instigation, correct?

A. He worked for Vitol and IPOS. I mean, I don't know if he was making it to them or on their behalf or not. All I know is what he said, and that's kind of what I wrote.

Well, you didn't say to either Mr. Smith or

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Mr. Figueira, did you, Mr. Melendez, that Mr. Canning was taking this action on your behalf, and I expect you to stop it? You didn't make any -- you didn't make any such statement in your e-mail, correct?

A. On IPOS's behalf, you're asking?

Q. Correct.

A. Okay. Yeah, I guess that's -- I mean, I see what you're saying. He does work for Vitol and IPOS. I just don't -- I mean, that's just the way Andrew is. Andrew was just a person that he just was above everybody, basically. So he didn't care if it was IPOS. He didn't care if it was Vitol. It's just him.

Q. Sir, are you saying that you think Mr. Canning doesn't take direction from anyone?

A. I -- I can't answer that, ma'am.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Well, I mean, you're making claims in this lawsuit.

A. Okay.

Q. I'm trying to get to the bottom of them, Mr. Melendez. So this is actually your opportunity to answer them. To answer those questions.

A. So, okay. So further answering that question, Mr. Canning would be very brandish about him having -working for Vitol directly. So him saying that he was above IPOS, and above, obviously, us, as Petro. So I don't know

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if that -- if that applies to this or not, but that's just the way he was. Q. So you're saying that Mr. Canning would say he was above Petro and above IPOS?

A. I'm not saying he was saying that. That's the way he just acted.

(Respite.)

Q. And according to the Complaint that you filed, your -- your allegation is that Mr. Canning was an employee of the entity known as Optis, correct?

A. I know that we have e-mails from Optis. If he was a contractor for Optis -- I know about Optis because of him. That's basically it.

(Respite.)

Q. Apart from any actual business entities, were there any individuals that you paid on a 1099 basis, who performed work in -- with respect to the maintenance contract?

A. No.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROHN: I'm just going to put on the record it's 4:25. Going to have to wrap this up.

(Ms. Francis) I didn't hear your answer, Q. Mr. Melendez.

No. No 1099 employee were working on the maintenance.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

I actually asked a different question.

I said, were there any individuals that you paid on a 1099 basis for work done on the maintenance contract?

Α.

And how many employees does Petro Industrial 0. Solutions have as of today?

A. Approximately 35 employees.

Q. And how many employees did Petro Industrial have at the start of 2022?

I -- I can't. I don't have that info in front of me, ma'am.

Q. How many employees did Petro Industrial have in July of 2021?

Again, I -- I don't have that information in front of me.

(Respite.)

Q. And we talked about this briefly before, so you don't know -- you know your headcount now, but you don't know what your headcount was at the start of 2022 or July of 2021.

with respect to your employees, you -your -- you paid them wages.

Do you offer your employees any health insurance?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

248

247

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Yes. Α.

What other benefits do you offer your employees?

Holiday pay. Vacations. Sick pay. I think that's pretty much it.

Retirement benefits? Q.

No. A.

Life insurance? Q.

I don't believe so. Α.

Q. Do you pay housing for them?

A. If they're from off island, we do. And those off-island ones are just on projects. It's not -- it's not a regular employee.

Q. How about transportation, or other --

A. Yeah, so if you're off-island or temporary employees, yes, we provide housing and transportation.

Q. And the 35 employees that you have now, those are -- is that -- are those all regular employees, or regular plus temporary?

A. So those are regular plus temporary. Those are regular and temporary.

Q. And how is it -- what is -- what is your definition of a temporary employee, just really quickly?

A. Somebody that works with us less than six months, continuously, I guess you could say.

I think you indicated that you only -- that

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

Mr. Kirsch doesn't use e-mail to communicate, 'cause he's not in the office?

A. Correct.

Is that your --

How do you communicate with Mr. Kirsch, then? what is your normal means of communicating with him?

Via phone or in person.

How about Mr. Persuad? Do you use e-mail to communicate with him?

No. Again, e-mail and in person.

Q. I'm sorry. Did you say e-mail and in person?

No. I'm sorry. Phone and in person. Α.

MS. ROHN: Okay. Simone, you got 10 minutes.

(Ms. Francis) So is it your testimony that you don't have any text messages or any other e-mail communications internal to Petro that are relevant to the claims in this case?

That's correct. Α.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. I'm going to yield to counsel for Canning and Optis.

MS. ROHN: Okay. You got 10 minutes.

MS. FRANCIS: Well, perhaps -- would you like to move your car first, Mr. --

MS. ROHN: No. I have to pick up kids. I put it on the record early on that 5 o'clock is the cutoff,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so you got 10 minutes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CERADINI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. I'll go ahead and start with some questions. I don't think it will run that long.

This is Attorney Matthew Ceradini for Andrew Canning and Optis Europe, Limited.

Mr. Melendez, you had testified that Mr. Canning's job was a facility's engineer; is that correct?

That's -- that's my knowledge. Again, I don't Α. know his -- his title.

Q. Okay. And -- but as part of his job, was to review work plans, work procedures, and quality of work.

My -- my question is, what's the difference between Mr. Canning expressing criticisms of Petro employees' work versus Mr. Canning being racist or discriminatory?

A. Again, repeat that? For me --

Absolutely. Q.

A. Repeat it, please.

Let me try to clarify that. Q.

A.

You testified that you didn't have any issues with Mr. Canning expressing criticisms about those matters within

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

the scope of his job, which were viewing plans, procedures, quality of work.

And so my question is, what is the difference, in your mind, between Mr. Canning expressing criticisms about work quality versus Mr. Canning being racist, as you've alleged in the Complaint?

A. Okay. So there's a big difference for me. Obviously, he can review our work. He can review our timesheets, and we can have conversation on how to -- how to repair it. How to fix it. How to get further from that.

From him being a racist, who, being, you know, calling my employees, or saying this about, you know, my supervisors, or just flat out just kicking my, you know, a supervisor and welder and pipe fitter out of his facility. There's a complete difference from that.

Q. When did he kick a welder and pipe fitter out of the facility?

A. This was January or -- maybe January, late January of 2021.

Q. Did he make any racist or discriminatory comments when he did it?

There was a few underhanded jabs. Kind of something of the fact, you know, I don't know how to say this name. Spanish name. I don't even know whatever. You know.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

252

251

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

MS. ROHN: You have to say more than "whatever." Whatever he said.

A. Yes. So saying, yeah, he couldn't pronounce their name. They all sound the same, you know, to that effect.

(Mr. Ceradini) Okay. Are there any other incidents of Mr. Canning expressing racism or discriminatory feelings towards your employees that you can think of --

Α.

-- that you haven't expressed already?

A. I did express already about the opening conversation in 2018, I believe. A conversation about time logs.

Throughout -- throughout our, I guess throughout our work history, my maintenance guys, the guys that actually work for maintenance, they're all locals. They're all down-island locals. They're all black individuals.

If I -- I have asked them, what is their interaction with Andrew Canning. And it's, I mean, he basically looks the other way. He doesn't even look at us. He just completely just is blind to what we do and what we not do. And that caused a lot of problems for me, because instead of just correcting, if there was correction needed, it should have been done there with that individual. If not, he would call me or call another supervisor to go down

PIS, LLC/A. MELENDEZ, JR. -- CROSS

there, because he couldn't speak to the actual individual that was there.

So that was just a continuous thing that was just -- again, this was just, you know, years of working

Q. Was he in a position where he could directly correct one of your employees?

Α.

Q. Would he be required to tell you about it?

A. I'm just saying that this is just nitpicky things. Pick up this trash, or, you know, just -- it's not like they're -- they had to do something critical, or weren't doing something critical. This was just nitpicky things.

Q. Did he ever use any pejorative racial terms to describe any of your employees?

A. I guess, for me, when you use local. When you use -- when you use those types of terms, they -- they're very derogative. They're very discriminatory, just because when you say, oh, you're just a local. Or, oh, you're just -- that, just for them, just number one, it says you're considering -- you're saying I'm lazy. You're saying that I'm not going to do anything. That you're -- that you're stealing. Like that's basically the connotation that goes with that, so that was his terms.

So you're saying that the way he used the term,

	Coursy coupled the book posture would be not be controlled
1	the connotation implied a deeper meaning that you thought
2	was racist; is that correct?
3	A. It's not just what I thought. This is just the
4	way it is here on island.
5	Q. The way for everyone on island?
6	A. If you work enough on island, you understand what
7	you can use and not use, yes.
8	MR. CERADINI: I have no further questions.
9	MS. ROHN: Thank you.
10	Go move your car.
11	THE WITNESS: I know. They literally will
12	tow it.
13	MR. BECKSTEDT: Are we done?
14	MR. KAPLAN: Off the record.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
16	deposition. The time is 4:45.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	(Whereupon the deposition concluded
22	at 4:45 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

I, SUSAN C. NISSMAN, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public for the U.S. Virgin Islands, Christiansted, St. Croix, do hereby certify that the above named witness, **PETRO INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, LLC, through its representative, ADRIAN MELENDEZ, JR., and personally,** was first duly sworn to testify the truth; that said witness did thereupon testify as is set forth; that the answers of said witness to the oral interrogatories propounded by counsel were taken by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my personal direction and supervision.

I further certify that the facts stated in the caption hereto are true; and that all of the proceedings in the course of the hearing of said deposition are correctly and accurately set forth herein.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney or relative of either party, nor financially or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand as such Registered Merit Reporter on this the 13th day of May, 2023, at Christiansted, St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands.

/s/ Susan C. Nissman

My Commission Expires: June 28, 2023 Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR NP 234-19